arXiv:1206.4749v1 [math.FA] 21 Jun 2012

GENERALIZED VECTOR VALUED ALMOST PERIODIC AND ERGODIC DISTRIBUTIONS

Bolis Basit and Hans Günzler

Abstract. For $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ let $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ consist of all $f \in L^1_{loc}$ with $M_h f(\cdot) := \frac{1}{h}\int_0^h f(\cdot + s)\, ds \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $h > 0$. Here X is a Banach space, $\mathbb{J} = (\alpha, \infty), [\alpha, \infty)$ or $\mathbb{R}$. The class $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ the space of distributions is similarly defined. Usually $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}^2\mathcal{A} \subset \cdots$. One has $\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X) := \{T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X) : T * \varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})\}$, $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$. The map $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$ is iteration complete, that is $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}} = \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$. Under suitable assumptions $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} + \{T^{(n)} : T \in \mathcal{A}\}$, and similarly for $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$. Almost periodic X-valued distributions $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$ with $\mathcal{A} =$ almost periodic (ap) functions are characterized in several ways. Various generalizations of the Bohl-Bohr-Kadets theorem on the almost periodicity of the indefinite integral of an ap or almost automorphic function are obtained. On $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}}$, $\mathcal{E}$ the class of ergodic functions, a mean can be constructed which gives Fourier series. Special cases of $\mathcal{A}$ are the Bohr ap, Stepanoff ap, almost automorphic, asymptotically ap, Eberlein weakly ap, pseudo ap and (totally) ergodic functions $(\mathcal{T})\mathcal{E}$. Then always $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$ is strictly contained in $\mathcal{M}^{n+1}\mathcal{A}$. The relations between $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}$ and subclasses are discussed. For many of the above results a new (Δ)-condition is needed, we show that it holds for most of the $\mathcal{A}$ needed in applications. Also, we obtain new tauberian theorems for $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ to belong to a class $\mathcal{A}$ which are decisive in describing the asymptotic behavior of unbounded solutions of many abstract differential-integral equations. This generalizes various recent results.

Key words and phrases. almost periodic, almost automorphic, ergodic, mean classes, generalized almost periodic distributions, differences, Fourier series, spectrum with respect to a class, tauberian theorems.

2000 Mathematics subject classification. Primary 43A60, 43A07, 46E30, 46F05 Secondary 34K25, 37A30, 37A45, 44A10, 47A35, 47D03.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

Contents

§0.Introduction....................3
§1. Notation, Definitions and Preliminaries....................6
§2. New classes of vector valued distributions....................17
§3. Mean classes.................... 26
§4. The (Δ) Condition....................30
§5. Mean classes, derivatives and uniform continuity 39
§6. Almost periodic distributions and indefinite integrals 42
§7. Ergodic classes....................49
§8. Fourier analysis....................54
§9. Applications to the study of asymptotic behavior of solutions of differential equations....................59
§10 Differential-difference equations 64
§11 open questions....................62
References....................67

§0. Introduction

The primary objective of these notes is to present a self-contained study of the theory of mean type classes introduced during our study of the asymptotic behavior of solutions of differential-difference systems, [13, (1.1)]. This theory does what the Stepanoff extension of Bohr's almost periodic functions accomplished (see below), now for quite general function classes, for example asymptotic almost periodic, almost automorphic or Eberlein weakly almost periodic functions: For a given $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, X Banach space, $\mathbb{J}$ infinite interval,

$\mathcal{MA} = \{f \in L^1_{loc}$: the mean $M_h f(\cdot) := (1/h)\int_0^h f(\cdot + s)\, ds \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $h > 0\}$. This extension $\mathcal{MA}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ can also be defined for U a subset of Schwartz's vector valued distributions $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$, giving $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}U$.

These extensions proved useful in [13]. There they made possible a considerable weakening of the necessary assumptions, and simpler and more flexible formulations. For example bounded solutions of differential-difference systems may not belong to the class of Banach space valued Bohr almost periodic (ap) functions $AP = AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$, but belong to $\mathcal{M}AP$. This means that the study of mean classes makes an important contribution to the understanding of asymptotic behavior of solutions of many differential-difference systems. Also, this gives rise to an extension of many known spaces. For example for the class AP, one has $AP \subset S^p AP =$ { Stepanoff almost periodic functions} $\subset \mathcal{M}AP$.

In the following we give a short account of how these classes evolved starting with Bohr's almost periodic functions.

In his study of the asymptotic behavior of solutions of differential equations in perturbation theory of astronomy, Bohl [26] 1906 introduced quasi-periodic functions as (essentially) sums of finitely many complex-valued periodic functions on the real line $\mathbb{R}$ with arbitrary, but usually rationally independent periods. The study of his differential equations could be reduced to the question: When is the indefinite integral F of a quasi-periodic f again quasi-periodic? As a necessary and sufficient condition he obtained the boundedness of F on $\mathbb{R}$.

The class of quasi-periodic functions has been extended by Bohr [28] 1925 to his almost periodic (ap) functions, which can be characterized as uniform limits on $\mathbb{R}$ of trigonometric polynomials $\sum_1^n c_j e^{i\omega_j t}$, with c_j complex and ω_j arbitrary real. Again the indefinite integral of an ap function is ap provided it is bounded (theorem of Bohl-Bohr), with corresponding applications to linear differential equations.

To include also discontinuous only locally integrable periodic functions, Stepanoff [73] in 1926 introduced what are now called Stepanoff-ap functions, limits of trigonometric polynomials with respect to the norm $||f||_{S^1} := \sup \{\int_t^{t+1} |f(s)|\, ds : t$ real $\}$. Bochner noticed that a bounded indefinite integral F of f is already Bohr-ap if f is Stepanoff-ap. Doss [37] 1961 extended this in the following way : If $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$

is bounded and if the differences $\Delta_h F = F_h - F$ are Bohr-ap for all $h > 0$, with $F_h(t) := F(t+h)$, then F is ap. In the case where F is the indefinite integral Pf of f, this gives: If all means $M_h f$ are ap for $h > 0$ and if Pf is bounded, Pf is ap. For $\mathcal{MAP}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$, one gets: $f \in \mathcal{MAP}$ and Pf bounded implies $Pf \in AP$.

This is an extension of the above mentioned Bohl-Bohr theorem, since one has $AP \subset \{$ Stepanoff-ap functions$\} \subset \mathcal{MAP}$, and both inclusions are strict. Such an extension can now be carried over to solutions of linear differential-difference systems ([13, e.g. Theorem 4.1]), again instead of the right side f being Bohr-ap it suffices to assume only $f \in \mathcal{MAP}$.

Non-periodic ap functions appear not only in the above mentioned perturbation problem. For example all bounded (non-resonant) solutions of the boundary value problem for the wave equation are ap in the time variable ([2, Chapter 5]).

To treat partial differential equations, one has to consider ap functions with values in a Banach space X. Furthermore there are other types of interesting asymptotic behavior, for example Bochner's almost automorphic functions [24], Fréchet's asymptotically ap functions ($f+g$, with f ap and $g(t) \to 0$ as $|t| \to \infty$)[43], Eberlein ap functions [40] or the recently introduced pseudo-ap functions of Zhang [77] 1994.

For a discussion of such function classes and especially for harmonic analysis and synthesis it is essential to have a mean $lim_{T\to\infty}(1/2T)\int_{-T}^{T} f(s)\,ds$, and indeed all these functions are ergodic in some sense.

With all the above and especially applications to differential equations in mind, starting with an arbitrary class $\mathcal{A}$ of functions $f : \mathbb{J} \to X$ which are only locally integrable, $\mathbb{J}$ any infinite interval from $\mathbb{R}$ containing some $[n, \infty)$, X a Banach space, we introduce as above the classes $\mathcal{MA}$, $\mathcal{M}^2\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{MA})$,..... Usually (but not always) one has $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{MA}$ and then $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{MA} \subset \mathcal{M}^2 \cdots$; for $\mathcal{A} = AP$, or a similar class, or $\mathcal{A} = \{$ ergodic functions $\}$ all these inclusions are strict, giving a whole hierarchy of generalized $\mathcal{A}$-functions. Since the Bohr-mean can be extended to ergodic distributions (§8), harmonic analysis becomes possible for all the $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A}$, as soon as it is possible for $\mathcal{A}$.

Consider the extensions $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}U$ of a subset U of Schwartz's vector valued distributions $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$. The space $\mathcal{D}'_U(\mathbb{R}, X)$ consists of those $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ for which convolution $T * \varphi \in U$ for all test functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$. One gets $\cup_0^\infty \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n U = \mathcal{D}'_U(\mathbb{R}, X)$. With $U = AP$ and $X = \mathbb{C}$ Schwartz's [71, p. 206] $\mathcal{B}'_{pp}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{D}'_{AP}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$.

If a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ has a derivative f', then almost by definition $f' \in \mathcal{MA}$. Under some additional assumptions one can show the converse: $\mathcal{MA} = \{f + g' : f, g \in \mathcal{A}, g'$ "exists" $\}$, similarly for the distribution class $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}U$. Here and for several other results the essential assumption is that $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the "(Δ)-condition", which means that if for a locally integrable $f : \mathbb{J} \to X$ the differences $\Delta_h f \in \mathcal{A}$ for all

$h > 0$ then also $f - (1/s)\int_t^{t+s} f(v)\,dv \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $s > 0$. Fortunately practically all the important function spaces satisfy this condition (§4).

The introduction of these mean classes $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$ not only makes possible the generalization and sharper formulation of results on the asymptotic behavior of solutions of linear differential-difference systems ([13]), it also collects and unifies diverse results in the theory of ap functions and analogues, as exemplified in Proposition 5.6 below, furthermore some new results on the global behavior of derivatives can be obtained thereby (§10). Finally with these concepts an extension of a tauberian criterion essential in the study of differential equations to also unbounded functions is possible (§9).

We give now a detailed description of the contents of the following sections:

In §1 we introduce the various function spaces treated, especially ap functions, their analogues and generalizations, and ergodic functions. Also we give here some characterizations of functions with (uniformly) continuous differences needed later.

In section 2 we study the extension $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}^2\mathcal{A} \subset \cdots$ systematically, as well as a corresponding one for $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A}$ for classes $\mathcal{A}$ of vector-valued distributions from $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$, completing and generalizing results from [13, Section 3] and Schwartz [71, pp. 206-207, 247-248]. It turns out that under weak assumptions all inclusions are strict (Proposition 3.8) and $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A}$ are subsumed by "$\mathcal{A}$-distributions" $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$ consisting of all $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $T * \varphi \in \mathcal{A}$, for all test functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$: $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}} = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}} = \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$. We give various characterizations of $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$ and similar ones needed for $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ (Theorems 2.11, 2.16).

In Sections 3, 4 we give conditions under which the various assumptions needed for Section 2 are fulfilled, especially $A \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ and the central condition (Δ) of Definition 1.4 below. For practically all the spaces of generalized almost periodic or ergodic functions $\mathcal{E}$ they hold (Examples 3.4-3.7, 4.7, 4.15, 4.16). In Propositions 4.9-4.10 we show that both $L^p_w(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $O(w)(\mathbb{J}, X)$ satisfy (Δ). In Proposition 3.8, Examples 3.9 and Corollary 3.10 we demonstrate that the inclusions $\mathcal{M}^k A \subset \mathcal{M}^{k+1}\mathcal{A}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are strict for various classes $\mathcal{A}$. See also Proposition 7.1 and Remark 7.2.

In Section 5 we show $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} + \{T^{(n)} : T \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and similarly for $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$ (Corollaries 5.2- 5.4). With this any S^p-ap ϕ is of the form $f + g'$, f, g ap (Corollary 5.4). Results of Stepanoff and Bochner are united and generalized to $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A} \cap C_u \subset \mathcal{A}$ (Proposition 5.6). Furthermore, it is shown that $\mathcal{M}AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})|\,[0, \infty)$ is strictly contained in $\mathcal{M}[AP([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})]$ (Example 5.5)).

In Theorem 6.1 we characterize the distributions from $\mathcal{D}'_{AP} = \mathcal{D}'_{S^pAP}$ by Bohr's, Bochner's and von Neumann's definitions. Further relations between $\mathcal{A} =$ Bohr-ap functions AP, asymptotic ap functions AAP, Eberlein ap functions EAP, Weyl ap functions W^pAP, Besicovitch ap functions B^pAP and their distribution spaces $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$ can be found above Proposition 6.5.

In various places we need and derive results of the form " if all the differences $\Delta_h f$ are in U, then f is in V" (Propositions 1.5, 1.7, Lemmas 4.4, 4.19), extending several earlier results [11], [30], [48], [8]. As applications we get several extensions (Proposition 6.5, Corollary 6.6 and after Corollary 6.6) of the Bohl-Bohr-Kadets theorem: if for ap $f : \mathbb{R} \to X$ the indefinite integral Pf is bounded, it is ap too, provided $c_0 \not\subset X$ [54]. These results are not covered by the theorems of [13].

Further we obtained several new properties of the classes of ergodic functions $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}}$, needed in applications (Proposition 7.1, Remark 7.2). Especially, we prove (Δ) for $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{E}_0 = \{f \in \mathcal{E}$ with mean $(f) = 0\}$, Av, Av_0.

In Section 8 the ergodic mean is extended to $\widetilde{m} : \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}} \to X$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is invariant with respect to multiplication by characters $e^{i\omega t}$, so also are $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$. Hence Fourier series and Bochner spectra may be defined for functions and distributions in these classes. For distributions from $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$ where $\mathcal{A}$ is Bohr ap, asymptotically ap or Eberlein weakly ap functions the classical results carry over, for $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$ with a suitable topology (see after (8.7)).

In Section 9 we introduce the notion of spectrum of a function $\phi \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with respect to a class $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and obtain tauberian criteria (Proposition 9.5, Theorem 9.7, Corollary 9.8, Remark 9.11) which play a decisive role in describing the asymptotic behavior of also unbounded solutions of many differential equations, and with the Loomis condition (L_U) a unified treatment of such situations. For the case $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$ see [3-4], [16], [9, Sections 4-5], [10, Sections 4-6], [67, Sec. 4-5], [5-6], [17], [34] and references therein.

§1. Notation, Definitions and Preliminaries

In the following $\mathbb{J}$ will always be an interval of the form $\mathbb{R}, (\alpha, \infty), [\alpha, \infty)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, $\mathbb{R}^+ = (0, \infty)$, $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \cdots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$. Denote by X a real or complex Banach space, with scalar field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}(X)$, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, and by $L(X)$ the Banach space of all linear bounded operators from X to X.

If f is a function defined on $\mathbb{J} \to X$, then f_s, $\Delta_s f$ will stand for the functions defined on $\mathbb{J}$ by $f_s(t) = f(t+s)$, $\Delta_s f(t) = f_s(t) - f(t)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s + \mathbb{J} \subset \mathbb{J}$, $|f|$ will denote the function $|f|(t) := ||f(t)||$ for all $t \in \mathbb{J}$ and $||f||_\infty := \sup_{x\in\mathbb{J}} ||f(x)||$.

For $U, V \subset X$ or $\subset X^{\mathbb{J}}$, $U - V := \{u - v : u \in U, v \in V\}$; similarly for $U + V$.

If $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, then Pf will denote the indefinite integral defined by $Pf(t) = \int_{\alpha_0}^t f(s)\, ds$ (where $\alpha_0 = \alpha + 1$ respectively 0 if $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$, all integrals are Lebesgue-Bochner integrals (see [53, p. 79], [39], [50]), similarly for measurable).

The space of functions with continuous derivatives of order up to k defined on $\mathbb{J}$ with values in a Banach space X will be denoted by $C^k(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $C(\mathbb{J}, X)$:

$C^0(\mathbb{J}, X)$. The spaces of all constants, bounded continuous, continuous with relatively compact range, continuous with relatively weakly compact range, uniformly continuous, bounded uniformly continuous, uniformly continuous with relatively compact range, uniformly continuous with relatively weakly compact range, uniformly continuous and vanishing at infinity, and continuous with compact support in $\mathbb{J}$ functions will respectively be denoted by X, $C_b(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $C_{rc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $C_{wrc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $C_{urc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $C_{uwrc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $C_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $C_c(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

$C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$ will stand for the space of infinitely differentiable functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to X$.

The character γ_ω is defined by $\gamma_\omega(t) := e^{i\omega\, t}$, ω, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The Fourier transform of $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with complex X is $\hat{f}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_\omega(-t) f(t)\, dt$ and the reflection of f is defined by $\check{f}(t) = f(-t)$.

The Sobolev spaces $W^{1,n}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ are defined below in (2.5).

$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K})$ denotes the Schwartz test functions (infinitely differentiable $\mathbb{K}$-valued functions with compact support in $\mathbb{J}$) [71, pp. 21, 24], [41, pp. 299-302].

$\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ denotes the set of linear continuous $T : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K}) \to X$ as in [71, pp. 24, 30] or [70, p. 49].

Here $\mathbb{J}$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K})$, $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ is always open.

Similarly, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ will stand for the Schwartz space of all rapidly decreasing infinitely differentiable $\mathbb{K}$-valued functions defined on $\mathbb{R}$ (see [75, p. 146]) and

$\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is the space of Banach valued tempered distributions of linear continuous $T : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) \to X$ (see [71, p. 234]).

$(\mathcal{D}_{L^p})'(\mathbb{R}, X) := \{T : \mathcal{D}_{L^p}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) \to X : T \text{ linear, continuous}\}$, $1 \le p \le \infty$ where $\mathcal{D}_{L^p}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ contains all $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ with $\varphi^{(j)} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ for $0 \le j < \infty$, and $\varphi_m \to 0$ in $\mathcal{D}_{L^p}$ meaning $\varphi_m^{(j)} \to 0$ in the $L^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$-norm for $0 \le j < \infty$ see [71 , p. 199]. The topology on $(\mathcal{D}_{L^p})'$ is given by the seminorms $||T||_V := sup\{||T(\varphi)|| : \varphi \in V\}$, V bounded set in $\mathcal{D}_{L^p}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$; V bounded means here sup $\{||\varphi^{(j)}||_{L^p} : \varphi \in V\} < \infty$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

$T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $T \in (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ means there is a (unique) $\widetilde{T} \in (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $\widetilde{T}\,|\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) = T$.

Translates T_a for distributions T are defined in accordance with the above definition of translates f_a for functions f by $T_a(\varphi) := T(\varphi_{-a})$ (contrary to the definition in [71, (II, 5; 2), p. 55]).

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ or $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$. We use the following assumptions for $\mathcal{A}$.

Cone : $sF + tG \in \mathcal{A}$ if $F, G \in \mathcal{A}$ and $s, t \in [0, \infty)$.

$\mathbb{Q}$-*convex* : $-\sum_{k=1}^{m} F_k/m \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $F_k \in \mathcal{A}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Real-linear: $sF + tG \in \mathcal{A}$ if $F, G \in \mathcal{A}$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Positive-invariant: translate $F_a \in \mathcal{A}$ if $F \in \mathcal{A}$ and $a \in [0, \infty)$.

C-*invariant*: For $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $f|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$, $f|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Invariant: $F_a \in \mathcal{A}$ if $F \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Uniformly closed : $(\phi_n) \subset \mathcal{A}$ and $\phi_n \to \phi$ uniformly on $\mathbb{J}$ implies $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$.

C^∞-*uniformly closed* : Uniformly closed, but only for $(\phi_n) \subset \mathcal{A} \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

(Γ): $\gamma_\omega \phi \in \mathcal{A}$ for all characters $\gamma_\omega(t) = e^{i\omega\, t}$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$.

(L_U): For $\mathcal{A}, U \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$: $\phi \in U$, $\Delta_h \phi \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $h > 0$ implies $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$.

(L'_U): For $\mathcal{A}, U \subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$: $T \in U$, $\Delta_h T \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $h > 0$ implies $T \in \mathcal{A}$.

For $U = C_b(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $C_{uwrc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ we write

(L_b), (L_u), (L_{ub}), (L_{uwrc}).

There in (L'_U) the "$\in$" for $T = f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $U \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ means for example there is $g \in \mathcal{A}$ with $f = g$ almost everywhere on $\mathbb{J}$; in (L_U) however $\phi = g$ everywhere on $\mathbb{J}$. (See special case to Lemma 4.4 below).

For $\mathcal{A}$ with (L_U) respectively (L'_U) we say that $\mathcal{A}$ is a U-Loomis class (see Loomis [60, p. 365], Caracosta-Doss [31], [13, pp. 117, 120]).

A function $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ is called *ergodic* if there is a constant $m(\phi) \in X$ such that

$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{J}} || \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \phi(x+s)\, ds - m(\phi) || \to 0$ as $T \to \infty$.

The limit $m(\phi)$ (clearly unique) is called the mean of ϕ.

A function $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ is called *totally ergodic* if $\gamma_\omega\, \phi$ is ergodic for all characters $\gamma_\omega(t) = e^{i\omega\, t}$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$.

In this paper we adopt the following notation (note the difference from [9], [10], [13] (there $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}$ stands for $\mathcal{E}_{ub}, \mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}_{ub}$ defined below)):

The space of all ergodic (totally ergodic) functions from $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ will be denoted by

$\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $\quad$ ($\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, then $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$).

We set

$\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X) := \{\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X) : m(\phi) = 0\}$, $\mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X) := \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X) \cap C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$,
$\mathcal{E}_{0,ub}(\mathbb{J}, X) = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X) \cap C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$,
$\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X) := \{\phi \in \mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X) : \gamma_\omega \phi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X),\ \omega \in \mathbb{R}\}$,
$\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X) := \mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X) \cap C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}_{0,ub}(\mathbb{J}, X) = \mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X) \cap C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

If Y is a locally convex complex space, let $\Pi(\mathbb{R}, Y)$ stand for the set of all trigonometric polynomials

$\pi(t) := \sum_{j=1}^m a_j e^{i\,\omega_j\, t}$, where $a_j \in Y$, $\omega_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, \cdots, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$P_\tau(\mathbb{J}, Y) := \{\phi \in C(\mathbb{J}, Y) : \phi(t+\tau) = \phi(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{J}\}$, τ fixed > 0.

A function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to Y$ is called *almost periodic* (ap) if and only if to each neighborhood V of 0 of Y there exists $\psi \in \Pi(\mathbb{R}, Y)$ with $\psi - \phi \in V$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$;

for $Y =$ Banach space X this means the existence of a sequence $(\pi_n) \subset \Pi(\mathbb{R}, X)$ such that $||\pi_n - \phi||_\infty \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

The space of all almost periodic functions will be denoted by $AP(\mathbb{R}, Y)$, always

$AP(\mathbb{R}, Y) \subset C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, Y)$.

Since $\Pi(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset \mathcal{TE}_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, one gets also $AP(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset \mathcal{TE}_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, X Banach space. See [2, p. 21], [33, Lemma 1].

A function $\phi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is *almost periodic* if and only if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the set of ε-periods $\mathcal{T}(\phi, \varepsilon) := \{\tau \in \mathbb{R} : ||\phi(t + \tau) - \phi(t)|| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}\}$
is relatively dense in $\mathbb{R}$ (Bohr's Definition);
here $M \subset \mathbb{R}$ relatively dense means the existence of an $l > 0$ such that

$M \cap [t, t + l] \neq \emptyset$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

$\phi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is almost periodic if and only if $\{\phi_s : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is relatively compact in $C_b(\mathbb{R}, X)$ (Bochner's definition). See [2, p. 7], Proposition 6.2, Lemma 6.4 and (vii) after Lemma 6.4.

A function $\phi \in C(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is called Bochner almost automorphic (aa) if to each sequence (s_m) from $\mathbb{R}$ there exists a subsequence (s_{m_n}) such that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(t + s_{m_n})$ exists, $=: g(t)$, and furthermore $g(t - s_{m_n}) \to \phi(t)$ as $n \to \infty$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. (See [24, p 2041, Definition 2], [46, pp. V, 11] and the references there).

$UAA(\mathbb{R}, X)$ will denote the set of all uniformly continuous Bochner aa $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to X$. For characterizations via ε-periods "for $|t| \leq M$ " see [13, p. 119] and the references there.

$LAP_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ will denote the set of Levitan ap $=$ N-ap functions which are in $C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X)$; for various equivalent definitions with "local ε-periods" see again [13, p. 119] and the references there, also [59, section 4], [9, Definition 2.1.3 (iii), Theorem 2.1.4].

Let $\phi \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Denote by

$||\phi||_{S^p_l} := \sup_{x\in\mathbb{J}} [\frac{1}{l} \int_x^{x+l} ||\phi(t)||^p \, dt]^{1/p}, \qquad ||\phi||_{S^p} := ||\phi||_{S^p_1}$,

$||\phi||_{W^p} := \lim_{l\to\infty} ||\phi||_{S^p_l}$,

$||\phi||_{B^p} := \overline{\lim}_{T\to\infty} [\frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} ||\phi(t)||^p \, dt]^{1/p}$.

The limit $\lim_{l\to\infty} ||\phi||_{S^p_l}$ always exists (see [21, pp. 72-73], also valid for X-valued ϕ).

$S^p_b(\mathbb{J}, X) := \qquad \{\phi \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X) : ||\phi||_{S^p < \infty}\}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

A function $\phi \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ is called respectively

Stepanoff S^p*-almost periodic*,

Weyl W^p*-almost periodic*,

Besicovitch B^p*-almost periodic*,

if and only if there exists a sequence $(\pi_n) \subset \Pi(\mathbb{J}, X)$ such that respectively

$||\pi_n - \phi||_{S^p} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$,

$||\pi_n - \phi||_{W^p} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$,

$||\pi_n - \phi||_{B^p} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Stepanoff S^p-almost periodic functions, Weyl W^p-almost periodic functions, Besicovitch B^p-almost periodic functions for all $1 \le p < \infty$ will be denoted respectively by

$$S^p AP(\mathbb{J}, X), \qquad W^p AP(\mathbb{J}, X), \qquad B^p AP(\mathbb{J}, X)$$

(see [2, p. 76], [21, pp. 71-78], [27, pp. 34-36]).

Here for $\mathcal{A} = AP$, $S^p AP$, $W^p AP$, $B^p AP$, UAA, LAP_{ub} for simplicity reasons we define for $\mathbb{J} \neq \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{J}, X) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}, X) | \mathbb{J}. \tag{1.1}$$

For most of these spaces one can give definitions for $\mathbb{J} \neq \mathbb{R}$ such that (1.1) becomes a theorem; for $AP(\mathbb{J}, X)$ see [47, p. 96, footnote 11, Satz], but also Example 5.5.

A function $\phi \in C_b(\mathbb{J}, X)$ is called *asymptotically almost periodic* (respectively *Eberlein almost periodic*) if $\mathbb{J}$ is a semigroup, $H(\phi) = \{\phi_s : s \in \mathbb{J}\}$ is relatively compact (respectively weakly relatively compact) in $C_b(\mathbb{J}, X)$; here for asymptotically almost periodic only $\mathbb{J} \neq \mathbb{R}$ is allowed. A function $\phi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is called *asymptotically almost periodic* if $f = g + \xi$ with $g \in AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $\xi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}, X)$ (see [43, footnote (1) p. 521], [68, pp. 14-15]).

$AAP(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $EAP(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $EAP_{rc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$

will respectively stand for the spaces of asymptotically almost periodic functions, Eberlein almost periodic functions, EAP-functions with relatively compact range.

By the Eberlein-Šmulian theorem [39, p. 430], for $\phi \in C_b(\mathbb{J}, X)$, ϕ Eberlein ap is equivalent with: To each sequence $(t_n) \subset \mathbb{J}$ there is a subsequence $(t_{n_m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\psi \in C_b(\mathbb{J}, X)$ such that $\phi_{t_{n_m}} \to \psi$ weakly in $C_b(\mathbb{J}, X)$.
For EAP one has

$$EAP(\mathbb{R}, X) \,|\, \mathbb{J} \subset EAP(\mathbb{J}, X).$$

For closed $\mathbb{J}$ see §11 question 19.

$EAP(\mathbb{J}, X)$ is defined only for semigroups $\mathbb{J}$, that is $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$, (α, ∞) or $[\alpha, \infty)$ with $\alpha \ge 0$ ([20, p. 138], $X = \mathbb{C}$, [45], [62], [68, p. 15], [69, p. 424], [9, pp. 12-14]).

Furthermore for example

$$EAP(\overline{\mathbb{J}}, X) | \mathbb{J} \text{ strictly} \subset EAP(\mathbb{J}, X) \text{ for } \mathbb{J} = (\alpha, \infty) \text{ with } \alpha > 0: \tag{1.2a}$$

There exists $\phi \in C_b((\alpha, \infty), X)$ vanishing on $[2\alpha, \infty)$ which cannot be extended continuously to $[\alpha, \infty)$; such a $\phi \in EAP((\alpha, \infty), X)$. Then ϕ gives the "strictly". $\subset$ follows from the definitions with $y(f) := z(f|(\alpha, \infty))$ for $z \in [C_b((\alpha, \infty), X)]^*$ (see also [20, p. 143 Theorem 4.2.10] for $X = \mathbb{C}$).

Whereas one can show

(1.2b) $EAP(\overline{\mathbb{J}}, X) | \mathbb{J} = EAP(\mathbb{J}, X)$ if $\mathbb{J} = (0, \infty)$:

Let $\phi \in EAP((0,\infty), X)$ and $(t_n) \subset (0, \infty)$ with $t_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then $(\phi_{t_n}) \subset C_b([0,\infty), X)$ and $\phi_{t_{n_k}} \to \phi$ weakly in $C_b((0,\infty), X)$. By Mazur's theorem [75, p. 130] a sequence (ψ_m) of convex linear combinations of $(\phi_{t_{n_k}})$ converges to ϕ in $C_b((0,\infty), X)$. This implies $\lim_{m\to\infty} \psi_m \in C_b([0,\infty), X)$ showing that ϕ can be extended by continuity to $[0, \infty)$. By the Hahn-Banach and Mazur theorems this extension $\in EAP([0,\infty), X)$.

Also, one has, for any X and $0 \leq \alpha < \beta < \infty$

(1.2c) $EAP([\beta,\infty), X) = EAP([\alpha,\infty), X)|[\beta,\infty) = EAP((\alpha,\infty), X)|[\beta,\infty)$:

$A_1 := EAP([\alpha,\infty), X)|[\beta,\infty) \subset EAP((\alpha,\infty), X)|[\beta,\infty) =: A_2$:
This follows with " $\subset$ " from (1.2a).

$A_2 \subset EAP([\beta,\infty), X) =: A_3$:
Follows with $y(\phi) := z(\phi|[\beta,\infty))$ for $z \in [C_b([\beta,\infty), X)]^*$.

$A_3 \subset A_1$:
If $f \in EAP([\beta,\infty), X)$, then $f_{2\beta} \in EAP(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$: If $(r_m) \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, $t_m := \beta + r_m \in [\beta, \infty)$, so there is a subsequence (t_{m_n}) and a $g \in W := C_b([\beta,\infty), X)$ with $f_{\beta + r_{m_n}} \to g$ weakly in W. If now $y \in U^*$, $U := C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$, $z(w) := y(w_\beta)$ defines a $z \in W^*$, so $y((f_{2\beta})_{r_{m_n}}) = y((f_\beta)_{\beta + r_{m_n}}) = z(f_{\beta + r_{m_n}}) \to z(g)$. Since $f_{2\beta},\ f_\beta \in U$; with $G := g_{-\beta}$ on $[2\beta, \infty)$, $G := g(\beta)$ on $[0, 2\beta)$ one has $G \in U$ and $G_\beta = g$ on $[\beta,\infty)$, so $y((f_{2\beta})_{r_{m_n}}) \to y(G)$, showing $f_{2\beta} \in EAP(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. Then $f_{2\beta} \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ by [69, Proposition 2.1] or [9, Theorem 2.3.4]; this implies $F \in C_{ub}([\alpha,\infty), X)$ if $F := f$ on $[\beta, \infty)$, $:= f(\beta)$ on $[\alpha, \beta)$. If now $(s_m) \subset [\alpha, \infty)$ has a subsequence $s_{m_n} \to s_0 \in [\alpha, \infty)$, $F_{s_{m_n}} \to F_{s_0}$ even uniformly on $[\alpha, \infty)$. Else $s_m \to \infty$, so there is a subsequence (t_n) with $t_n > 2\beta$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As above, to $y \in V^*$, $V := C_b([\alpha,\infty), X)$, $z(w) := y(w_{\beta-\alpha})$ defines a $z \in W^*$, one gets $y(F_{t_n}) = y(f_{t_n}) = y((f_{t_n + \alpha - \beta})_{\beta - \alpha}) = z(f_{t_n + \alpha - \beta})$. Since $t_n + \alpha - \beta \geq \beta$, there are a subsequence (t'_n) of (t_n) and a $g \in W$, both independent of y, with $y(F_{t'_n}) \to z(g) = y(g_{\beta-\alpha})$ as above with $g_{\beta-\alpha} \in V$, i.e. $F \in EAP([\alpha,\infty), X)$.

(1.2c), (1.2b) and [9, Theorem 2.3.4] give for any X

(1.2d) $EAP(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for closed $\mathbb{J}$ or $\mathbb{J} = (0, \infty)$.

For any admissible $\mathbb{J}$ and arbitrarily X one has

$$AP(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset UAA(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset LAP_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X) \qquad ((1.1),\ [13,\ \text{p. } 119]).$$

(1.2e) $EAP(\mathbb{J}, X) \cap LAP_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X) = AP(\mathbb{J}, X)$, for $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{R}_+$, any X:

This follows with $\phi \in EAP(\mathbb{J}, X)$ implies $\phi = \psi + \xi$, where $\psi \in AP(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $\xi \in EAP_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$ ([68, p. 18] for $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$, [69, Theorem 2.4] for $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}_+$) and $EAP_0(\mathbb{J}, X) \cap LAP_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X) = \{0\}$ (see [132, p. 1142, Proposition 2.4]).

Also, one has (see [62, Example 1])

$AP(\mathbb{J},X) \subset EAP_{rc}(\mathbb{J},X) \subset EAP(\mathbb{J},X)$, any X, admissible $\mathbb{J}$,

(1.2f) $\quad EAP(\mathbb{J},X) \subset \mathcal{TE}(\mathbb{J},X)$ for any X, admissible $\mathbb{J}$:

If $\mathbb{J} = (\alpha,\infty)$ or $[\alpha,\infty)$ and $f \in EAP$, then, with $\mathbb{J}' := [\alpha+1,\infty)$, $f|\mathbb{J}' \in EAP(\mathbb{J}',X)$ by (1.2c), and, again with (1.2c), $f|\mathbb{J}' = F|\mathbb{J}'$ with $F \in EAP(\mathbb{R}_+,X)$, $\subset \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+,X)$ by [69, p. 425, Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.3]. With $f \in C_b(\mathbb{J},X)$ one gets $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J},X)$; since $EAP(\mathbb{J},X)$ satisfies (Γ) almost by definition, $f \in \mathcal{TE}(\mathbb{J},X)$ follows. If $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$, $f|\mathbb{R}_+ \in EAP(\mathbb{R}_+,X)$ as in (1.2c), $\subset \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+,X)$; since then also $\check{f} \in EAP(\mathbb{R},X)$, $\check{f}|\mathbb{R}_+ \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}_+,X)$; together $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R},X)$, then $f \in \mathcal{TE}(\mathbb{R},X)$.

With (1.2d) one gets

$(1.2f')$ $\quad EAP(\mathbb{J},X) \subset \mathcal{TE}_{ub}(\mathbb{J},X)$ for X, $\mathbb{J}$ as in (1.2d).

If $V(\mathbb{J},X) \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$, we define

$VAP(\mathbb{J},X) :=$

$V(\mathbb{J},X) + AP(\mathbb{J},X) := \{v+f : \ v \in V(\mathbb{J},X), \ f \in AP(\mathbb{J},X)\}$;

$V = C_0(\mathbb{J},X)$ gives $AAP(\mathbb{J},X)$,

$V = EAP_0(\mathbb{J},X) = EAP$-null functions $:=$

$\{f \in EAP(\mathbb{J},X) : 0$ in weak closure of $\{f_h : h \in \mathbb{J}\}$ in $C_b(\mathbb{J},X)\}$

gives $EAP(\mathbb{J},X)$ (see [69, p. 424], [68, p. 34], [64, Theorem]).

Define

$Av_0(\mathbb{J},X) := \{f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X) : lim_{T\to\infty}(1/T)\int_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_0+T} f(t)\,dt$ exists, $= 0\}$,

$Av_n(\mathbb{J},X) := \{f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X) : lim_{T\to\infty}(1/T)\int_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_0+T} ||f(t)||\,dt$ exists, $= 0\}$.

Then $V = Av_n(\mathbb{J},X) \cap C_b(\mathbb{J},X)$ gives ($\mathbb{J} \neq \mathbb{R}$) Zhang's pseudo-almost periodic functions $PAP_0(\mathbb{J},X)$ ([78, p. 168], [77], [14]).

Other examples would be (ergodic null functions)

$V = \mathcal{E}_n(\mathbb{J},X) := \{f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J},X) : |f| \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J},\mathbb{R})\}$,

$V = \mathcal{E}_{n,ub}(\mathbb{J},X) := \{f \in \mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{J},X) : |f| \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J},\mathbb{R})\}, = \mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{J},X) \cap \mathcal{E}_n(\mathbb{J},X)$.

If an $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{J},\mathbb{K}) \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},\mathbb{K})$ is given, the corresponding *weak* class is defined by (see [2, p. 38], $\mathcal{A} = AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$)

(1.3) $\quad \mathcal{WA}(\mathbb{J},X) := \{f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X) : \ y \circ f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{J},\mathbb{K})$ for all $y \in X^*\}$.

All the above $\mathcal{A}$ *except* $C_0(\mathbb{J},X)$, $C_c(\mathbb{J},X)$ *are linear, positive invariant and* $uniformly\, closed$, for VAP see Proposition 7.13.

This follows mostly from the definitions; uniformly closed for C_{rc} is clear with relatively compact = totally bounded in X, for C_{wrc} follows as in [13, p. 119 below, for C there, continuity is not necessary]

In the sequel if there is no danger of confusion we omit $\mathbb{J}, X$ in referring to any of the above classes; for example we write AP instead of $AP(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

Definition 1.1. *Let* $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *or* $\subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *and* $0 \neq h \in \mathbb{R}$. *Define*

$$\text{(1.4)} \qquad M_h\phi(t) := \tfrac{1}{h}\int_0^h \phi(t+s)\,ds, \qquad t \in \mathbb{J}.$$

$$\text{(1.5)} \qquad \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A} := \{\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X) : \qquad M_h\phi \in \mathcal{A} \qquad \textit{for all } \ h > 0\}.$$

Recursively if $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{(1.6)} \qquad \mathcal{M}^k\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}^{k-1}\mathcal{A}),\ \mathcal{M}^1\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A},\ \mathcal{M}^0\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A} \cap L^1_{loc} =: \mathcal{A}_{Loc}.$$

Similarly, we define these means for distributions:

Definition 1.2. *Let* $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *and* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$. *Define*

$$\text{(1.7)} \qquad \widetilde{M}_h T(\varphi) := T(M_{-h}\varphi),\ \ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K}) \ \textit{with } \varphi := 0 \ \textit{on } \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{J} \ \textit{if } \mathbb{J} \neq \mathbb{R}.$$

Set

$$\text{(1.8)} \qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A} = \{T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X) : \widetilde{M}_h T \in \mathcal{A} \qquad \textit{for all } \ h > 0\}.$$

Recursively if $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{(1.9)} \qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^k\mathcal{A} := \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{k-1}\mathcal{A}),\ \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^1\mathcal{A} = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A} \ \textit{and} \ \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^0\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}.$$

(1.7) indeed defines a distribution from $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ if $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$. The operator $\widetilde{M}_h$ is linear, continuous and coincides with M_h of Definition 1.1 on $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ since

$$\int_{\mathbb{J}} M_h(\phi)(s)\varphi(s)ds = \int_{\mathbb{J}} \phi(s)(M_{-h}\varphi)(s)ds$$

for all $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K})$ with φ extended by 0 on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{J}$ if $\mathbb{J} \neq \mathbb{R}$. For $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $a > 0$ also $T_a \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$, and $\widetilde{M}_h$ commutes with translation, $\widetilde{M}_h(T_a) = (\widetilde{M}_h T)_a$ if $h > 0$ (respectively $a \in \mathbb{R}$ if $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$).

Definition 1.3. *For* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ *define*

$$\text{(1.10)} \qquad \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \{T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X) : T * \varphi \in \mathcal{A} \quad \textit{for all } \ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})\}.$$

Here $(T * \varphi)(x) := T((\check{\varphi})_{-x})$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$
(see [70, (I, 3; 12), p. 72] or [75, p. 156, (2)]).

We use $s_h := (1/h)\chi_{(-h,0)}$, where $\chi_{(-h,0)}$ is the characteristic function of the interval $(-h, 0)$, $h > 0$; $s_h := (1/(-h))\chi_{(0,-h)}$ if $h < 0$.
For $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ the convolution $\phi * s_h$ is defined on $\mathbb{J}$, $\in C(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and in this sense one has

$$\text{(1.11)} \qquad M_{h_1} \cdots M_{h_k}\phi = (\phi * s_{h_k}) * \cdots * s_{h_1} \text{ on } \mathbb{J}, \text{ for all } h_1 > 0, \cdots, h_k > 0.$$

For closed $\mathbb{J}$ and $\tilde{\phi} = \phi$ on $\mathbb{J}$ and $:= 0$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{J}$, and substituting $\tilde{\phi}$ for ϕ in (1.11), we can use there the usual convolution, defined and continuous on $\mathbb{R}$.

Moreover, if $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ respectively $\mathcal{A} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A}$ (see Proposition 3.2), then

(1.12) $\mathcal{M}^{k-1}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}^{k}\mathcal{A}$ respectively $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{k-1}\mathcal{A} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{k}\mathcal{A}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

For any $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ or $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has, almost by definition

(1.13) If $f \in \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$, $g = f$ almost everywhere on $\mathbb{J}$, then $g \in \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$.

Here and later we need the following Doss-condition (see Caracosta-Doss [31], Doss [37] and Lemma 2.3, Propositions 2.4, 4.2, 5.1, 8.1, Corollary 2.15 below):

Definition 1.4. *We say that* $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *or* $\subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *satisfies* (Δ) *if for any* $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *for which the differences* $\Delta_s\phi \in \mathcal{A}$, $0 < s \in \mathbb{R}$, *one has* $(\phi - M_h\phi) \in \mathcal{A}$ *for all* $h > 0$*;*

$\mathcal{A}$ *satisfies* (Δ_1) *if the conclusion holds for* $h = 1$.

$\mathcal{A}$ *satisfies* (Δ') *respectively* (Δ'_1) *if for any* $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *for which the differences* $\Delta_s T \in \mathcal{A}$ *for all* $s > 0$ *one has* $(T - \widetilde{M_h}T) \in \mathcal{A}$ *for all* $0 < h \in \mathbb{R}$ *respectively* $h = 1$ *(here both "$\in$" are meant in the distribution sense).*

(Δ') for $\mathcal{A} \subset C(\mathbb{J}, X)$ implies (Δ);

for $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ one only gets $(\phi - \widetilde{M_h}\phi)$ "$\in \mathcal{A}$ a.e".

For a converse see Proposition 4.3.

Proposition 1.5. *Let* $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ *be an arbitrary interval,* $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. *If then for* $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(I, X)$ *the difference* $\Delta_h\phi \in C^k(I^{-h}, X)$ *for all* $h \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, *then* $\phi \in C^k(I, X)$ *(see Remark 1.6 (i)).*

Here $I^{-h} = (\alpha, \beta - h)$ respectively $(\alpha, \beta - h]$ if $I = (\alpha, \beta)$ respectively $(\alpha, \beta]$, similarly for $[\alpha, \beta)$, $[\alpha, \beta)$, $-\infty \le \alpha < \beta \le \infty$.

Proof. Case $k = 0$: For $X = \mathbb{K}$ one gets continuity of ϕ on (α, β) by [49, Lemma 13, p. 224] which reads : Assume $I = (\alpha, \beta)$ open interval $\subset \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, $f : I \to \mathbb{K}$ Lebesgue measurable, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $\Omega \cap (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ is Lebesgue measurable with positive measure. If then the differences $\Delta_h f \in \mathcal{A}(I^{-h}, \mathbb{K})$ for each $h \in \Omega$, then $f \in \mathcal{A}(I, \mathbb{K})$. Here $\mathcal{A}$ can be $W^{p,k}_{loc}$ or C^k (among others), $I^{-h} := (\alpha + |h|, \beta - |h|)$ (see also [30, p. 197, theorem 1.3] or [10]).

If α or $\beta \in I$ continuity there follows from the continuity of the $\Delta_h\phi$ in α respectively β.

For general X, ϕ is weakly continuous on I by the above and therefore locally norm bounded. Choose a fixed t_0 such that $I_0 := [t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon] \subset I$ with positive ε, then define $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to X$ by $\psi = \phi$ on I_0 and $\psi = \phi(t_0 - \varepsilon)$ left of I_0 and $\psi = \phi(t_0 + \varepsilon)$ to the right; then ψ is boundded and weakly continuous on $\mathbb{R}$. To ψ one can apply a result of Gelfand [44, p. 237, Satz 1] which implies: If X is separable Banach space and $f : \mathbb{R} \to X$ is weakly continuous, then the set of discontinuity of f is at most of first category (see [75, p. 12, Baire's theorem 2, valid for X-valued x_n]), of $\Delta_{t_0-t_n}\phi$ at t_n, so on the interior of I (see also [10, Theorem 2.1 (b)]). Continuity at eventual end points follows as above.

Case $k = 1$: By [49, Lemma 13] for each $x^* \in X^*$, $(x^* \circ \phi)'$ exists and is continuous first on I°, then on I. Define $G(t)(x^*) := (x^* \circ \phi)'(t)$, $t \in I$, $x^* \in X^*$. By the uniform boundedness theorem [39, p. 55], $G(t) \in X^{**}$. The definition of G gives $\Delta_h G = (\Delta_h \phi)'$, $\in C(I^{-h}, X)$ even. We show that G is weakly continuous on I. Indeed, take $y \in X^{***}$, then $y|X =: x^* \in X^*$. One has $y(G(t+h) - G(t)) = x^*(\Delta_h G(t)) = \Delta_h G(t)(x^*)$, $\to 0$ as $h \to 0$, since G is w*-continuous by its definition above. This gives weak continuity of G on I^o, then on I with $\Delta_h \phi \in C^1(I^{-h}, X)$. This implies $G \in L^\infty_{loc}(I, X^{**})$. The case $k = 0$ gives $G : I \to X^{**}$ is continuous. Now $hG(t) = \int_0^h G(t+s)\,ds - \int_0^h \Delta_s G(t)\,ds$ (with the X^{**}-Bochner integral, existing because of continuity), for all $0 < h < \varepsilon_0$, $t \in I^{-h}$. Since $\Delta_s G(t) \in X$, by a theorem for the Bochner integral (see [50, p. 62, §2, Aufgabe 29]), one gets that the second integral is in X = closed linear subspace of X^{**}. With Hille's theorem for the Bochner integral ([75, p. 134, Corollary 2]) one gets $\int_0^h G(t+s)\,ds(x^*) = \int_0^h G(t+s)(x^*)\,ds = \int_0^h (x^* \circ \phi)'(s+t)\,ds = \Delta_h(x^* \circ \phi)(t) = x^*(\Delta_h \phi)(t)$, $x^* \in X^*$.

This gives $\int_0^h G(t+s)\,ds = \Delta_h \phi(t)$, $\in X$, $t \in I^{-h}$. The first equation then gives $G(t) \in X$ for $t \in I^o$, then $t \in I$ with $\Delta_h G(t) \in X$, one gets $G(I) \subset X$.
Similarly as in the calculations above one shows $\Delta_h \phi(t) = \int_t^{t+h} G(s)\,ds$. Since $G \in C(I, X)$, differentiation with respect to h at $h = 0$ is possible and gives: the norm derivative $\phi'(t)$ exists $= G(t)$, first from $t \in I^o$, then from I with $\Delta_h \phi \in C^1(I, X)$ and also $\phi' = G$ there. Since G is continuous by the above, $\phi \in C^1(I, X)$.

Case $k \Rightarrow k+1$: Obvious.¶

Remarks 1.6. *(i) Proposition 1.5 holds also if $\phi : \mathbb{J} \to X$ is only Lebesgue-measurable instead of locally integrable (see Lemma 4.19).*

(ii) Lemma 13 [49, p. 224] (see also [30, p. 200, Lemma 3.1]) holds also for X-valued f, $\mathcal{A} := C^k$, M^k_{loc}, $W^{p,k}_{loc}$.

Let $f \in C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $w(t) = 1 + |t|$. Set

$$||f||_u := ||f/w||_\infty + \sup_{t \in [0,1]} ||f - f_t||_\infty. \tag{1.14}$$

Proposition 1.7.
(i) If $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $\Delta_h \phi \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all $0 < h \le$ some positive ε_0, then ϕ is uniformly continuous.
(ii) If $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $\Delta_h \phi \in C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all $h > 0$, then $||\Delta_h \phi)/w||_\infty \to 0$ as $h \to 0$, $w(t) = 1 + |t|$.
(iii) $C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$ endowed with $|| \cdot ||_u$ defined by (1.14) is a Banach space.

Proof. (i) By Proposition 1.5 $\phi \in C(\mathbb{J}, X)$. For the $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$, the assumption gives

$\mathbb{J} = (\alpha, \infty) \neq \mathbb{R}$, $\Delta_h \phi$ and therefore ϕ can be continuously extended to $[\alpha, \infty)$ and then to $\mathbb{R}$ by $\phi(t) = \phi(\alpha)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{J}$, implying $\Delta_h \phi \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ for all $0 < h \leq \varepsilon_0$ for the extension. By the above $\phi \in C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

(ii) Let $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $\Delta_h \phi \in C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all $h > 0$. Then $\Delta_s(\Delta_h \phi) \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all $h,\ s > 0$. It follows that $\Delta_s(\phi - M_h \phi) = \Delta_s(\phi) - M_h \Delta_s(\phi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (1/n) \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\Delta_s \phi - (\Delta_s \phi)_{s_k}), \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all $h,\ s > 0$, here $s_k = (hk)/n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. One gets $\phi - M_h \phi \in C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all $0 < h \in \mathbb{J}$, by (i). Hence $\phi = M_1 \phi + g$ with $g \in C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$. Since even $||\Delta_h g||_\infty \to 0$ as $h \to 0$, one has to consider only $u := M_1 \phi$. Since $u \in C^1(\mathbb{J}, X)$ with $u' = \Delta_1 \phi \in C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $\Delta_h u = \int_0^h u'(t+s)\, ds$, $||\Delta_s u'||_\infty \leq \varepsilon$ for all $0 < s < \delta(\varepsilon)$. Since u'/w is bounded, one gets $||(\Delta_h u)/w||_\infty \leq h||u'/w||_\infty + \varepsilon ||h/w||_\infty$ for all for all $0 < h < \delta(\varepsilon)$. This proves (ii).

(iii) That $|| \cdot ||_u$ is a norm is obvious. Using (ii) one can show that $(C_u, || \cdot ||_u)$ is complete. (The strictly stronger topology of uniform convergence for C_u is not linear and so is not normable; see also Kolmogoroff's theorem [39, p. 91]). ¶

§2 New classes of vector valued distributions

In the following we use freely Schwartz's theory for Banach space valued distributions; as remarked in [71, p. 30], most non-topological results carry over to $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$. Especially, the convolution $S * T$ is well defined and belongs to $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$, if $S \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ and $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ or vice versa, and (independently) S or T has compact support. This convolution is commutative, bilinear and $T * \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$ if $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$. Moreover, one has

(2.1) $\qquad (S * T)' = S' * T = S * T'$;

(2.2) $\qquad (S * T)_a = S_a * T = S * T_a,\ a \in \mathbb{R}$;

(2.3) $\qquad (U * V) * W = U * (V * W)$,

if one of $U, V, W \in \mathcal{D}'$ is X-valued and the other two are $\mathbb{K}$-valued and furthermore (independently) if two of the U, V, W have compact support.

This can be reduced to the scalar case as follows:

If $S \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ with compact support, then with $\check{\varphi}(t) = \varphi(-t)$,

(a) $(S * T)(\varphi) := S(T * \check{\varphi}), \qquad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$;

If T does not have compact support, then S has, choose $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ with $\rho \equiv 1$ on an open neighborhood of supp S, and define

(b) $(S * T)(\varphi) := S(\rho \cdot T * \check{\varphi}), \qquad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$;
$S * T := T * S, \quad$ if $\ T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $S \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$.

For $X = \mathbb{K}$ this gives the scalar convolution [75, p. 62, Definition 1, p. 156, (2), p. 158, (8) and Theorem 3].

In (a) respectively (b)

$T * \check{\varphi} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ respectively $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ with $(T * \check{\varphi})(t) = T(\varphi_{-t})$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ [75, p. 156, Proposition 1].

In (b) supp S is defined as in the scalar case, as there one has $S(\varphi) = 0$ if supp $\varphi \cap$ supp $S = \emptyset$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ [75, p. 62, Definition 1, Theorem 1]; this immediately shows that the definition in (b) is independent of the choice of ρ.

So $S * T : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) \to X$ is always well defined, linear and commutative.

By Proposition 2.7 below (with $(T * \check{\varphi})^{(j)} = T * (\check{\varphi})^{(j)}$),
if $\varphi_n \to 0$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, then
$T * \check{\varphi}_n$ respectively $\rho \cdot (T * \check{\varphi}_n) \to 0$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$,
so $S * T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

If $y \in$ dual X^*, by definition one has $y \circ (S * T) = (y \circ S) * T$ respectively $S * (y \circ T)$ with $y \circ S$ respectively $y \circ T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$.

(2.1)-(2.3) then follow from the scalar case ([75, p. 159, (9), (10)]).

For L^1_{loc}-functions distribution convolution is given by the usual Bochner integral. With (2.3) and s_h as after (1.10) one can show (see (1.11))

$$\widetilde{M_h} T = T * s_h, \qquad T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X), \qquad 0 \neq h \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.4}$$

If we cite a Theorem of Schwartz (for the scalar case), it is understood that the proofs work also for the Banach space case and open $\mathbb{J} \neq \mathbb{R}$.

In what follows $\mathcal{A}$ will always be a fixed subset of $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ or $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

Lemma 2.1. *If $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, then $T' \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$.*

Proof. By (2.1), $T' * \varphi = T * \varphi'$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$; since $\varphi' \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ the statement follows from (1.10). ¶

Lemma 2.2. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is real-linear and positive-invariant, $\subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ or $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has*

(a) if $T \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mathbb{J}$ open, then $T^{(n)} \in \widetilde{M}^n \mathcal{A}$;

(b) if $T \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbb{J}$ open with distribution derivative $T^{(n)} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, then $T^{(n)} \in \mathcal{M}^n \mathcal{A}$ and $T \in W^{1,n}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$;

(c) if $F \in \mathcal{A} \cap W^{1,n}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, then $F^{(n)} \in M^n \mathcal{A}$ (any $\mathbb{J}$; see (1.13)).

Here for every interval I of positive length and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} W^{1,n}_{loc}(I, X) := \{ & f \in C^{n-1}(I, X) : f^{(n-1)} \text{ locally absolutely continuous} \\ & \text{on } I \text{ and } (f^{(n-1)})' \text{ exists a.e. in } I\}, \\ = \{ & T \in \mathcal{D}'(I, X) : T^{(n)} \in L^1_{loc}(I, X)\} \text{ for } I \text{ open}, \\ & \mathcal{W}^{1,0}_{loc}(I, X) := L^1_{loc}(I, X), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

with $f^{(n)} := 0$ where $(f^{(n-1)})'$ does not exist, then $f^{(n)} \in L^1_{loc}(I, X)$.

Proof. (a) $n = 1$: $\widetilde{M_h} T'(\varphi) = T'(M_{-h}\varphi) = -T((M_{-h}\varphi)') = T(\varphi_{-h} - \varphi)/h =$

Since with $\mathcal{A}$ also $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A}$ is linear respectively positive-invariant, one can complete the proof by induction.

(b) $\{T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)\colon T^{(n)} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)\} = W^{1,n}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for open $\mathbb{J}$ follows using indefinite integrals $P^i g$, $g = T^{(n)}$.

If $n = 1$, $T' = g = f'$ as after (2.5), then $f - T =$ constant, so $\Delta_h f = \Delta_h T \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\Delta_h f = hM_h(f')$ with the fundamental theorem of calculus [53, p. 88, Theorem 3.8.6], yielding $f' \in \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$. The general case follows by induction with $f - T =$ polynomial with coefficients from X, if $f \in W^{1,n}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ with $f^{(n)} = T^{(n)}$.

(c) follows as in (a) and (b), since $F' \in W^{1,n-1}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$. ¶

Lemma 2.3. *If $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ or $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ satisfies (Δ_1) and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$ satisfies (Δ_1); if additionally $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$, also $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ satisfies (Δ'_1). The same holds for (Δ) instead of (Δ_1), $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ then satisfies (Δ'). If $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ satisfies (Δ'_1) (respectively (Δ')), also $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A}$ satisfies (Δ'_1) (respectively (Δ')).*

Proof. Case $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$: If $0 < h \in \mathbb{R}$, $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ with $\Delta_s f \in \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ for $s > 0$, one gets $\Delta_s M_h f = M_h \Delta_s f \in \mathcal{A}$. By assumption then $(M_h f - M_a M_h) f \in \mathcal{A}$ for $a = 1$ (respectively $a > 0$). Since with Fubini-Tonelli; $M_h M_a f = M_a M_h f$ on $\mathbb{J}$ for $a, h > 0$, one get $M_h(f - M_a f) \in \mathcal{A}$ or $(f - M_a f) \in \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$. With induction, $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$ has (Δ_1)) (respectively (Δ)).

The case $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A}$ is treated similarly with (Δ'_1) (respectively (Δ')), since if $s, h, k > 0$

$$\Delta_s \widetilde{M_h} T = \widetilde{M_h} \Delta_s T \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{M_h}\widetilde{M_k} T = \widetilde{M_k}\widetilde{M_h} T \text{ for } \; T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X). \tag{2.6}$$

Case $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$: Assume $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $\Delta_h T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $h > 0$, i.e. $\Delta_h(T * \varphi) = (\Delta_h T) * \varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, with $T * \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$. By assumption $T * \varphi - M_a(T * \varphi) \in \mathcal{A}$. Now by (1.7), (2.3) and (2.4)

$$M_a(T * \varphi) = (T * \varphi) * s_a = (\widetilde{M_a} T) * \varphi. \tag{2.7}$$

Together one gets $(T - \widetilde{M_a} T) * \varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ or $(T - \widetilde{M_a} T) \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$. ¶

Proposition 2.4. *If $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$,*

(a) $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

(b) If furthermore $\mathcal{A}$ is a cone satisfying (Δ_1), then

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X).$$

Proof. (a) $T \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ means $\widetilde{M_h} T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ or with (2.3) and (2.4), $(T * s_h) * \varphi = T * (s_h * \varphi) \in \mathcal{A}$, $h > 0, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$; since $s_h * \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ and $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, this gives the proof of the inclusion.

(b) "$\subset$": Let $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $\widetilde{M_h} T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $h > 0$. By [71, p. 51, Théorème I] there exists $S \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $S' = T$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Since (any $\mathbb{J}$)

$$\widetilde{M}\,(S') = (1/h)\Delta\, S, \; h > 0 \tag{2.8}$$

and since $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{J},X)$ is also a cone, $\Delta_h S \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{J},X)$ for $h>0$. With (Δ_1), Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.1,

$T-(\widetilde{M}_1 S)' = (S-\widetilde{M}_1 S)' =: U \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R},X)$. Now (also for open $\mathbb{J}\neq\mathbb{R}$)

$$(\widetilde{M}_a S)' = \widetilde{M}_a(S'). \tag{2.9}$$

Hence $T=(\widetilde{M}_1 S)'+U=\widetilde{M}_1(S')+U=\widetilde{M}_1 T+U\in\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R},X)$.

"$\supset$" follows by (a). ¶

The monotonicity of the $\widetilde{M_h}$-operator gives

Corollary 2.5. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is as in Proposition 2.4 (b) with $\mathcal{A}*\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})\subset\mathcal{A}$, one has*

$$\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}\subset\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A}\subset\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R},X),\qquad n\in\mathbb{N}_0. \tag{2.10}$$

Corollary 2.6. *For $\mathcal{A}$ as in Proposition 2.4 (b), one has*

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R},X)=\mathcal{D}'_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R},X)=\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R},X)=\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R},X),\qquad n\in\mathbb{N}_0.$$

Proof. The definitions, (2.4) and (2.3), since $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A}\cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R},X)=\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R},X)$. ¶

In the following (see [71, p. 72, Théorème IX])

$U\subset\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J},X)$ *bounded* means $\sup\{||T(\varphi)||: T\in U\}<\infty$ for each $\varphi\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{J},\mathbb{K})$,

$\mathcal{D}_K:=\mathcal{D}_K(\mathbb{J},\mathbb{K}):=\{\varphi\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{J},\mathbb{K}):\operatorname{supp}\varphi\subset K\}$,

$||\varphi||_{\infty,m}:=\sum_{j=0}^{m}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{J}}|\varphi^{(j)}(x)|$.

Lemma 2.7. *If $U\subset\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J},X)$ is bounded and $K\subset\mathbb{J}$ is compact, then there exists $m\in\mathbb{N}$ with*

$$||T(\varphi)||\le m\,||\varphi||_{\infty,m}\qquad \text{for all } T\in U,\qquad \varphi\in\mathcal{D}_K(\mathbb{J},\mathbb{K}). \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. It is enough to find some ball $K_{\psi,m,\varepsilon}=\{\varphi\in\mathcal{D}_K: ||\varphi-\psi||_{\infty,m}\le\varepsilon\}$ with sup $\{||T(\varphi)||: T\in U,\varphi\in\mathcal{D}_{K_{\psi,m,\varepsilon}}\}<\infty$. Assuming this not to be the case, for $K=K_0=K_{0,0,2}$ there exists $T_1\in U$, $\psi_1\in K_{0,0,1}$ with $||T_1(\psi_1)||>1+1$. By [71, Théorème XX p. 82] there is a ball $K_1=K_{\psi_1,m_1,\varepsilon_1}\subset K_0$ with $||T_1(\varphi)||>1$ on K_1. Recursively one finds $T_n\in U$, $\psi_n\in\mathcal{D}_{K_n}$, m_n, $\varepsilon_n>0$ with $m_n\to\infty$, $\varepsilon_n\to 0$, $K_n=K_{\psi_n,m_n,\varepsilon_n}\subset K_{n-1}$ and $||T_n(\varphi)||\ge n$ for $\varphi\in K_n$. The completeness of $\mathcal{D}_K$ gives $\psi\in\mathcal{D}_K$ with $\psi_n\to\psi$, then $\psi\in\cap_{n=1}^{\infty}K_n$. But then $||T_n(\psi)||\ge n$, contradicting the boundedness of U. ¶

Lemma 2.8. *If $F_n(t):=t^{n-1}/((n-1)!)$ for $t>0$, $:=0$ for $t\le 0, n\in\mathbb{N}$, then $F_n^{(n)}=\delta$, $F'_{n+1}=F_n$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ and for $T\in\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R},X)$ there exist $\gamma,\zeta_n\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ with*

$$T=((\gamma F_n)*T)^{(n)}+\zeta_n*T,\qquad n\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{2.12}$$

Proof of (2.12). (See [71, (VI.6.22), p. 191]) : Choose $\gamma\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ with $\gamma=1$ in a neighborhood of 0, $\zeta_n:=\delta-(\gamma F_n)^{(n)}$. Then $\zeta_1=\delta-\gamma F'_1-\gamma' F_1=-\gamma' F_1\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$. Inductively $\zeta_{n+1}:=\delta-(\gamma F'_{n+1}+\gamma' F_{n+1})^{(n)}=\delta-(\gamma F_n+\gamma' F_{n+1})^{(n)}=\zeta_n-(\gamma' F_{n+1})^{(n)},\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$. ¶

Proposition 2.9. $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R},X) = \mathcal{D}'_{C_b}(\mathbb{R},X) = \mathcal{D}'_{C_{ub}}(\mathbb{R},X) = \mathcal{D}'_{(\mathcal{D}_{L^\infty})}(\mathbb{R},X)$
$= (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R},X)\,|\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$.

Remark. *Our* $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R},X)$ *of Definition 1.3 is a priori different from the* $(\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty})(\mathbb{R},X) = (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R},X)$ *of [71, p. 200].*

Proof. Last "$\supset$": If $T : \mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K}) \to X$ is linear and sequentially continuous, the standard contradiction argument gives $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that, with $||\varphi||_{1,m_0} := \sum_{j=0}^{m_0} ||\varphi^{(j)}||_{L^1(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})}$,

(2.13) $$||T(\varphi)|| \le m_0||\varphi||_{1,m_0}, \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K}).$$

With this, $T * \varphi(x) = T((\check{\varphi})_{-x})$ is bounded in $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for fixed $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$, so $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R},X)$.

Since $T * \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},X)$ if $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$, $(T * \varphi)^{(n)} = T * (\varphi^{(n)})$ and $\mathcal{D}_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R},X) \subset C_{ub}(\mathbb{R},X) \subset C_b(\mathbb{R},X) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R},X)$, the first three equalities follow.

For $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R},X) \subset (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R},X)$ we show, for $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R},X)$ (see [71, p. 201-202]):

If $\mathcal{A}$ is C^∞-uniformly closed, $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R},X)$, then to $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R},X)$ there exists $F, G \in \mathcal{A} \cap C(\mathbb{R},X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with

(2.14) $$T = F + G^{(n)}.$$

With (2.12) one can take $F = \zeta_n * T \in \mathcal{A} \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R},X)$, it remains to show that $(\gamma F_n) * T \in \mathcal{A}$ for suitable n (γ is independent of n):

$U := \{T_x : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R},X)$, since $T_x(\check{\varphi}) = T((\check{\varphi})_{-x}) = (T * \varphi)(x)$ is continuous in x, $\subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R},X)$. If supp $\gamma \subset [-a,a]$, to U and $K := [-a-1, a+1]$ there is m with (2.11) by Lemma 2.7. Choose $n := m+2$, then $F_n \in C^m(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$, supp $\gamma F_n \subset [-a,a]$. Therefore there exists $(\varphi_j) \subset \mathcal{D}_K(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$ with $||\varphi_j - \gamma F_n||_{\infty,m} \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. (2.11) shows that $(T * \varphi_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy with respect to uniform convergence on $\mathbb{R}$, so by the assumption on $\mathcal{A}$ one has $T * \varphi_j \to G \in \mathcal{A}$, uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$, $G \in C_b(\mathbb{R},X)$.

One has $(\gamma F_n) * T = G$: For this $((\gamma F_n) * T) * \varphi = G * \varphi$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$ is enough. Now $(T * \varphi_j) * \varphi \to G * \varphi$ (uniformly) on $\mathbb{R}$, $\varphi_j \to \gamma F_n$ uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$ implies $\psi_j := \varphi_j * \varphi \to (\gamma F_n) * \varphi$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$, so $((T * \varphi_j) * \varphi)(x) = (T * \psi_j)(x) = T((\check{\psi}_j)_{-x}) \to$
$T(((\gamma F_n * \varphi)\check{\ })_{-x}) = (T * (\gamma F_n) * \varphi))(x) = (((\gamma F_n) * T) * \varphi)(x)$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
This gives the desired result $G * \varphi = ((\gamma F_n) * T) * \varphi$, and so (2.14).

Since $L^\infty(\mathbb{R},X) \subset (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R},X)$ and the latter is closed with respect to differentiation and addition, (2.14) for $\mathcal{A} = L^\infty(\mathbb{R},X)$ gives

$\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R},X) \subset (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R},X)|\,\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$. ¶

The extension process $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R},X)$ is iteration complete:

Theorem 2.10. *If* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R},X)$ *is closed under addition, then* $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathbb{R},X) = \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R},X)$.

Proof. "$\supset$": Follows from the definitions, associativity (2.3) and

$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K}) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$.

"$\subset$": If $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathbb{R},X)$, $(T*\varphi)*\psi = T*(\varphi*\psi) \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $\varphi,\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$. By [66, Theorem 3, p. 554] (see also [42, pp. 584, 587], [36]) one has

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}) + \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}). \tag{2.15}$$

This implies

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) = \textstyle\sum_1^4 \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}). \tag{2.16}$$

By the above and the assumption on $\mathcal{A}$, $T*\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$, i. e. $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R},X)$. ¶

Remark. *For $\mathcal{A}$ as in the following Theorem 2.11, Theorem 2.10 can be shown directly without [66], using an analogue to Lemma 2.7 for $T*\varphi*\psi$, $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathbb{R},X)$.*

Theorem 2.11. *If $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R},X)$ is C^∞-uniformly closed, closed under addition and $\mathcal{A}*\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K}) \subset \mathcal{A}$, then for $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R},X)$ the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R},X)$, i.e. $T*\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$;

(b) there exist $F, G \in \mathcal{A} \cap C(\mathbb{R},X)$ and a nonnegative integer $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, such that
$T = F + G^{(n)}$ (distribution derivative);

(c) there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $F_j \in \mathcal{A}$ with $T = F_1^{(k_1)} + \dots + F_m^{(k_m)}$;

(d) $T \in (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R},X)$ and there exists $(\phi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A} \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R},X)$ with $\phi_n \to T$ in $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R},X)$;

(e) there exists $(\phi_n) \subset \mathcal{A}$:
$\phi_n \to T$ uniformly on each U with $U \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$, U bounded in $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R},X)$;

(f) $T \in$ closure of $\mathcal{A}$ in $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R},X)$.

Remark 2.12. *Theorem 2.11 becomes false if $\mathcal{A}$ is not C^∞-uniformly closed: $\mathcal{D}'_{C_c}(\mathbb{R},X) \neq (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R},X)$-closure of $C_c(\mathbb{R},X)$, $= \mathcal{D}'_{C_0}(\mathbb{R},X)$. However one can show directly*

$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{R},X) = \mathcal{D}'_{C_c}(\mathbb{R},X) = \{T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R},X) :$ supp T compact $\} = \mathfrak{E}'(\mathbb{R},X)$ (see [75, p. 62] for the case $X = \mathbb{C}$).

Proof of Theorem 2.11. $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$: This has been shown in the proof of (2.14) above; the assumption $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R},X) \subset \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R},X)$ needed is now fulfilled, since $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R},X)$ implies $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R},X) \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}}(\mathbb{R},X) = \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R},X)$ by Theorem 2.10.

$(b) \Rightarrow (c)$: trivial.

$(c) \Rightarrow (d)$: Since $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under addition, one can assume $T = F^{(k)}$, $F \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\phi_n = T*\rho_n = F*\rho_n^{(k)}$ again $\in \mathcal{A}$, $0 \le \rho_n \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n(x)\,dx = 1$, supp $\rho_n \subset [-(1/n), 1/n]$. With $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R},X)$,

Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.9 one can assume $T \in (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R},X)$. Assuming U bounded $\subset \mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$, one has to show uniformly in $\varphi \in U$,

$\phi_n(\varphi) = \phi_n * \check{\varphi}(0) = T*(\rho_n*\check{\varphi})(0) = T(\check{\rho}_n*\varphi) \to T(\varphi)$

since T satisfies (2.13) by the proof of Proposition 2.9, it is enough to show $||\check{\rho}_n * \varphi) - \varphi||_{1,m} \to 0$ uniformly in $\varphi \in U$. But this follows with $\psi = \varphi^{(j)}$ and $a = 1/n$ from

$||\rho * \psi - \psi||_{L^1} \leq 2a||\rho||_{L^1}||\psi'||_{L^1}$ if supp $\rho \subset [-a, a]$,
$\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ with $\psi, \psi' \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, X)$. The ψ-inequality one gets with
$\psi(x) - \psi(x-t) = \int_{x-t}^{x} \psi'(s)\, ds = \int_{-t}^{0} \psi'(x+s)\, ds$ and twice Fubini-Tonelli.

$(d) \Rightarrow (e)$: obvious.

$(e) \Rightarrow (a)$: Since $U_\varphi = \{(\check{\varphi})_{-x} : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ for fixed $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, $\phi_n * \varphi \to T * \varphi$ uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$, so $T * \varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ by the assumption on $\mathcal{A}$.

$(d) \Rightarrow (f)$: obvious. Here $T \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ means that there exists an extension $\widetilde{T} \in (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ with $\widetilde{T} \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$.

$(f) \Rightarrow (a)$: By the definition of the topology of $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'$ above, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, to $U_\varphi = \{(\check{\varphi})_{-x}\}$, $\varepsilon_n = 1/n$ there exists $F_{n,\varphi} \in \mathcal{A}$ with $|F_{n,\varphi} * \varphi - T * \varphi| \leq \varepsilon$ on $\mathbb{R}$, $F_{n,\varphi} * \varphi \in \mathcal{A}$. ¶

Corollary 2.13. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is as in Theorem 2.11, one has*

$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ *(closure of $\mathcal{A}$ in $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ =sequential closure of $\mathcal{A}$ (here "sequential closure" means (d) of Theorem 2.11)).*

Corollary 2.14. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is as in Theorem 2.11, and $\mathcal{A}_* \subset U \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ then $\mathcal{D}'_U(\mathbb{R}, X) = \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$.*

Here $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \phi_j * \varphi_j : m \in \mathbb{N}, \phi_j \in \mathcal{A}, \varphi_j \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})\}, \subset \mathcal{A} \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Proof. If $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, one has $T = F + G^{(m)}$ with Theorem 2.11 (b), $F, G \in \mathcal{A}$, so $T * \varphi = F * \varphi + G^{(m)} * \varphi = F * \varphi + G * \varphi^{(m)}, \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$. This means $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\mathbb{R}, X), \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ since $\mathcal{A}_* \subset \mathcal{A}$, so $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Then $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset U \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ gives

$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset \mathcal{D}'_U(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$
with Theorem 2.10. ¶

The special cases $U = \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$ or $U = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A}$ follow already with Corollary 2.6 for more general $\mathcal{A}$.

Corollary 2.15. *If $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is real linear, positive-invariant, C^∞- uniformly closed, $\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) \subset \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ and if (Δ_1)) holds for $\mathcal{A}$, then*

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A}. \qquad \textit{(2.17)}$$

This implies, for $\mathcal{A}$ as in Corollary 2.15, since

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A} \cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X) = \mathcal{M}^n[\mathcal{A} \cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)] = \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}, \qquad (2.18)$$

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A} \text{ with } \mathcal{M}^0\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A} \cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, X) \qquad (2.19)$$

Proof. If $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, with Theorem 2.11 (b) one has $T = F + G^{(m)}$ with $F, G \in \mathcal{A}$. $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{MA} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A}$ implies $\mathcal{A} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^m\mathcal{A}$, then $F \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^m\mathcal{A}$; since also $G^{(m)} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A}$ by Lemma 2.2, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^m\mathcal{A}$ is with $\mathcal{A}$ real linear, one gets $T \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^m\mathcal{A}$.

Conversely, $\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) \subset \mathcal{A}$ implies $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\widetilde{M}^n\mathcal{A} \subset \widetilde{M}^n\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}, = \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$ by Proposition 2.4, with (Δ_1). ¶

Special cases (for S^p_b see §1 or [13, p. 132, (3.3)], $L^\infty \subset S^p_b$):

(2.20) $\mathcal{A} \subset S^p_b(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with some $1 < p < \infty$, $\mathcal{A}$ C^∞-uniformly closed,
$$\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) \subset \mathcal{A} \text{ implies } \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{MA}.$$

(2.21) $\mathcal{A} \subset S^1_b(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $\mathcal{A}$ linear, invariant, uniformly closed,
$$\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{MA} \text{ implies } \qquad \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) \subset \mathcal{A}.$$

For a Theorem 2.11-analogue for $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ we need

(2.22) $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{J}, X) := \{f \in C(\mathbb{J}, X) : f = O(|x|^n) \text{ as } |x| \to \infty \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

With $w_k(x) = (1 + x^2)^{k/2}$ for $x, k \in \mathbb{R}$ one has

(2.23) $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}, X) := \{g w_k : g \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, X), k \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

The topology of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is given by (see [71, p. 234, (VII,3;2)]) the seminorms

(2.24) $||\psi||_{w_k,m} := \sum_{j=0}^m ||\psi^{(j)} w_k||_\infty, \qquad m, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Theorem 2.16. *If $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ the following statements are equivalent:*
(a) $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$;
(b) $T * \varphi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$;
(c) there exist $F \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $T = F^{(m)}$ (distribution derivative);
(d) there exists $m_o \in \mathbb{N}$ with $||T(\varphi)|| \leq m_o ||\varphi||_{w_{m_o}, m_o}$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$;
(e) $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)|\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$;
*(f) there exist $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $S_n(\varphi) \to T(\varphi)$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$
and $(S_n(\psi))$ is Cauchy in X for each $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$.*

Remark. *If (f) holds, then $S_n \to \widetilde{T}$ in the $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$- topology (i.e. uniformly on U, U bounded $\subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$) with $\widetilde{T} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ = unique extension of T; even $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is sequentially dense in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$.*

Proof. (For $X = \mathbb{C}$ part of this theorem is shown by Schwartz [71, Théorèmes IV, VI, pp .238-241, 244-245]): $(c) \Rightarrow (d) \Rightarrow (e) \Rightarrow$ "(d) for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$" $\Rightarrow (a) \Rightarrow (b)$ follows as in [71, pp. 238-241] respectively the proof of Theorem 2.11 with (for $(d) \Rightarrow (a)$)

(2.25) $w_k(x + y) \leq 2^{k/2} w_k(x) w_k(y), \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}, k > 0.$

$(b) \Rightarrow (a)$ follows from $(e) \Rightarrow (a)$ and Theorem 2.10 with $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}$.

$(d) \Rightarrow (f)$: $S_n := T * \rho_n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ by $(d) \Rightarrow (a)$, ρ_n as in the proof

By $(d) \Rightarrow (e)$ there is $S \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $S|\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) = T$, one has for $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, $\rho_n = \check{\rho}_n$,

$S_n(\psi) = (S * \rho_n) * \check{\psi}(0) = S * (\rho_n * \check{\psi})(0) = S(\rho_n * \psi)$ by associativity (2.3) for $U \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $V, W \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ (for $X = \mathbb{C}$: [71, Théorème XI, p. 247-248]). Since $\rho_n * \psi \to \psi$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ by (2.26) below, $S_n(\psi) \to S(\psi)$.

$$w_k(x)||\psi(x) - \psi(y)|| \leq 3|x - y| \cdot ||\psi||_{w_k,2}, \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R},\ |x - y| \leq 1,\ \psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, X),\ k \geq 0. \tag{2.26}$$

$(f) \Rightarrow (d)$: Lemma 2.7 and its proof hold also for U bounded $\subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$, with $||(\cdot)||_{\infty,m}$ replaced by $||(\cdot)||_{w_m,m}$ and $\mathcal{D}_K$ by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, U bounded meaning sup $\{||S(\psi)|| : S \in U\} < \infty$ for each $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$. This gives (d) for all S_n instead of T, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ and so (d) for T.

$(a) \Rightarrow (c)$: This is the main part of Theorem 2.16, we give a proof different from Schwartz [71, p. 240-241, case $X = \mathbb{C}$] and shorter:

For fixed compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $V_n := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) : ||(T * \varphi)(x)|| \leq n w_n(x),\ x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. (a) and (2.23) give $\mathcal{D}_K := \mathcal{D}_K(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$. The V_n are closed in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, since $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$. $\mathcal{D}_K$ being complete metric, by the Baire category theorem there are n_o, $\varepsilon_o > 0$, $\varphi_o \in \mathcal{D}_K$, m_o with $\{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K : ||\varphi - \varphi_o||_{\infty,m_o} \leq \varepsilon_o\} \subset V_{n_o}$. With suitable c this gives $||T * \varphi|| \leq c w_{n_o}(x)||\varphi||_{\infty,m_o}$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K$. Choosing $n = m_o + 2$, γ, K and $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{D}_K$ as in the proof of (2.14), one gets $(T * \varphi_j)/c w_{n_o} \to$ some $g \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, X)$, uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$, or $(T * \varphi_j) \to c\, w_{n_o} g =: G \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, locally uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$. As in the proof of (2.14), one shows $T * (\gamma F_n) = G$. Lemma 2.8 gives $T = F + G^{(n)}$ with $F, G \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Now with $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ also the indefinite integral $Pf \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, so $H := P^n F \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Hence $T = H^{(n)} + G^{(n)}$. This gives (c). ¶

Corollary 2.17. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is as in Theorem 2.11 and $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is also equivalent with*

(g) there is $\widetilde{T} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $\widetilde{T}|\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) = T$ and $\widetilde{T} * \psi \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$.

Proof. $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)|\,\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ by Theorems 2.10 and 2.16. Since $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is C^∞-uniformly closed, it is enough to show that $||T * \varphi_n||_\infty \to 0$ if $(\varphi_n) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, $\varphi_n \to 0$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$. This follows from Theorem 2.11 (b) for $\mathcal{A} = L^\infty$ since $||\varphi^{(m)}||_{L^1} \leq (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{1+t^2})\, ||\varphi||_{w_2,m}$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$. ¶

§3 Mean classes

In this section we discuss mainly the relation $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ and give various examples. Concerning the definition of the mean class $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$, if $\mathcal{A}$ is real-linear and positive-invariant $\subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, for $f \in \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ one of the following is sufficient :

(a) $H(f, A) := \{h > 0 : M_h(f) \in A\}$ has an interior point.

(b) $H(f, \mathcal{A})$ contains two rationally independent a, b, provided additionally $\mathcal{A}$ is uniformly closed and $Pf \in C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$, e.g. $f \in S^p_b(\mathbb{J}, X)$ with $1 < p \leq \infty$.

(c) $M_h(f) \in \mathcal{A}$ for just one positive h_0, provided $\mathcal{A}$ is a λ-class with e.g. (L_{ub}) and Pf uniformly continuous (see (b)); a special case is $\mathcal{A} = AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $c_0 \not\in X$.

This is a kind of Tauberian theorem: namely, $M_h(f) = f * s_h \in \mathcal{A}$ for some $h = h_0$ and $f \in S^p_b$ (e.g. L^∞) implies $f * s_h \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $h > 0$ (see e.g. [19, p. 130, Wiener Tauberian Theorem]).

A *λ-class* means here $\mathcal{A}$ is a closed linear subspace of $C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ which is C_{ub}-invariant under translations and multiplication by characters γ_ω and contains the constants (see [13, pp. 117-119]).

To see this, we first note, for convex and positive-invariant $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, that

(3.1) $\quad H(f, \mathcal{A})$ is an additive semigroup.

This follows from

(3.2) $\quad M_{h+k}(f) = (hM_h(f) + k(M_k(f))_h)/(h + k),\ h, k > 0.$

The assumptions of (a) and (3.2) give $(0, \varepsilon) \subset H(f, \mathcal{A})$ with positive ε, then $H(f, \mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{R}^+$ by (3.1).

To get (b), by the assumptions it is enough to show that $H(f, \mathcal{A})$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^+$. Now by the Kronecker approximation theorem ([52, p. 436, (iv)]) to $t > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < t$ there are integers m, n with $|ma + nb - t| < \varepsilon$, so $ma + nb > 0$. One can assume $m > 0$; if $n \geq 0$, $ma + nb \in H(f, \mathcal{A})$ by (3.1); if $n = -l < 0$, (3.2) with $h = ma - lb$ and $k = lb$ gives $h \in H(f, \mathcal{A})$, $H(f, \mathcal{A})$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^+$.

The uniform continuity of Pf for $f \in S^p_b$ with $p > 1$ follows with Hölder's inequality.

For (c) one can use [13, Theorem 4.1] : $M_{h_0}(f) =: F \in \mathcal{A}$ implies $F \in W^{1,1}_{loc}$ and $\Delta_{h_0} f = F'$ a.e., $\in \mathcal{MA}$ by Lemma 2.2(c). this is an equation of the form (1.1) of [13] with $n = 0$ (admissible) and $m = 2$, the determinant condition in Corollary 2.6 of [13] is here $-1 + \gamma_{h_0} \not\equiv 0$, obviously true.

Theorem 4.1 of [13] can be applied with $k = 1$: the needed $f \in \mathcal{M}C_{ub}$ is equivalent with $Pu \in C_u$ by Proposition 1.7 (i); right side $= F' \in \mathcal{M}^{1+1}\mathcal{A}$ holds since $F' \in \mathcal{MA}$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{MA}$ for λ-classes by Corollary 3.3 below, implying $\mathcal{MA} \subset \mathcal{M}^2\mathcal{A}$.

$AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is obviously a λ-class, it satisfies (L_{ub}) by a result of Kadets if c_0 is not isomorphic to a subspace of X (see[13, p. 120], $(L.1)$ there $= (L_{ub})$ now).

Instead of (L_{ub}) other assumptions are possible, see the end of section 9.

The proof shows that in (c) already $M_{h_0}(f) \in \mathcal{MA}$ suffices for $f \in \mathcal{MA}$.

For a given class $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ one always has, with Definitions (1.4)-(1.9), $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If moreover $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$, then $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \qquad & \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}^2\mathcal{A} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A} \subset \cdots \\ & \mathcal{A} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^2\mathcal{A} \subset \cdots \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A} \subset \cdots . \end{aligned}$$

The assumption $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ does not always hold:

Example 3.1. *There exists an infinite-dimensional invariant closed linear subspace $\mathcal{A}_e \subset C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ (with the sup-norm), for which $\mathcal{A}_e$ is even perpendicular to $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}_e$, i.e. $\mathcal{A}_e \cap \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}_e = \{0\} = \mathcal{A}_e \cap C_u(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$:*

If $\mathcal{A}_e =$ smallest such subspace containing $g(t) = e^{it^2}$, one has

(3.4) $\qquad \mathcal{A}_e = APg = \{\phi g : \phi \in AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})\}$.

(3.5) $\qquad 0 \neq f \in \mathcal{A}_e$, $h > 0$ implies $M_h f(t) \to 0$ as $|t| \to \infty$, but $f \not\to 0$.

Here (3.4) is clear; in $M_h f \to 0$ one can assume $f = \gamma_\omega g$ or g_ω, then $M_h f \to 0$ follows with integration by parts; $f = \phi g \in C_0$ implies $\phi \to 0$, then the Fourier coefficients $c_\omega(\phi) = 0$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\phi \equiv 0$.

All this extends to $AP(\mathbb{J}, X)g$, with arbitrary $\mathbb{J}$, X.

Similarly one gets $(\mathcal{A}_e * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})) \cap \mathcal{A}_e = \{0\}$, so $\mathcal{A}_e * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \not\subset \mathcal{A}_e$.

A simpler (non-complete) example would be

$\mathcal{A} = C_c((0, \infty), X)$: $\qquad \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A} = \{f = 0$ a.e. on $(0, \infty)\}$,

$C_0((0, \infty), X)$, $\qquad O(e^{t^2})$ (see before Proposition 4.10),

$X + \mathcal{A}_e$, $X + P\mathcal{A}_e$ (see after Corollary 4.22).

Sufficient conditions for $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ are given by

Proposition 3.2. *Assume $\mathcal{A}$ positive-invariant convex $\subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, let L be the linear hull of $\mathcal{A}$ and $C(\mathbb{J}, X)$ in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $|| \cdot || : L \to [0, \infty]$ satisfy ($0 \cdot \infty = 0$) $||f + g|| \leq$*

$||f|| + ||g||$, $||af|| = |a| \cdot ||f||$, $||f_a|| \leq ||f||$ for $f, g \in L$, $0 \leq a \in \mathbb{R}$, and $||M_h\phi - \phi|| \to 0$ as $0 < h \to 0$, $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$; assume furthermore $\mathcal{A}$ closed in L with respect to $|| \cdot ||$.

Then $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. ($|| \cdot ||$ need not be finite on $\mathcal{A}$ or L): For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $h > 0$ one has $M_h f \in C(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset L \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$. With $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta := h/m$, $s_j := j\delta$, $0 \leq j \leq m$, one gets

$||M_h f - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f_{s_j} \cdot (1/m)|| = ||1/h \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}} f_s \, ds - f_{s_j} \cdot \delta)|| =$

$|| \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\delta m} (\int_0^\delta f_t \, dt - \delta f)_{s_j} || \leq (1/m) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} ||M_\delta f - f|| = ||M_\delta f - f||$.

Since $||M_\delta f - f|| \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f_{s_j}/m \in \mathcal{A}$, one gets $M_h f \in \mathcal{A}$. ¶

Corollary 3.3. *If $\mathcal{A} \subset C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$ is convex, positive-invariant and uniformly closed then $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$.*

Examples 3.4. *Let* $\mathcal{A} = X,\ P_\tau,\ AP,\ AAP,\ EAP_{rc}, EAP,\ UAA,\ LAP_{ub},\ \mathcal{TE}_{ub},\ \mathcal{E}_{ub},\ C_{urc},\ C_{uwrc},\ C_{ub},\ C_u$. *Then for any* $\mathbb{J}$, X *one has*

$\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{MA} \subset \mathcal{M}^2\mathcal{A} \subset \cdots;$

for closed $\mathbb{J}$ *this holds also for* C_0, C_c.

For these $\mathcal{A}$ *also* $\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K}) \subset \mathcal{A}$ *if* $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$ *by (2.21). If* $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{J},\mathbb{K}) \subset \mathcal{MA}$, *also* $W\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}W\mathcal{A} \subset \cdots$.

Example 3.5. *Proposition 3.2 can be applied to* $\mathcal{A} = S^pAP,\ W^pAP,\ B^pAP$, $1 \le p < \infty$, *any* $\mathbb{J}$ *and* X, *with* $||\cdot||_{S^p}$ *respectively* $||\cdot||_{W^p}$, *respectively* $||\cdot||_{B^p}$. *For these also* $\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K}) \subset \mathcal{A}$ *if* $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$.

Indeed, these $||\cdot||$ satisfy the conditions in Proposition 3.2, $||M_h\phi - \phi|| \to 0$ as $h \to 0$ follows from the approximation theorem for the $\mathcal{A}$'s using $||M_h f|| \le c_p \cdot ||f||$ (see [50, p. 251, (42)]; see also [21, pp. 71-78], [27, pp. 34-36], [74, p. 14, Lemma 8]).

Furthermore,

$$AP \subset S^pAP \subset S^1AP \subset \mathcal{M}AP \text{ strictly if } 1 < p < \infty. \tag{3.6}$$

The three "$\subset$" and the "strictly" for the first two follows from the definitions.

An $f \in \mathcal{M}AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$, $-1 \le f \le 1$, but $f \notin S^1AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$, is given by
$f := \sum_{n=2}^\infty h_n$, with $h_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},[-1,1])$, $h_n \equiv 0$ on $[-2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}-1]$,
h_n with 2^{n-2} oscillations on $[2^{n-1}-1, 2^{n-1}]$ as $\sin(2^{n-1}\pi(t-2^{n-1}))$,
h_n period 2^n.

Since even $f_1 - f \notin S^1AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$, $g := f' \in \mathcal{M}^2AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{D}'_{pp}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$, $g \notin \mathcal{M}S^1AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$. See also [13, (3.8)].

Examples 3.6. *Direct calculations give* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{MA}$ *also for*
$\mathcal{A} = C_b(\mathbb{J},X),\ L^\infty(\mathbb{J},X),\ L^p(\mathbb{J},X),\ S^p_b(\mathbb{J},X),\ \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J},X)\,,\ \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J},X)$, *any* $\mathbb{J}$ *and* X, $1 \le p < \infty$, *and* $O(w)$ *of Proposition 4.10 if* $w \in \mathcal{M}O(w)$, *e.g.* $w = t^r$ *or* e^{rt} *with real* r.

$L^p(\mathbb{J},X) \subset \mathcal{M}L^p(\mathbb{J},X)$ follows with the continuous Minkowski inequality [50, p. 251, Aufgabe 92 before (42)]. For $\mathcal{TE}_0$, $\mathcal{TE}$ see Proposition 7.1.

The chains (3.3) can be finite:

Example 3.7. *For any* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *one has* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{MA} \cdots \subset \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}^{n+1}\mathcal{A} = \cdots$ *if* $\mathcal{A} = W^{1,n}_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$, *and all inclusions here are strict (see also Corollary 4.6).*

Proposition 3.8. *For the real-linear positive-invariant* $\mathcal{A} \subset C_u(\mathbb{J},X)$ *assume* $AP(\mathbb{J},X) \subset \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{MA}$. *Then all "* $\subset$ *" in (3.3) are strict.*

Proof. Since $\widetilde{M}_h|L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X) = M_h$ of (1.7), (1.4), one has for any $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$ or $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J},X)$

$$U_{Loc} := U \cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X).$$

Since $\mathcal{M}^{n-1}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{n-1}\mathcal{A} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A}$ by (3.3), we have to prove only $\mathcal{M}^{n-1}\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For this, choose pairwise disjoint open intervals $I_n \subset [0,1]$ of length $|I_n| \leq 2^{-n}$, then $g_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ with period $2n+1$ and $g_n \neq 0$ in $[-n, n+1]$ only in $n + I_n$, with $||g_n||_\infty = 1$ and $\int_{n+I_n} g_n(t)\, dt = 0$. With $f_n := Pg_n$ of period $2n+1$ and $f := \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n$ one has $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$, $||f_n||_\infty \leq |I_n| ||g_n||_\infty \leq 2^{-n}$, so $f \in AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$, with $f' = \sum_{n=1}^\infty g_n$, $||f'||_\infty = 1$. f' is not uniformly continuous on $[0,\infty)$ since $f' = g_n$ on $n + I_n$, $|I_n| \to 0$, but $\sup_{n+I_n} |g_n| = 1$.

With $0 \neq a \in X$, $F := af|\mathbb{J} \in AP(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset \mathcal{A}$; so $F^{(n)} = af^{(n)}|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$ by Lemma 2.2. $F^{(n)} \notin \mathcal{M}^{n-1}\mathcal{A}$: Else with (1.11) one has $h_1 \cdots h_{n-1} af^{(n)} * s_{h_{n-1}} * \cdots * s_{h_1} = af' * (\Delta_{h_{n-1}}\delta) * \cdots * (\Delta_{h_1}\delta)$, $= G * \Delta_{h_1}\delta = \Delta_{h_1}G$ on $\mathbb{J}$, with $G = af' * (\Delta_{h_{n-1}}\delta * \cdots * \Delta_{h_2}\delta)|\mathbb{J}$, and $\Delta_{h_1}G \in \mathcal{A} \subset C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

Since f' is bounded on $\mathbb{R}$, G is bounded on $\mathbb{J}$ and $\Delta_{h_1}G \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all $h_1 > 0$. By Proposition 1.7 (i) G is uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{J}$. Inductively one gets : $af'|\mathbb{J}$ is uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{J}$, a contradiction. ¶

Examples 3.9. *The conclusion of Proposition 3.8 holds for* $\mathcal{A} = AP$, AAP, EAP_{rc}, EAP, UAA, LAP_{ub}, $\mathcal{TE}_{ub}$, $\mathcal{E}_{ub}$, C_{urc}, C_{uwrc}, C_{ub}, C_u, *any* $\mathbb{J}$, X.
This conclusion holds also for $\mathcal{A} = S^pAP(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, *since*

$\mathcal{M}^{n-1}AP(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset \mathcal{M}^{n-1}S^pAP(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset \mathcal{M}^nAP(\mathbb{J}, X)$, by [13, Corollary 3.6 respectively (3.8) hold also for $\mathbb{J} \neq \mathbb{R}$], $\mathcal{M}^{n-1}S^pAP(\mathbb{J}, X) = \mathcal{M}^nS^pAP(\mathbb{J}, X)$ would imply $\mathcal{M}^nS^pAP(\mathbb{J}, X) = \mathcal{M}^{n+1}S^pAP(\mathbb{J}, X)$, and thus $\mathcal{M}^nAP(\mathbb{J}, X) = \mathcal{M}^{n+1}AP(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

Corollary 3.10. *The conclusion of Proposition 3.8 holds also for* $\mathcal{W}AP(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{W}AP(\mathbb{J}, X)$, f is weakly continuous on $\mathbb{J}$; thus $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $y(M_hf) = M_h(y \circ f) \in AP(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K})$ for all $y \in X^*$ (Example 3.4, [50, p. 52, Satz 3]); so $\mathcal{W}AP(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{W}AP(\mathbb{J}, X)$, (3.3) holds. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, choose $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^nAP(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K})$ with $\phi \notin \mathcal{M}^{n-1}AP(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K})$ by Proposition 3.7. Then if $0 \neq a \in X$ and $f := a\phi$, one has $f \in \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{W}AP(\mathbb{J}, X)$ but $f \notin \mathcal{M}^{n-1}\mathcal{W}AP(\mathbb{J}, X)$ because for any $\phi : \mathbb{J} \to \mathbb{K}$, $0 \neq a \in X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset \mathbb{J}^X$, $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K}) \subset \mathbb{J}^\mathbb{K}$ with $y(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{J}, X)) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K})$ and $X \cdot \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K}) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for $y \in X^*$ one has

$$a\phi \in \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{J}, X) \Leftrightarrow a\phi \in \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{W}\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{J}, X) \Leftrightarrow \phi \in \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K}). \tag{3.8}$$ ¶

Remark 3.11. *One can show that the codimensions of*

$\mathcal{M}^{n-1}\mathcal{A}$ *in* $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$ *and* $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{n-1}\mathcal{A}$ *in* $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A}$

are infinite for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{A}$ *as in Examples 3.9 (except* $S^pAP(\mathbb{J}, X)$*) or Corollary 3.10.*

Lemma 3.12. *If* $U, V \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *satisfy* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ *the same holds for* $U + V$, $U \cap V$.

This follows immediately from the definitions, for any $\mathbb{J}$, X.

Example 3.13. $\mathcal{A} = VAP = V + AP$ *satisfies* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ *if* $V \subset \mathcal{M}V$.

So Zhang's PAP [77-78] satisfies $PAP \subset \mathcal{M}PAP$, for any $\mathbb{J}$, X.

Here $PAP = V + AP$ with $V = C_b(\mathbb{J}, X) \cap Av_n(\mathbb{J}, X)$;
with Lemma 3.12 and Example 3.6 one has to show $Av_n \subset \mathcal{M}Av_n$, where

$$Av_n = \{f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X) : \tfrac{1}{T}\int_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_0+T} ||f(s)||\, ds \to 0 \text{ as } T \to \infty\};$$

but this is obvious with Fubini's theorem.

Contrary to Example 3.1 with e^{it^2} replaced by $\gamma_\omega(t) = e^{i\omega\, t}$, one has

Remark 3.14. *If* $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $\mathcal{A}$ *has* (Δ), *is* $\subset \mathcal{M}C_b$, *and linear positive-invariant uniformly closed, then* $\gamma_\omega\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}(\gamma_\omega\mathcal{A})$ *for each* $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$.

We omit the proof, this is not used in this paper.

§4 The (Δ) Condition

The (Δ) condition introduced in Definition 1.4 was already essential for the results of section 2. It is also important for a characterization of the $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$ (§5) and for Fourier analysis (§8), also for the "Δ-spaces" which will be introduced in a later paper. Here we show that all the $\mathcal{A}$'s important in applications satisfy (Δ), usually this is non-trivial.

A first application of (Δ) is

Theorem 4.1. *Assume* $\mathcal{A}$ *linear, positive invariant,* $\subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *with* (Δ). *If* $y, y^{m)} \in \mathcal{A}$, *then* $y^{(j)} \in \mathcal{A}$, $0 < j < m$.

This is an extension of the classical Esclangon-Landau result, which was needed in the study of ap solutions of linear differential equations (see Bohr-Neugebauer [29]) and which reads: If $y \in C^n(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{C})$ is together with $Ly := y^{(n)} + \sum_0^{n-1} a_j y^{(j)}$ bounded on $\mathbb{J}$ (with bounded a_j), then the $y^{(j)}$ are bounded too for $0 < j < n$ ([58, p. 177, Satz 1], see also [51] and the references there). Theorem 4.1 extends this from C_b or L^∞ (at least for $Ly = y^{(n)}$) to quite general $\mathcal{A}$ by the results of this section and §7. Extensions to solutions of linear differential-difference systems are possible, this will be treated in §10.

Proof. $m = 1$: trivial.

$m \Rightarrow m{+}1$: $y^{(m+1)} \in A$, positive invariance and linearity give $y_h^{(m+1)} - y^{(m+1)} \in A$ for all $h > 0$; then (Δ) gives $y^{(m+1)} - M_h y^{(m+1)} \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $h > 0$. This implies $M_h y^{(m+1)} = y_h^{(m)} - y^{(m)} \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $h > 0$. Set $z = z(h) = y_h - y$, $h > 0$. One

$0 < j < m$, $h > 0$. By (Δ), $y' - M_h y' = [y' - (y_h - y)] \in \mathcal{A}$, also if $m = 1$. This implies $y' \in \mathcal{A}$. One has $y', (y')^{(m)} \in \mathcal{A}$ and the induction hypothesis implies $y'' \cdots y^{(m)} \in A$. ¶

Proposition 4.2. *If $\mathcal{A} \subset C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$, condition (Δ) holds for $\mathcal{A}$ provided one of the following conditions is satisfied:*
(i) $\mathcal{A} \subset C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $\mathcal{A}$ $\mathbb{Q}$- convex and uniformly closed.
(ii) $\mathcal{A} \subset C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $\mathcal{A}$ is a group under addition, $A \subset \mathcal{MA}$ and (L_{ub}) holds for $\mathcal{A}$.
(iii) $\mathcal{A}$ is a group under addition, $A \subset \mathcal{MA}$ and (L_u) holds for $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. (i) Let $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $\Delta_h \phi \in \mathcal{A}$, for all $0 < h \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\phi \in C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$, by Proposition 1.7 (i). It follows $\phi - M_h \phi = \lim_{n\to\infty} (1/n) \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\phi - \phi_{s_k})$ uniformly on $\mathbb{J}$, where $s_k = (hk)/n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the assumptions on $\mathcal{A}$, $(1/n) \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\phi - \phi_{s_k}) \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is uniformly closed, $\phi - M_h \phi \in \mathcal{A}$.

(ii) Let $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $\Delta_h \phi \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $0 < h \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\Delta_h (M_s \phi) = M_s (\Delta_h \phi) \in \mathcal{A}$, $0 < h, s \in \mathbb{R}$ by $A \subset \mathcal{MA}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is a group,$\Delta_h (\phi - M_s \phi) = \Delta_h \phi - M_s (\Delta_h \phi) \in \mathcal{A}$, $0 < h, s \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies $\phi - M_s \phi \in \mathcal{A}$ by (L_{ub}) for all $0 < s \in \mathbb{R}$, since $\phi - M_s \phi \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ by (i) for $C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

(iii) Let $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $\Delta_s \phi \in \mathcal{A} \subset C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$, for all $0 < s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\Delta_h (\Delta_s \phi) \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $0 < h, s \in \mathbb{R}$, since $\psi \in C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$ implies $\Delta_h \psi \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for $h > 0$. So, by (i) for $C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $\Delta_s \phi$ one gets $\Delta_s (\phi - M_h \phi) = \Delta_s \phi - M_h (\Delta_s \phi) \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $0 < h, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Proposition 1.7 (i) gives $\psi := \phi - M_h \phi \in C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$; by the assumption on $\mathcal{A}$ also $\Delta_s \psi \in \mathcal{A}$, $s > 0$. The condition (L_u) gives $\psi \in \mathcal{A}$ for $h > 0$. ¶

Proposition 4.3. *If $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ satisfies (Δ_1) [respectively (Δ)], then $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies (Δ_1') [respectively (Δ')] provided one of the following conditions is satisfied:*
(i) $\mathcal{A} \subset C(\mathbb{J}, X)$,
(ii) $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $g = f$ a.e on $\mathbb{J}$ implies $g \in \mathcal{A}$,
and X has the Radon-Nikodym Property [35, pp. 181-182].

For the proof we need an extension of Proposition 1.5:

Lemma 4.4. *If for $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ one has $\Delta_h T \in C^k(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all $h \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, then $T \in C^k(\mathbb{J}, X)$. If only $\Delta_h T \in W^{1,k}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all $h \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ and X has the Radon-Nikodym property, then $T \in W^{1,k}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$.*

Special case: $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, to each $h \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ exists $g \in C^k(\mathbb{J}, X)$ with $\Delta_h \phi = g$ a. e. on $\mathbb{J}$ implies the existence of an $f \in C^k(\mathbb{J}, X)$ with $\phi = f$ a.e on $\mathbb{J}$.

Proof. The C^k-case follows with Proposition 1.5 as in the proof of Lemma 14 [49, p. 226], case $\mathcal{A} = C^k$ since also for general X to $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and K-compact $\subset \mathbb{J}$ there exists $g \in C(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $T = g^{(n)}$ in an open neighborhood of K (Theorem 2.11, $a \Rightarrow b$ for $\mathcal{A} = C$

Case $W^{1,k}_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$ with arbitrary $\mathbb{J}$: Assume first $\phi\in C(\mathbb{J},X)$ with $\Delta_h\phi$ absolutely continuous in $\mathbb{J}$ for all $h\in(0,\varepsilon_0]$. For $K=[a,b]$ compact $\subset\mathbb{J}$ there is $c\in(0,\varepsilon_0]$ with $K_1:=[a,b+c]\subset\mathbb{J}$. For fixed $\varepsilon>0$, Lemma 4.12 below can be applied to

$I=K_1,\ f=\phi|\,I,\ \gamma=c,$

$\mathcal{A}=AC_\varepsilon(K,X):=\{g\in C(K,X):$ to g exists $\eta>0$ with

$\sum_{i=1}^m ||g(t_i)-g(s_i)||\le\varepsilon$ if

$\sum_{i=1}^m |t_i-s_i|\le\eta, a\le s_1<t_1\le s_2<t_2\dots<t_m\le b, k\in\mathbb{N}\},$

with $\mathcal{A}_n:=\mathcal{A}$ with η replaced by the fixed $1/n$ and " to g exists $\eta>0$ with" deleted. So there are v,δ with $0\le v<v+\delta\le c$ and $(\phi-M_c(\phi)_v)|K\in\mathcal{A}$. Since ϕ is continuous on $\mathbb{J}$, $M_\delta(\phi)_v$ is absolutely continuous on K, and so $\phi|\,K\in AC_\varepsilon(K,X)$. This holds for any $\varepsilon>0$, so ϕ is absolutely continuous on K. K being arbitrary, ϕ is locally absolutely continuous in $\mathbb{J}$ for any $\mathbb{J}$, X. So, one has with Proposition 1.5

$$\text{(4.1)}\qquad \phi\in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X),\ \Delta_h\phi\in AC_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)\text{ for } 0<h<\varepsilon_0 \\ \text{implies } \phi\in AC_{loc}(K,X).$$

If additionally X has the Radon-Nikodym property, ϕ' exists a.e in $\mathbb{J}$ by [35, p. 107, Theorem 2, p. 138, Corollary 8], implying $\phi\in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$.

A simple induction and (2.5) give $\phi\in W^{1,k}_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$ if $\phi\in C(\mathbb{J},X)$ with $\Delta_h\phi\in W^{1,k}_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$ for all $0<h<\varepsilon_0$, $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$.

If now $T\in\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J},X)$ with $\Delta_hT\in W^{1,k}_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$ for all $0<h<\varepsilon_0$ and fixed $k>0$, $\Delta_hT\in C^{k-1}(\mathbb{J},X)$, so the first part of Lemma 4.4 gives $\phi\in C^{k-1}(\mathbb{J},X)$ with $T=\phi$ on $\mathbb{J}$. One has $\Delta_h\phi\in W^{1,k}_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$ for all $0<h<\varepsilon_0$, the above gives $T=\phi\in W^{1,k}_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$.

Case $k=0$, $W^{1,0}_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X):=L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$: To $T\in\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J},X)$ exists $S\in\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J},X)$ with $S'=T$ on $\mathbb{J}$ (see [71, p. 51, Theóreme I for $X=\mathbb{C}$]). Then $\Delta_hS\in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$ by (2.5), $S\in W^{1,k}_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$ by the above, $T=S'\in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$ by (2.5). ¶

Proof of Proposition 4.3. (i) : If $T\in\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J},X)$ with $\Delta_hT\in\mathcal{A}$ for $h>0$, $T=f\in C(\mathbb{J},X)$ by Lemma 4.4, to $h>0$ exists $g=g_{(h)}\in\mathcal{A}$ with $\Delta_hf=g$ a. e. ; since f,g are continuous, $\Delta_hf\in\mathcal{A}$. Then, by (Δ), $f-M_hf\in\mathcal{A}$, $T-\widetilde{M_h}T=f-M_hf\in\mathcal{A}$.

(ii) $T\in\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J},X)$, $\Delta_hT\in\mathcal{A}\subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$ imply $T=f\in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$ by Lemma 4.4; furthermore $\Delta_hf\in\mathcal{A}$ a.e., so $\Delta_hf\in\mathcal{A}$ by assumption. (Δ) gives then (Δ'). Similarly for (Δ'_1). ¶

Corollary 4.5.

$$\mathcal{M}C^k(\mathbb{J},X)=C^{k-1}(\mathbb{J},X)+\{f\in X^{\mathbb{J}}: f=0\ \textit{a.e.}\ \}\ \textit{if}\ \ k\in\mathbb{N},$$

$$\mathcal{M}C(\mathbb{J},X)=L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X),\qquad \textit{any}\ \ \mathbb{J},X.$$

This follows from the "special case" after Lemma 4.4.

Corollary 4.6.

$\mathcal{M}W^{1,k}(\mathbb{J},X) = W^{1,k-1}(\mathbb{J},X)$ *if* $k\in\mathbb{N}$

$\mathcal{M}L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X) = L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

See also Example 3.7.

Examples 4.7. *By Propositions 4.2, 4.3, 1.5, the following* $\mathcal{A}$ *all satisfy* (Δ) *and* (Δ'), *for arbitrary* $\mathbb{J}$ *and* X*:*

Constant functions X, *Continuous periodic with period* τ *functions* P_τ, AP, AAP, EAP_{rc}, EAP, UAA, LAP_{ub}, $\mathcal{TE}_{ub}$, $\mathcal{E}_{ub}$, C_{urc}, C_{uwrc}, C_{ub}, C_u, C_0, C^k *for* $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, C^∞.

$S^pAP(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *has* (Δ) *for* $1 \le p < \infty$, *and* (Δ') *for* X *with the Radon-Nikodym property (use the Bochner transform of [13, p. 132, (3.1),(3.2)]).*
Furthermore $\mathcal{WA}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *has* (Δ) *and* (Δ') *for* X *if* $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K})$ *has* (Δ).

Example 4.8. $\mathcal{A} = C_c(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *have* (Δ), *any* $\mathbb{J}, X$.

Proof. We prove the case $\mathbb{J} \ne \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$ follows similarly. Let $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $\Delta_h\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all $h > 0$. By Proposition 1.7 (i), $\phi \in C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$. By the assumptions supp $\Delta_1\phi \subset [a, b]$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{J}$. It follows $\phi(t + 1) = \phi(t)$ for all $t \ge b$. The uniform continuity of ϕ and $\Delta_h\phi = 0$ far out for small h implies then $\phi(t) = \phi(b)$ for $t > b$. It follows $\phi - M_h\phi \in C_c$ for closed $\mathbb{J}$.

If $\mathbb{J} = (\alpha, \infty)$ one can even show $\phi =$ constant on $\mathbb{J}$, (Δ) follows.

(Δ) for $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ follows from (Δ) for C_c and C^∞ of Example 4.7. ¶

Proposition 4.9. $L^p_w(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *satisfies* (Δ) *for* $1 \le p \le \infty$, *arbitrary* $\mathbb{J}, X$, *and measurable* $w : \mathbb{J} \to [0, \infty)$ *for which there is a real* c *with*

$$(4.2) \qquad w(t+h) \le c\,w(t) \text{ and } \qquad w(t) \le c\,w(t+h) \text{ a.e. in } t \in \mathbb{J},\ 0 < h \le 1.$$

Here $\quad L^p_w(\mathbb{J}, X) := \{f \text{ measurable} \in X^{\mathbb{J}} : \quad w|f|^p \in L^1(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})\}$
with

$$||f||_{p,w} = ||\omega\, f||_p = [\textstyle\int_{\mathbb{J}} w(t)||f(t)||^p\, dt]^{1/p} \text{ if } 1 \le p < \infty,$$

$$L^\infty_w(\mathbb{J}, X) := \{f \text{ measurable} \in X^{\mathbb{J}} : \quad w|f| \text{ measurable bounded a.e. }\}$$

with

$$||f||_{\infty,w} = ||\omega\, f||_\infty = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t\in\mathbb{J}} w(t)\,||f(t)||,$$

with $\quad \omega := w^{1/p} \quad$ if $p < \infty$, else w.

Examples of w with (4.2) are $1 + |t|^r$ or $e^{r\,t}$ for any real r and $\mathbb{J}$ or t^r if $0 \notin$ closure of $\mathbb{J}$.

Proof. Let $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $\Delta_h\phi \in L^p_w(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $1 \le p$ fixed $< \infty$. The measurability of ϕ gives the (t, h)- measurability of $f := \omega\Delta_h\phi$ on $\mathbb{J} \times \mathbb{R}^+$. By assumption $g(h) := ||\omega\Delta_h\phi||_p < \infty$ for $h \in \mathbb{R}^+$; $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \to [0, \infty)$ is measurable by a suitable version of the continuous Minkovski inequality [50, Aufgabe 92, §7, p. 251]. So there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K_n := \{h \in (0, 1] : g(h) \le n\}$ has positive measure. Then the difference set $K_n - K_n$ contains $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ with $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ (see [50, p. 189, Aufgabe 116, §6]): To $0 < h < \varepsilon$ there are u, $v \in K_n$ with $h = v - u$ and $g(u) \leq n$, $g(v) \leq n$, or $||\omega(\phi_u - \phi_v)||_p \leq 2n$. With (4.2) and $\rho := c^{1/p}$ one gets

$$||\omega(\phi_{h+1} - \phi_1)||_p \leq \rho||(\omega(\phi_h - \phi))_1||_p \leq$$
$$\rho^2||(\omega(\phi_h - \phi))_u||_p \leq \rho^2||\omega(\phi_v - \phi_u)||_p \leq 2\rho^2\, n.$$

Furthermore $g(1) = ||\omega(\phi_1 - \phi)||_p =: q < \infty$, this and (4.2)implies similarly

$$||\omega(\phi_{h+1} - \phi_h)||_p \leq \rho\, q.$$

Assuming $c \geq 1$, these three inequalities yield

$$g(h) = ||\omega \Delta_h \phi||_p \leq 2\rho^2(n + q) =: \sigma \text{ for } 0 < h \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since $||\omega(\phi_{(n+1)h} - \phi)||_p \leq ||(\omega(\phi_h - \phi))_{nh}||_p + ||\omega(\phi_{nh} - \phi)||_p \leq \rho^n \sigma + ||\omega(\phi_{nh} - \phi)||_p$, induction gives the boundedness of g on each $[0, T]$, $T > 0$.

The continuous Minkovski inequality gives with $\psi(t) := \int_0^T \omega(t)\Delta_s\phi(t)\, ds$ that $\psi \in L^p(\mathbb{J}, X)$, or (with the measurability of ϕ) $M_T\phi - \phi \in L^p_w(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for $T > 0$, i.e. (Δ) holds for L^p_w. All this works also for $p = \infty$. ¶

For any $w : \mathbb{J} \to [0, \infty)$ define $\quad O(w)(\mathbb{J}, X) := \{f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X) :$
to f exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $||f(t)|| \leq mw(t)$ a.e. for $|t| \geq m$, $t \in \mathbb{J}\}$.

Proposition 4.10. *$O(w)(\mathbb{J}, X)$ satisfies (Δ), for any $\mathbb{J}, X$ and measurable $w : \mathbb{J} \to [0, \infty)$.*

Proof. Let $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $\Delta_h\phi \in O(w)(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all $h > 0$. We treat the case $\mathbb{J} \neq \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$ follows in the same way.

For $m \geq m_0 \in \mathbb{N} \cap \mathbb{J}$ define $\psi(t, h) := m\, w(t) - ||\Delta_h\phi(t)||$ and $q_m(h) := \mu_L-$ inf $\{\psi(t, h) : \quad t \geq m\}$, where μ_L = Lebesgue measure. Then $q_m : [0, \infty) \to [\infty, -\infty)$ is well defined, it is measurable since $||\Delta_h\phi||$ is (t, h) measurable (see [50, p. 140, Aufgabe 92]).

So $\Omega_m := \{h \in (0, 1] : q_m(h) \geq 0\}$ is measurable for $m \geq m_0$. Since $[0, 1] = \cup_{n=m_0}^{\infty} \Omega_n$, there is m_1 with $\mu_L(\Omega_{m_1}) > 0$, then as in the proof of Proposition 4.9 there is $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ with $[0, \varepsilon] \subset \Omega_{m_1} - \Omega_{m_1}$.

To $h \in (0, \varepsilon]$ there are thus $u, v \in \Omega_{m_1}$ with $h = v - u$, implying $F(t, h) := 2m_1\, w(t) - ||\Delta_h\phi(t)|| \geq 0$ if $t \geq m_1 + 1$, $t \notin$ nullset (depending on h).

Now $M_T := \{F(t, h) < 0 : m_1 + 1 \leq t \leq T, 0 \leq h \leq \varepsilon\}$ is measurable, all $M_T|_h$ are null sets by the above, $0 \leq h \leq \varepsilon$; with Fubini's theorem, M_T is a null set, so there is a null set $P_1 \subset [m_1 + 1, \infty)$ such that for $t \notin P_1$, $t \geq m_1 + 1$ one has $F(t, h) \geq 0$ for almost all $h \in [0, \varepsilon]$. As in the proof of Proposition 4.9 one gets from that a null set $P \subset [m_1 + 1, \infty)$ such that to $a > 0$ there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $||\Delta_h\phi(t)|| \leq mw(t)$ for almost all $h \in [0, a]$, $t \geq m$, $t \notin P$. Integrating with respect to h one gets

$||\phi - M_a\phi|| \leq mw(t)$ for almost all $t \geq m$, that is $\phi - M_a\phi \in O(w)(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all $a > 0$. ¶

Remark 4.11. *For any* $\mathbb{J}$, X, $C_{rc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *has* (Δ).

We omit the proof, this is not used here. See section 10, question 5 for C_{wrc} and L^∞_{wrc}.

To get (Δ) for additional spaces, and already for Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 4.3, we need

Lemma 4.12. *Assume* $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ *arbitrary interval,* $0 < \gamma < |I|$, $f \in L^1_{loc}(I, X)$. *Assume further* $\mathcal{A} = \cup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_n \subset L^1_{loc}(I^{-\gamma}, X)$ *with the following properties:*
(i) $(\Delta_s f)|I^{-\gamma} \in \mathcal{A}$ *for all* $0 \le s \le \gamma$,
(ii) If $(\Delta_{s_m} f)|I^{-\gamma} \in$ *same* $\mathcal{A}_n$ *for* $s_m \in [0, \gamma]$ *and* $s_m \to r$, *then* $(\Delta_r f)|I^{-\gamma} \in \mathcal{A}_n$.
(iii) If $(\Delta_s f)|I^{-\gamma} \in \mathcal{A}_n$ *for* $0 \le u \le s \le u + \rho \le \gamma$ *with* $\rho > 0$, *then*
$-((1/\rho)\int_u^{u+\rho} \Delta_s f\, ds)|I^{-\gamma} \in \mathcal{A}$.
Then there exist v, δ *with* $0 \le v < v + \delta \le \gamma$ *such that* $(f - (M_h f)_v)|I^{-\gamma} \in \mathcal{A}$ *for* $0 < h \le \delta$.

Here $I^{-\gamma} = (\alpha, \beta - \gamma)$ [respectively $(\alpha, \beta - \gamma]$] if $I = (\alpha, \beta)$ [respectively $(\alpha, \beta]$], $-\infty \le \alpha < \beta < \infty$, similarly for $I = [\alpha, \beta)$, $[\alpha, \beta]$ and $I^{-\gamma} = I$ if $\beta = \infty$.

Proof. Define $M_n := \{s \in [0, \gamma] : (\Delta_s f)|I^{-\gamma} \in \mathcal{A}_n\}$. Then by (i), $[0, \gamma] = \cup_{n=1}^\infty M_n$. By (ii), the M_n are closed in $[0, \gamma]$. So by the Baire category theorem [75, p. 12] there exist n_0, v, δ with $0 \le v < v + \delta \le \gamma$ and $[v, v + \delta] \subset M_{n_0}$. By (iii), the integral expression there is in $\mathcal{A}$ for $u = v$ and $0 < \rho \le \delta$. Then formula

$$f(t) = (M_\delta f)(t + v) - ((1/\delta)\textstyle\int_v^{v+\delta} \Delta_s f(t)\, ds) \text{ for } t \in I^{-(v+\delta)} \tag{4.3}$$

gives $(f - (M_h f)_v)|I^{-\gamma} \in \mathcal{A}$ for $0 < h \le \delta$. ¶

Remark 4.13. *If in (iii) the* $\mathcal{A}$ *can be replaced by the* $\mathcal{A}_n$ *of the assumption of (iii), then also the* $\mathcal{A}$ *in the conclusion of Lemma 4.12 can be replaced by* $\mathcal{A}_{m_0}$ *with* m_o *independent of* $h \le \delta$.

Corollary 4.14. *If* $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}_n$ *are as in Lemma 4.4 but with* $I = \mathbb{J}$ *(so* $I^{-\gamma} = \mathbb{J}$*), and if additionally* $\mathcal{A}$ *is real-linear and* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{MA}$, *then* $\mathcal{A}$ *satisfies* (Δ).

Proof. Since $\mathbb{J} = (\alpha, \infty)$, $[\alpha, \infty)$ or $\mathbb{R}$, $I^{-\gamma} = \mathbb{J}$. By Lemma 4.4, if $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ with $\Delta_s f \in \mathcal{A}$ for $s > 0$, one has $(f - (M_h f)_v) \in \mathcal{A}$ for $0 < h \le \delta$ with suitable δ, v. Now for $0 < h \le \delta$

$$(f - M_h f) = f + M_h(\Delta_v f) - M_h(f_v) = f - (M_h f)_v + M_h(\Delta_v f), \in \mathcal{A}$$

by the assumptions on $\mathcal{A}$. Furthermore

$$2(f - M_{2h} f) = 2f - M_h f - M_h(f_h) = f - M_h f + f - M_h f - M_h(\Delta_h f), \in \mathcal{A}.$$

This gives $(f - M_{2^n h} f) \in \mathcal{A}$ for $0 < h \le \delta$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and thus (Δ) for $\mathcal{A}$. ¶

Special case: If $\mathcal{A} \subset C(\mathbb{J}, X)$, (ii) of Lemma 4.12 holds if the $\mathcal{A}_n$ are closed with respect to pointwise convergence on $\mathbb{J}$ (Proposition 1.5).

In the following

$Lip(\mathbb{J},X) := \{f \in C(\mathbb{J},X) :$ to f exists L with $||f_h - f||_\infty \le L|h|$ for all $h > 0\}$.

$AC(\mathbb{J},X)$ is the space of absolutely continuous functions.

Examples 4.15. *$\mathcal{A} = C_b(\mathbb{J},X)$, $AC(\mathbb{J},X)$ and $Lip(\mathbb{J},X)$ satisfy (Δ) and (Δ').*

Here $Lip(\mathbb{J},X) = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{A}_n$ with $\mathcal{A}_n := \{f \in C(\mathbb{J},X) : |\Delta_h f| \le nh$ on $\mathbb{J}$ for all $h > 0\}$, similarly for $C_b(\mathbb{J},X)$.
(ii) and (iii) are always fulfilled (Proposition 1.5), with the integral in (iii) of Lemma 4.12 even in A_n.
AC can be treated with A_n^{ε} as in the proof of Proposition 7.3.

Example 4.16. *$S_b^p(\mathbb{J},X)$ has (Δ) for $1 \le p < \infty$.*

This can be reduced to (Δ) for $C_b(\mathbb{J},X)$ of Example 4.15 with the Bochner-transform of [13, p. 132, (3.3) and Lemma 3.2]. A direct proof follows from Lemma 4.11, Corollary 4.13 with

$A_n := \{g \in S_b^p : ||g||_{S^p} \le n\}$; by approximating f on $[t, t+2+r]$ in the L^p-norm with continuous functions, t fixed, one gets (ii), (iii) follows with the continuous Minkovski inequality as in the proof of Proposition 4.9.

Proposition 4.17. *(a) For any $\mathbb{J}$, X, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, if U and $V \subset X^{\mathbb{J}}$ have (Δ), then also $U \cap V$, $\mathcal{M}^n U$.*

(b) If U is real linear, positive invariant, $\subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$ with (Δ), then $U \subset \mathcal{M}U$.

Proof. (a) Follows immediately from the definitions and $M_h M_k \phi = M_k M_h \phi$ of (2.6).

(b) If $f \in U$, then $\Delta_h f \in U$, thus $f - M_h f \in U$, $f \in \mathcal{M}U$.

Examples: $L^p \cap C_0$, $AP \cap C^k$; but $O(e^{t^2}) \not\subset \mathcal{M}(O(e^{t^2}))$, though it has (Δ) by Proposition 4.10
The case $U + V$ is not so simple:

Proposition 4.18. *Assume U and V are additive groups, positive-invariant, with (Δ), $\subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$; assume further $U \cap V = \{0\}$, $\mathbb{J} = [\alpha,\infty)$ or $\mathbb{R}$ and for $\Phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$ with $\Delta_h\Phi \in U + V$ for $h > 0$ in the decomposition $\Delta_h\Phi(t) = u(t,h) + v(t,h)$ the $v(\alpha,h)$ in $h \in (0,\infty)$ is measurable; finally, if $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha = 0$ and additionally U, V are invariant. Then $U + V$ satisfies (Δ).*

Proof. If $w = u + v$ with $u \in U$, and $v \in V$, the u, v are unique, so for Φ as in the assumption the $u(t,h), v(t,h)$ are well defined for $t \in \mathbb{J}$, $h > 0$. Now $\Delta_{h+k}\Phi = (\Delta_h\Phi)_k + \Delta_k\Phi$ give with the U, V positive-invariant for $t \in \mathbb{J}$, h, $k \ge 0$

$$u(t,h+k) = u(t+k,h) + u(t,k), \; v(t,h+k) = v(t+k,h) + v(t,k). \tag{4.4}$$

Case $\mathbb{J} = [\alpha,\infty)$: $t = \alpha$ and $\phi(s) := v(\alpha, s-\alpha)$, $s \ge \alpha$ gives for $k = s - \alpha$

$\Delta_h\phi(s) = v(s,h)$, $s \in \mathbb{J}$, $h > 0$;

since $v(\cdot,\, h) \in V$ for $h \geq 0$ and V is positive-invariant, this gives $\Delta_h \phi \in V$ for $h > 0$.

By assumption $v(\alpha,\, \cdot)$ is measurable on $\mathbb{R}^+$, then ϕ on $\mathbb{J}$, with $\Delta_h \phi \in V \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$. Lemma 4.19 below gives $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

Since V satisfies (Δ) one has $\phi - M_h \phi \in V$ for $h > 0$. With $\psi := \Phi - \phi$, this implies $\Delta_h \psi = \Delta_h \Phi - \Delta_h \phi = u(t,h) + v(t,h) - (v(\alpha, t+h-\alpha) - v(\alpha, t-\alpha)), = u(t,h)$ by (4.4), for $t \in \mathbb{J}$, $h > 0$.

So $\Delta_h\psi \in U$ for $h > 0$ with $\psi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$. (Δ) for U gives $\psi - M_h\psi \in U$, with the above one gets $\Phi - M_h\Phi \in U + V$.

Case $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha = 0$ (for example): $\Delta_{-h}\Phi = -(\Delta_h\Phi)_{-h}$ and the full invariance of U, V yield $\Delta_h\Phi \in U + V$ for all real h. Then the above ϕ is defined on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\Delta_h\phi \in V$ for $h > 0$; this gives, with the assumption $v(0, h) = \phi(h)$ measurable on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and $V \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, measurability of ϕ on $\mathbb{R}$. One can proceed as in the case $\mathbb{J} = [\alpha, \infty)$, now with $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$, getting (Δ) for $U + V$. ¶

Lemma 4.19. *Assume $\phi : \mathbb{J} \to X$ is measurable, $\mathbb{J}$, X arbitrary and $\Delta_h\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all $0 < h \le \varepsilon_0$. Then $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$.*

Proof. (See Remark 1.6) With $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{J}$, $\psi(h) := \int_a^b ||\Delta_h\phi(t)||\,dt$ is measurable in $h \in [0, \varepsilon] \subset [0, \varepsilon_0]$ by the Fubini-Tonelli theorem. As in the proof of Proposition 4.9 there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that to $0 \le h \le \delta$ there are $u, v \in [0, \varepsilon]$ with $h = v - u$ and $\int_a^b ||\phi_v(t) - \phi_u(t)||\,dt \le 2n_0$, or $\int_{a+\varepsilon}^b ||\phi_h(t) - \phi(t)||\,dt \le 2n_0$ for $0 \le h \le \delta$. The Fubini-Tonelli theorem gives then the existence of $\int_0^\delta \phi(t + h)\,dh$ for almost all $t \in [a + \varepsilon, b]$; this implies $\phi \in L^1_{loc}([a + 2\varepsilon, b], X)$. $b \to \infty$, $\varepsilon \to 0$, $a \to$ end point of $\mathbb{J}$ respectively $\alpha \to -\infty$ gives $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{J}$, with $\Delta_{\varepsilon_0}\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$. ¶

Corollary 4.20. *If $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ has (Δ), and if $\phi : \mathbb{J} \to X$ is only measurable with $\Delta_h\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $h > 0$, then $\phi - M_h\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ for $h > 0$.*

For applications of Proposition 4.18 see section 7.

Next we show that (Δ) does not always hold.

Example 4.21. *$\mathcal{A}_e = AP(\mathbb{J}, X).e^{it^2}$ of Example 3.1 does not satisfy (Δ) of Definition 1.4, though it is a linear uniformly closed invariant subspace of $C_b(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Assuming the contrary, since $\mathcal{A}_e$ is linear and invariant, $\mathcal{A}_e \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}_e$ by Proposition 4. 17 (b). This contradicts Example 3.1. ¶

Example 4.21 implies, (even for arbitrary $\mathbb{J}$, X), with
$PU = \{Pf : \ f \in U\}$ indefinite integrals.

Corollary 4.22. *$\mathcal{A}_1$, $\mathcal{A}_2$ do also not satisfy (Δ) and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$, where*

$$(4.5) \qquad \mathcal{A}_1 = X + AP \cdot e^{it^2}, \qquad \mathcal{A}_2 = X + P(AP \cdot e^{it^2}).$$

Proof. Since both $\mathcal{A}_1$, $\mathcal{A}_2$ are linear and positive invariant, (Δ) would imply $\mathcal{A}_i \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}_i$ by Proposition 4.17 (b), $i = 1, 2$.

$\mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}_2$ and $M_hP\psi - PM_h\psi = M_hP\psi(\alpha_0)$, $\psi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $h > 0$ imply, for $f \in AP \cdot e^{it^2}$ and $h > 0$, $PM_h f = a + P\phi$ with $a \in X$ and $\phi \in AP \cdot e^{it^2}$; differentiation yields $M_h f = \phi$, or $f \in \mathcal{M}(AP \cdot e^{it^2})$, contradicting Example 3.1 if $f \not\equiv 0$.

$\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}_1$ would imply $\phi := M_h e^{it^2} = a + \psi \cdot e^{it^2}$ with $a \in X$ and $\psi \in AP$, and $\phi \in C_0$ by Example 3.1, then $0 = m(\phi) = a + m(\psi \cdot e^{it^2}) = a$, $\psi \cdot e^{it^2} \in C_0$, $\psi = 0$ by Example 3.1; so $M_h e^{it^2} = 0$ for $h > 0$, a contradiction. ¶

Conversely one has, using Proposition 1.5 and $M_h(\phi') = (M_h(\phi))'$

(4.7) $\quad X + P\mathcal{A}$ has (Δ),

if $\mathcal{A}$ has (Δ) and $\mathcal{A} \subset C(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

Contrary to Example 4.21 and Proposition 7.7 one has

Remark 4.23. *For any* $\mathbb{J}$, X, $\mathcal{A} = AAP \cdot e^{it^2} = C_0 + AP \cdot e^{it^2}$ *satisfies* (Δ) *and* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$.

Since our proof is too long, we omit it.

With Remark 4.23 one gets

Remark 4.24. *If* U *is an additive group with* $AP \cdot e^{it^2} \subset U \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *and* $U \cap C_0(\mathbb{J}, X) = \{0\}$*, then* U *does not satisfy* (Δ).

Proof. Assuming U has (Δ) and $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ with $\Delta_h \phi \in AP \cdot e^{it^2} \subset U, \subset AAP \cdot e^{it^2}$. With Remark 4.23 one gets

$\phi - M_h \phi = u \in U$, $u = v + w$ with $w \in AP \cdot e^{it^2}$ and $v \in C_0$, implying $v = u - w \in U$, then $v = 0$, $u = w \in AP \cdot e^{it^2}$ contradicting Example 4.21. ¶

Examples 4.25. $U = UAA \cdot e^{it^2}$ *or* $LAP_{ub} \cdot e^{it^2}$ *or* $r(\phi, \mathbb{R}, X) \,|\, \mathbb{J} \cdot e^{it^2}$ *do not satisfy* (Δ)*, with* UAA *respectively* LAP_{ub} *= almost automorphic respectively Levitan almost periodic functions,* $r(\phi, \mathbb{R}, X)$ *= recurrent functions (see [9, p. 10]).*

Further (Δ) results can be found in §7, Propoposition 8.9 and Remark 8.10.

§5 Mean classes, derivatives and uniform continuity

In this section we complement (2.17), (2.19), Theorem 2.11(b), and also the discussion of strict inclusions. Furthermore a far-reaching generalization of results of Bochner and Upton will be given in Proposition 5.6 see [22, p. 442], [74, p. 15, Theorem 11].

Proposition 5.1.

(i) If $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *or* $\subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *satisfies* (Δ_1) *and is invariant with respect to multiplication by positive numbers, then* $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}_{Loc} + \mathcal{A}'_{Loc}$*;*

if such an $\mathcal{A}$ *satisfies* (Δ_1') *instead of* (Δ_1), $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}'$.

(ii) If $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *or* $\subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *is real-linear, positive-invariant and satisfies*

$$\text{(5.1)}\qquad \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{M}^{n-1}\mathcal{A})_{Loc} + (\mathcal{M}^{n-1}\mathcal{A})'_{Loc} \quad \textit{respectively}$$
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}^n}\mathcal{A} = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{n-1}\mathcal{A} + (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{n-1}\mathcal{A})'.$$

Here

$\mathcal{A}_{Loc} := \mathcal{A} \cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X), \qquad \mathcal{A}^{(n)} := \{T^{(n)} : T \in \mathcal{A}\},$

$\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}, \qquad \mathcal{A}'_{Loc} := (\mathcal{A}')_{Loc} = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}_{Loc},$

(5.2) $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}_{Loc} = \{\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X) :$
to ϕ exists $\psi \in \mathcal{A} \cap W^{1,n}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ with $\phi = \psi^{(n)} a.e.\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

For relations between (Δ_1) and (Δ'_1) see after Definition 1.4; $(\mathcal{A}')_{Loc}$ is strictly contained in $(\mathcal{A}_{Loc})'$ in general.

Proof. (i) Case $\mathcal{MA}$: Let $\phi \in \mathcal{MA}, \subset L^1_{loc}$. Then $\phi = (\phi - M_1\phi) + M_1\phi$ with $M_1\phi \in \mathcal{A}$. By the assumption, $(P\phi)_h - P\phi = hM_h\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $0 < h \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence by (Δ_1), $(P\phi - M_1P\phi) \in \mathcal{A}$. Now for $\psi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $h > 0$,

$$\text{(5.3)}\qquad M_hP\psi - PM_h\psi = c_h = (M_hP\psi)(\alpha_0) \qquad \text{on } \mathbb{J}$$

(proof by differentiation), so $\psi := P(\phi - M_1\phi) - C_1 \in \mathcal{A} \cap W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ by [53, Theorem 3.8.6, p. 88], with $\psi' = \phi - M_1\phi$ a.e. This means $\psi' = \phi - M_1\phi \in \mathcal{A}'_{Loc}$.

Case $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A}$: Let $T \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A}$. Then $T = (T - \widetilde{M}_1)T + \widetilde{M}_1T$. By [71, p. 51, Théorème I] there exists $S \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ such that $S' = T$. One has $S_h - S = h\widetilde{M}_hT \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $h > 0$. Hence by (Δ_1), $(S - \widetilde{M}_1S) \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $(S - \widetilde{M}_1S)' = (T - \widetilde{M}_1T)$ and $\widetilde{M}_1T \in \mathcal{A}$, one gets $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}'$.

(ii) for $n = 1$ follows by Lemma 2.2, $\mathcal{MA}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A}$ are real-linear, with

(5.4) $\quad\mathcal{A}$ as in Proposition 5.1 (ii) implies
$\mathcal{A}_{Loc} \subset \mathcal{MA}$ respectively $\mathcal{A} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A}$.

(5.1) for general n follows from this for $\mathcal{M}^{n-1}\mathcal{A}$ respectively $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{n-1}\mathcal{A}$ with Lemma 2.3. ¶

Corollary 5.2. *If* $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *or* $\subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *is real-linear, positive- invariant, satisfies* (Δ) *and* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*, then*

$$\text{(5.5)}\qquad \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A} = \textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{n} \mathcal{A}^{(j)}_{Loc}.$$

Proof. This follows from (5.1) inductively with $(\mathcal{A}^{(j)}_{Loc})'_{Loc} = \mathcal{A}^{(j+1)}_{Loc}$, Lemma 2.2 and (1.11), (5.4).¶

Remark. (5.5) is false for $n = 1$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_e$ of Example 3.1.

For $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$ one can show more:

Corollary 5.3. *Assume* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$*, real linear, positive-invariant and* $\mathcal{A} * L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{A}$*,* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*; then*

(i) *If* $\mathcal{A}$ *satisfies* (Δ')*,* $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}^n}\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^{(n)}$*,*

(ii) *If* $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ *satisfies* (Δ)*,* $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^{(n)}$

Here $L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) := \{f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) : \text{ supp } f \text{ is compact }\}$.

Proof. (i) By Proposition 5.1, Lemma 2.2 and induction one gets $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{j=0}^n \mathcal{A}^{(j)}$. For $T \in \mathcal{A}$ and $1 \le j \le n$ Lemma 2.8 gives $T = U_j^{(n-j)} + \zeta_{n-j} * T$, with $U_j \in \mathcal{A}$ since all $\gamma F_{n-j} \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$; so $T^{(j)} = U_j^{(n)} + \zeta^{(j)}_{n-j} * T \in \mathcal{A}^{(n)} + \mathcal{A}$ for $1 \le j < n$.

(ii) As in (i) one gets $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A} \subset (\sum_{j=0}^n \mathcal{A}^{(j)})_{Loc}$ and if $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $f^{(j)} = g_j^{(n)} + h_j$ with $g_j, h_j \in \mathcal{A}$. So, for $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$ one gets $\phi = F + G^{(n)}$ with $F, G \in \mathcal{A}$. Since here $\phi, F \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, also the distributional derivative $G^{(j)} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ for $1 \le j < n$ and thus $\in \mathcal{A}^{(n)}_{loc}$ by (2.5). ¶

In a similar way, one can show the following extension to $\mathbb{J} \neq \mathbb{R}$:
If $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},\mathbb{R})$, is real-linear, positive-invariant with (Δ), and if there exists $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $\mathcal{A} = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}|\,\mathbb{J}$, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} * L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$, then $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^{(n)}_{Loc}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Examples are $\mathcal{A} = AP(\mathbb{J}, X), AAP(\mathbb{J}, X), S^pAP(\mathbb{J}, X), \mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

Corollary 5.4. *Any Stepanov S^p-almost periodic function f can be written in the form*

$$f = u + v' \text{ a.e., with } u, v \in AP(\mathbb{J}, X) \text{ and } v \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X). \tag{5.6}$$

This follows from (5.5) and (3.6).

Example 5.5. *In general $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}, X)|\,\mathbb{J}$ is strictly contained in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}, X)|\,\mathbb{J})$.*

We indicate this for $AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$, $\mathbb{J} = [0,\infty)$: Construct first a $g = \sum_{n=1}^\infty g_n \in AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ with $g|\,\mathbb{J} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{J},\mathbb{R})$, but for no $\varepsilon > 0$ is g of bounded variation in $[-\varepsilon, 0]$. This one gets with $g_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$, periodic with period $2^n + 1$, $\equiv 0$ on $[0, 2^n]$, $||g_n||_\infty \le 2^{-n}$, and $g_n(t) = 2^{-n}\sin(\omega_n t)$ on $[-4^{-n} + \varepsilon_n, -4^{-n-1} - \varepsilon_n]$, supp $g_n|\,[-1, 0] \subset I_n := [-4^{-n}, -4^{-n-1}]$ with $\varepsilon_n = |I_n|/(2n)$, $\omega_n = \pi \cdot 4^{2n+2}$. Then also $g(0) = 0$ and $g'(0-)$ does not exist.
If $f := (g|\,\mathbb{J})'$, $f \in \mathcal{M}AP(\mathbb{J},\mathbb{R})$ by Lemma 2.2.
$f \notin \mathcal{M}AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})|\,\mathbb{J}$: Assuming the contrary, by Proposition 5.1 and Example 4.7 there exists $u, v \in AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ with $v(0) = 0$, $v \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ and $f = u + v'$ a.e. on $\mathbb{J}$. Integration gives $g = w + v$ on $\mathbb{J}$ with $w(t) = \int_0^t u(s)\,ds$. This means that $|w| \le c_1 < \infty$ on $\mathbb{J}$. For $t > 0$ and a suitable $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(u, \frac{1}{t})$ one gets $|w(-t)| \le 2c_1 + 1$, w is bounded on $\mathbb{R}$. Then $w \in AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ by the Bohl-Bohr theorem (see the introduction and before Proposition 6.2), implying $g = w + v$ on $\mathbb{R}$. But then g would be absolutely continuous on $[-1, 0]$, a contradiction.

A result of Bochner [22, p. 442], [2, p. 6, VI], [76, p. 24] states that if $f \in AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$ has uniformly continuous derivative f', then f' is also ap; an analogue for f'' can be found in [33, p. 525, problem 12], [76, p. 25]; analogues for asymptotic ap functions respectively almost automorphic functions respectively λ-classes in [76, p. 38, Theorem 2] respectively [46, p. 26] respectively [9, Corollary 1.4.3]. Another result of Stepanoff [73], [21, p. 81], [2, p. 78, VII] states that if f is Stepanoff-ap in $S^pAP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and uniformly continuous, then f is already Bohr-ap. All these results are subsumed by Proposition 5.6:

Proposition 5.6. *If $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ or $\subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is uniformly closed and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $(\mathcal{M}^{n+k}\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{M}^k C_u(\mathbb{J}, X) = (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{n+k}\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{M}^k C_u(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset \mathcal{M}^k\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^k C_u(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^k\mathcal{A}$ for $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof (see [13, p. 134 Proposition 3.5]). If $\phi \in \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A} \cap C_u$, then $M_h\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ and $M_h\phi \to \phi$ as $h \to 0$ uniformly, $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$. Inductively, this gives $(\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}) \cap C_u(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset \mathcal{A}$ and with $\mathcal{A}$ also $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$ is uniformly closed; $(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A}) \cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X) = \mathcal{M}^n(\mathcal{A} \cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X))$ (see (2.18)). To $\phi \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X) \cap C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$ there exists $(\varphi_n) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ with $\phi * \varphi_n \to \phi$ uniformly.
For general k one gets with this $(\mathcal{M}^{n+k}\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{M}^k C_u = \mathcal{M}^k(\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A} \cap C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)) = \mathcal{M}^k\mathcal{A}$, similarly for $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$. ¶

With Proposition 5.6 an extension of the Bohl-Bohr-Kadets result on integration of ap functions can be obtained (see §6 after Corollary 6.6, [54], [8]); also with Proposition 5.6 a result of Upton [74, Theorem 11, p. 15] can be extended.

§6 Almost periodic distributions and indefinite integrals

By the above, especially examples 3.4, 3.5, 4.7, all the results of section 2 apply to these spaces. For example, since $AP(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset S^pAP(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset \mathcal{M}AP(\mathbb{J}, X)$, each inclusion being strict by (3.6), with (2.17), (2.19) and Examples 4.7 almost periodic distributions are scaled by (6.1), (6.2):

$$\begin{aligned} (6.1) \qquad \mathcal{D}'_{AP}(\mathbb{R}, X) &= \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n AP(\mathbb{R}, X) \\ &= \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n S^pAP(\mathbb{R}, X) = \mathcal{D}'_{S^pAP}(\mathbb{R}, X), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (6.2) \qquad \mathcal{D}'_{AP}(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, X) &= \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}^n AP(\mathbb{R}, X) \\ &= \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}^n S^pAP(\mathbb{R}, X) = \mathcal{D}'_{S^pAP}(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, X), \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \le p < \infty$, with $\mathcal{M}^n AP(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset \mathcal{M}^n S^pAP(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset \mathcal{M}^{n+1} AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$, similarly for $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, any X.

Almost periodic distributions can also be characterized by translation or compactness properties, that is Bohr's, Bochner's and von Neumann's definition all give $\mathcal{D}'_{AP}$:

Theorem 6.1. *For $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $\Phi_T(l) := T_l$ for $l \in \mathbb{R}$, the following statements are equivalent:*
(a) $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{AP}(\mathbb{R}, X)$;

(c) For any $V =$ *neighborhood of* 0 *in* $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X)$*, the set* $\mathcal{T}(T, V)$ *of* V*-periods of* T *is relatively dense in* $\mathbb{R}$*;*

(d) To each sequence $(a_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ *there exists a subsequence* $(a_{m_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *and* $S \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ *with* $T_{a_{m_n}} \to S$ *in* $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ *[or in* $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ *];*

(e) = (d), with "subsequence $(a_{m_n}))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$*" replaced by "subnet* $(a_{n(i)})_{i\in I}$*";*

(f) = (e), with "sequence" replaced by "net";

(g) $\{T_l : l \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *is totally bounded [= relatively compact] in* $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X)$*;*

(h) $\Phi_T \in AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X))$ *[or* $\in AP(\mathbb{R}, (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, X))$*];*

(i) there exists $f, g \in AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ *and* $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *such that* $T = f + g^{(m)}$ *on* $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$*;*

(j) there exists a sequence $(f_n) \subset AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ *[or equivalently a net* $(T_i)_{i\in I} \subset \mathcal{D}'_{AP}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ *] with* f_n *[respectively* T_i*]* $\to T$ *in* $\mathcal{D}'_{AP}(\mathbb{R}, X)$*.*

Here $\mathcal{T}(T, V) := \{\tau \in \mathbb{R} : T_\tau - T \in V\}$; the topology of $\mathcal{D}'_{AP}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is given by the seminorms $||T||_U := \sup\,\{||T(\varphi)|| : \varphi \in U\}$, with $U \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, U bounded in $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ (see §1).

Proof. $(a) \Leftrightarrow (b)$ follows by (6.1).

$(a) \Leftrightarrow (i) \Leftrightarrow (j)$: Theorem 2.11, Proposition 2.9.

$T_i \to S$ in $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is equivalent with $\Phi_{T_i} \to \Phi_S$ in $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$ and also equivalent with $T_i \to S$ in $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, that is uniformly on $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}$-bounded $U \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$; see $(i) \Rightarrow (h)$.

$(i) \Rightarrow (h)$: If $g \in AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$, by definition there is a sequence of trigonometric polynomials $g_n \in \Pi(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $g_n \to g$ uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$. If now U is bounded $\subset Y := \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, for $\varphi \in U$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ one has

$$||\Phi_{g_n^{(m)}}(s)(\varphi) - \Phi_{g^{(m)}}(s)(\varphi)|| = ||\textstyle\int_{\mathbb{R}} (g_n(t) - g(t))\varphi^{(m)}_{-s}(t)\,dt|| \leq$$
$$||g_n - g||_\infty \cdot ||\varphi^{(m)}||_{L^1} \leq \varepsilon$$

for $n \geq$ suitable n_ε, since $\sup\,\{||\varphi^{(m)}||_{L^1} : \varphi \in U\} < \infty$ for fixed m.
Since elementary calculations show $\Phi_{g_n^{(m)}} \in \Pi(\mathbb{R}, Y)$, the above means $\Phi_{g^{(m)}} \in AP(\mathbb{R}, Y)$ by our definition in §1, especially $\Phi_f \in AP(\mathbb{R}, Y)$ if $f \in AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$. So (h) holds if T is as in (i).

$(h) \Rightarrow (g)$: With the periodicity and uniform continuity of γ_ω, the $a \cdot \gamma_\omega : \mathbb{R} \to Y$ has totally bounded range in Y if $a \in Y$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. Since furthermore with $f(\mathbb{R})$ and $g(\mathbb{R})$ also $(f + g)(\mathbb{R})$ is totally bounded, all trigonometric polynomials $\in \Pi(\mathbb{R}, Y)$ have totally bounded range. $\Phi_T \in AP(\mathbb{R}, Y)$ can be uniformly approximated by such, implying $\Phi_T(\mathbb{R}) = \{T_s : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is totally bounded in Y. For "relative compact" see Remark 6.3 and (6.3) below.

$(g) \Leftrightarrow (\tilde{g}), =$ set of translates $\{(\Phi_T)_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is totally bounded in $V(\mathbb{R}, Y)$, where

$V(\mathbb{R}, Y) := Y^{\mathbb{R}}$ equipped with the locally convex topology of uniform convergence

Given $U \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, U bounded in $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, the $U' := \{\varphi_s : \varphi \in U, s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is also bounded. So by (g) the set $\{T_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ can be covered by $W_1, \cdots, W_n$ with $W_j = \{T \in Y : ||T(\varphi) - T_{t_j}(\varphi)|| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for } \varphi \in U'\}$. This implies that $\{(\Phi_T)_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is covered by the

$$\{\Phi \in Y^{\mathbb{R}} : ||(\Phi(s) - (\Phi_T)_{t_j}(s))(\varphi)|| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } \varphi \in U, s \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

giving $(g) \Rightarrow (\tilde{g})$. $(g) \Rightarrow (\tilde{g})$ follows with $s = 0$.

$(g) \Leftrightarrow (c) \Leftrightarrow (e) \Leftrightarrow (f)$. This follows from the following abstract characterizations of almost periodicity (for the definitions of nets and subnets see [56, p. 28]):

Proposition 6.2. *If G is a locally compact abelian group, and Y a topological abelian group, $V := C(G, Y)$ is equipped with the topology $\mathfrak{T}_u$ of uniform convergence on G, then for $\Phi \in V$ the following statements are equivalent*

(i) The set of translates $\{\Phi_t : t \in G\}$ is totally bounded in $(V, \mathfrak{T}_u)$ (von Neumann ap [25, p. 22]).

(ii) To each net $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ from G there exists a subnet $(t_{\alpha(\beta)})_{\beta \in B}$ with $(\Phi)_{t_{\alpha(\beta)}}$ Cauchy in $(V, \mathfrak{T}_u)$.

(iii) To each sequence $(t_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ from G there exists a subnet $(t_{m(\beta)})_{\beta \in B}$ with $(\Phi)_{t_{m(\beta)}}$ Cauchy in $(V, \mathfrak{T}_u)$.

(iv) For each neighborhood U of 0 in Y the set $\mathcal{T}(\Phi, U)$ of U-periods is relatively dense in G (Bohr ap).

(v) Φ is Maak ap (see [61, p. 26]): $\phi : G \to Y$ is called Maak almost periodic if for each neighborhood $U(0)$ of 0 of Y there exists a partition $P_1, \cdots, P_n$ of G such that

$$G = \cup_{k=1}^{n} P_k, \ \ \phi(a+s) - \phi(b+s) \in U \text{ for } a \text{ and } b \in \text{same } P_k, \ s \in G.$$

$(V, \mathfrak{T}_u)$ stands here for V equipped with the topology $\mathfrak{T}_u$ of uniform convergence on G.

In (iv), M-relatively dense in G means there exists a compact $K \subset G$ with

$$M \cap (x + K) \neq \emptyset \text{ for each } x \in G.$$

Remark 6.3. *(α) G can be any abstract abelian group in the discrete topology, K-compact means then K finite in (iv).*

(β) If in Proposition 6.2 the Y is topologically complete, e.g. complete, then (i) is equivalent with

(i') $\{\Phi_t : t \in G\}$ is relatively compact in $(V, \mathfrak{T}_u)$;

(ii) is equivalent with

(ii') To each net $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ from G there exists a subnet $(t_{\alpha(\beta)})_{\beta \in B}$ with $(\Phi)_{t_{\alpha(\beta)}}$ convergent to some $\Psi \in V$ uniformly on G;

(iii) is equivalent with

(iii') To each sequence $(t_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ from G there exists a subnet $(t_{m(\beta)})_{\beta\in B}$ with $(\Phi)_{t_{m(\beta)}}$ convergent to some $\Psi \in V$ uniformly on G.

(γ) All the above holds for $Y =$ uniform space.

(δ) All the above can be extended to non-abelian G.

We indicate a proof of Proposition 6.2 ("folklore") only for the special case (β) of Remark 6.3, only this is used here. First one has

(6.3) For any X, $Y = \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is a complete locally convex space.

Proof. (See [71, p. 201]): Given a Cauchy-net $(T_i)_{i\in I}$ from Y, then for fixed $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$, $(T_i(\varphi))_{i\in I}$ is a Cauchy-net in X; X being complete, there exists $T : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K}) \to X$ with $T_i(\varphi) \to T(\varphi)$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$; T is obviously linear. By definition of Y, $T_i(\varphi) \to T(\varphi)$ uniformly on $U \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$ which are bounded in $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$, especially on $\{\varphi_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ if $\varphi_m \to 0$ in $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$, $\varphi_m \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$. $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K})$ being metrizable, this gives the continuity of T, i.e. $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X)$. ¶

(6.3) means that for Proposition 6.2 we can assume Y complete, thus also $C(G, Y)$ is complete.

"Totally bounded" in (g) or $(\tilde{g})$ is then equivalent with relatively compact ([57, p. 36, (2) a)]). Furthermore, the equivalence of (i), (ii), (iii) is then via (i'), (ii'), (iii') a general topological result ([57, p. 36, (2)b), (3)]).

The equivalence of (i) and (iv) follows directly from the definitions.

$(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$ follows as in [2, p. 5, VI], where the case $G = \mathbb{R}$, $Y =$ Banach space X is treated; similarly $(i) \Rightarrow (iv)$ follows from [2, p. 8, (ε) or p. 9, VIII]. As in $(\tilde{g}) \Leftrightarrow (g)$ above the equivalence of (g), (c), (e), (f) follows then from Proposition 6.2 for Φ_T.

$(g) \Rightarrow (d)$.

This also follows as above from a general topological results:

Lemma 6.4. *If G, Y, $(V, \mathfrak{T}_u)$ are as in Proposition 6.2, and if additionally Y satisfies von Neumann's countability axiom (A_0), then for $\Phi \in V = C(G, Y)$,*

$(i')\{\Phi_t : t \in G\}$ is relatively compact in $(V, \mathfrak{T}_u)$ is equivalent with

(vi') To each sequence $(t_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ from G there exists a subsequence $(t_{m_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $(\Phi)_{t_{m_n}}$ convergent to some $\Psi \in V$ uniformly on G (Bochner's criterion [23, p. 154]).

Here (A_0) for Y means there exist countably many open U_n with $0 \in U_n \subset Y$ and $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = \{0\}$ (see [63, p. 4, Definition 2b (2)]).

If Y has (A_0) and is additionally topologically complete, then by the approximation theorem in [25, p. 37, Theorem 27], $(i) - (vi)$ *are also equivalent with*

(vii) $\Phi \in AP(G, Y)$

with $AP(G, Y)$ defined as in §1 (using continuous bounded characters $\gamma : G \to \mathbb{C}$ instead of γ_ω and replacing "sequence $(\pi_n) \subset \Pi(\mathbb{R}, X)$ such that $||\pi_n - \phi|| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$" by "net $(\pi_i) \subset \Pi(\mathbb{R}, Y)$ with $\pi_i \to \phi$ uniformly on G").

Lemma 6.4 can be applied in our case to Φ_T: $\{(\Phi_T)_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is relatively compact by $(g) \Rightarrow (\tilde{g})$ and the remarks after (6.3) above.

Though $Y := \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ does not satisfy the first axiom of countability (A_1) (it is also not separable), it satisfies (A_0) for arbitrary X: $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ is separable in the induced topology of $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$
($\mathcal{P}_r := \{\rho_n \cdot p : n \in \mathbb{N},\ p$ polynomial with rational coefficients $\in \mathbb{K}\}$ is countable, with fixed $\rho_n \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, $0 \le \rho_n \le 1$, $\rho_n = 1$ on $[-n, n]$, supp $\rho_n \subset [-n-1, n+1]$; approximating $\varphi^{(m)}$ by rational polynomials, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ fixed, it can be shown that $\mathcal{P}_r$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ as stated);
Taking $U_{m,n} := \{T \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X) : ||T(\varphi_n)|| \le 1/m\}$ with $\{\varphi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}$-dense in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, one gets (A_0) for $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, and thus $(g) \Rightarrow (d)$.

Proof of Lemma 6.4. $(i') \Rightarrow (vi')$: Given U'_n neighborhoods of 0 in Y with $\cap_{n=1}^\infty U'_n = \{0\}$ there are closed neighborhoods U_n of 0 in Y with $U_n + U_n \subset U'_n$ and $U_{n+1} \subset U_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $(t'_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence from G, with $M = \{\Phi_t : t \in G\}$ totally bounded ([57, p. 36, (2)a)]) recursively there exist subsequences $(t_{n,m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of (t'_m) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(t_{n+1,m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of $(t_{n,m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\Phi_{t_{n,k}}(s) - \Phi_{t_{n,l}}(s) \in U_n$ for $s \in G$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $(t_m) :=$ diagonal sequence of $(t_{n,m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, one has: (t_m) is a subsequence of (t'_m), and

(6.4) $\Phi_{t_m}(s) - \Phi_{t_n}(s) \in U_m$ for $s \in G$ if $n \ge m$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$(\Phi_{t_m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy in $(V, \mathfrak{T}_u)$:
If not there exist a neighborhood U_0 of 0 in Y, strictly monotone sequences (m_k), (n_k) in $\mathbb{N}$ and $(s_k) \subset G$ with

(6.5) $\Phi_{t_{m_k}}(s_k) - \Phi_{t_{n_k}}(s_k) \notin U_0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

By (i'), there exists a subnet $(k(i))_i$ of (k) and $f \in V$ with

$\Phi_{t_{m_{k(i)}}} \to f$ uniformly on G.

Similarly there are a subnet $(l(j))_j$ of $(k(i))_i$ and $g \in V$ with

$\Phi_{t_{n_{l(j)}}} \to g$ uniformly on G.

Choosing a neighborhood W_0 of 0 in Y with $W_0 = -W_0$, $W_0 + W_0 \subset U_0$ one gets $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$\Phi_{t_{m_{k_0}}}(s) - f(s) \in W_0$ and $\Phi_{t_{n_{k_0}}}(s) - g(s) \in W_0$ for $s \in G$.

(6.5) gives $f \ne g$.

Now by (6.4) one has, for fixed $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \ge q$,

$\Phi_{t_m}(s) - \Phi_{t_{n_{l(j)}}}(s) \in U_q$ for $s \in G$ and $j \ge j(m)$;

since U_q is closed in Y, this implies

$\Phi_{t_m}(s) - g(s) \in U_q$ for $s \in G$ and $m \ge q$

Similarly one gets $f(s) - g(s) \in U_q$ for $s \in G$. Since q is arbitrary, (A_0) implies $f = g$, a contradiction.
$\{\Phi_t : t \in G\}$ relatively compact gives then a $\Psi \in V$ with $\Phi_{t_m} \to \Psi$ uniformly on G, i.e. (vi').
We omit the proof of $(vi') \Rightarrow (i')$, since in our case it follows from (6.3) and Remark 6.3. ¶

(In fact, the uniformity on $\{\Phi_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ induced by $(V, \mathfrak{T}_u)$ satisfies the first axiom of countability (A_1)).

$(d) \Rightarrow (a)$: As in the proof of $(g) \Rightarrow (\tilde{g})$, $T_{a_{m_n}} \to S$ in $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ implies $\Phi_{T_{a_{m_n}}} \to \Phi_S$ uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$. With definition $T * \varphi(t) = T(\check{\varphi}_t)$ [75, p. 156, (2)] this gives for fixed $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, with $\Psi := T * \varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, X)$: $\Psi(s + a_{m_n}) \to S * \varphi(s)$ as $n \to \infty$, uniformly in $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Since this holds for any sequence (a_m) from $\mathbb{R}$, Bochner's criterion for X-valued ap functions on $\mathbb{R}$ [23, p. 154], [2, p. 9, VIII, p. 15, I] gives $\Psi \in AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ as defined in §1. φ being arbitrary, (a) follows.
The proof of Theorem 6.1 is complete. ¶

By (6.1), (6.2) the Stepanoff $S^p AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ functions and distributions are subsumed by almost periodic distributions $\mathcal{D}'_{AP}(\mathbb{R}, X)$.
For the functions $AAP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $EAP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ this is not the case. One can even show $C_c(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap \mathcal{D}'_{AP}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \{0\}$, so $\mathcal{D}'_{AP}(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset \mathcal{D}'_{AAP}(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset \mathcal{D}'_{EAP}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, each inclusion being strict; however, already $\mathcal{M}AP \not\subset EAP$.
Similarly, there exists $g \in \mathcal{M}AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $g \notin B^p AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Conversely, not even $W^p AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{D}'_{AP}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ in the following strong sense: There exists Weyl ap function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $f \in W^p AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ for all $p \in [1, \infty)$ such that $[f]_{B^1} \cap \mathcal{D}'_{AP}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) = \emptyset$, where $[f]_{B^1} :=$ Besicovitch equivalence class $\{F \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) : ||F - f||_{B^1} = 0\}$. (For f one can use a slight modification of the F of "main example IV" of [27, Appendix, pp. 131-133], suitably refining the arguments and lemmas there; there is no space for details).

The following is a generalization of the Bohl-Bohr-Amerio-Kadets theorems [21, p. 7], [37], [54], [8 and references therein] (see the introduction, and after Corollary 6.6).

Proposition 6.5. *If $U, \mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ or $\subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies (L_U) then $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ respectively $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}}$ respectively $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ for $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $(L_{\mathcal{M}U})$ respectively $(L'_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}U}})$ respectively $(L'_{\mathcal{D}'_U})$ (for (L_U) etc. see §1).*

Proof. The definitions and $\Delta_h M_s = M_s \Delta_h$, $\Delta_h \widetilde{M}_s = \widetilde{M}_s \Delta_h$. ¶

To apply this to indefinite integrals, we need an extension of P defined for functions in section 1 to vector-valued distributions defined on any open interval I:

(6.6) There exists $\widetilde{P} : \mathcal{D}'(I, X) \to \mathcal{D}'(I, X)$ with $(\widetilde{P}T)' = T$, $T \in \mathcal{D}'(I, X)$.

$\widetilde{P}$ linear, continuous.

This follows as in [71, p. 52]; for $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ one has however only $\widetilde{P}f = Pf + c$, with the constant c depending on f.

Corollary 6.6. *$U, \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies (L_U), is linear and contains all the constants, then $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $\widetilde{P}T \in \mathcal{D}'_U(\mathbb{R}, X)$ implies $\widetilde{P}T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$.*

Proof. Differentiation and (2.8) give $\Delta_h \widetilde{P}T = h\widetilde{M}_h T +$ constant, $\in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ by Proposition 2.4 (a). ¶

Special case : $\mathcal{A} = AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $c_0 \not\subset X$, $U = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$, then $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies (L_{ub}) by [13, p. 120] and then (L_b) with Proposition 1.7 (i).

$\mathcal{A} = UAA(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $LAP_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ are also possible (see [13, p. 120]).

Similar results hold for $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A}$ instead of $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, this will be treated somewhere else.

Corollary 6.6 contains the classical Bohl-Bohr-Kadets theorem:

If $\phi \in AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $c_0 \not\subset X$ *and only* $P\phi \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ (*for example* $P\phi \in S^1_b(\mathbb{R}, X)$), *then* $P\phi \in AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Indeed, $P\phi \in \mathcal{D}'_{AP}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ by the special case above and the remark after (6.6). But then $P\phi \in AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ by Proposition 5.6.

Corollary 6.6 can be extended to the half line, this will be shown in a future note.

§7 Ergodic classes

This section is devoted to the study of ergodic classes. We give inclusion relations between the various $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{E}_{ub}$, $\mathcal{TE}$, $\mathcal{TE}_0$, and apply results of section 4 to show (Δ) for $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $\mathcal{E}_n(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $Av_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $Av_n(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

Proposition 7.1. *For any $\mathbb{J}$, X, the following inclusions hold and are strict*

$$\begin{aligned} &(7.1) \qquad \mathcal{TE}_{ub} \subset \mathcal{E}_{ub} \subset \mathcal{ME}_{ub} \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{M}^2\mathcal{E}_{ub} \subset \\ &\subset \mathcal{ME} \subset \mathcal{M}^3\mathcal{E}_{ub} \cdots \subset \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{M}^{n+2}\mathcal{E}_{ub} \subset \cdots, \\ &\subset \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}_{ub}}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}, X) \textit{ for } \mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

(7.1) holds also, if there everywhere $\mathcal{E}$ is replaced respectively by $\mathcal{E}_0$, $\mathcal{TE}_0$ or $\mathcal{TE}$. Furthermore, $C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{TE}}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \mathcal{TE}_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{TE}_0}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \mathcal{TE}_0(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X)$; but $\mathcal{E}_{ub} \not\subset \cup_0^\infty \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{TE} = \cup_0^\infty \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{TE}_{ub}$.

Proof. Case $\mathcal{E}$: If $\phi \in \mathcal{ME}_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $\phi = \psi + \xi$ with $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $\xi \in (\mathcal{E}_{ub})'_{Loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ by Proposition 5.1 (i) and Examples 4.7. This means that $\xi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and proves $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$. If $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $M_h\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for each $h > 0$ by (2.6). Now

$$(7.2) \qquad \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset \mathcal{M}C(\mathbb{J}, X)$$

since $M_T\phi$ and $M_{T+h}\phi$ are bounded for suitable T, also for open $\mathbb{J} \neq \mathbb{R}$. This implies that $M_\tau M_h \phi \in \mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all τ, $h > 0$.

If $f \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$ is defined by $f = 1$ on I_{2n} and $f = 0$ on I_{2n+1}, where $I_n = [10^n + 1, 10^{n+1} - 1]$ then $\gamma_\omega f \in \mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$ for all $\omega \neq 0$, but $f \notin \mathcal{E}$. This gives $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X) \neq \mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

If $f(t) = \sin t^2$, $f' \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$; Lemma 2.2 gives $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{M}^{n-1}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$. If $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$, (2.8) gives $\Delta_{h_n} \cdots \Delta_{h_1} f \in \mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R}) \subset C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$, then Proposition 1.7 (i) inductively $f \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R}) \subset C_u(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$, a contradiction. This means that the inclusions $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset \mathcal{M}^{n-1}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ are strict for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We omit the examples for $\mathcal{M}^{n-1}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X) \neq \mathcal{M}^{n+1}\mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

$\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{E}_{ub} \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}_{ub}}$ by Corollary 2.5 and Example 4.7, $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}_{ub}} = \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}}$ then by Corollary 2.14, Example 3.4 and $\mathcal{E}_{ub} \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}_{ub}}$ of (7.1).

Case $\mathcal{E}_0$ can be proved similarly.

For the $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}_0$-cases we need first $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}_0$:

If $\phi \in \mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ (respectively $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$), $M_h\phi \in C_b(\mathbb{J}, X)$ by (7.2). This implies $\gamma_\omega M_h \phi \in C_b(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all γ_ω. Therefore $(\gamma_\omega M_h\phi)' \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$. Since $\gamma'_\omega M_h \phi = (\gamma_\omega M_h \phi)' - \gamma_\omega(\Delta_h\phi)/h$, one gets $\gamma'_\omega M_h\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ (respectively $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$). This gives $(\gamma_\omega M_h\phi) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$(respectively $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$) for all $\omega \neq 0$ and hence $M_h\phi \in \mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ (respectively $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$) with $\mathcal{E}_{ub} \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}_{ub}}$ ($\mathcal{E}_{0,ub} \subset \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}_{0,ub}}$) of (7.1).

(7.1) for $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}$ (respectively $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$) follows then as for $\mathcal{E}$, especially $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}_{ub}} = \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}}$ (respectively $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}_{0,ub}} = \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}_0}$).

$C_u \cap \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}_{ub}$ follows with Proposition 5.6 and the above. ¶

Remark 7.2.

(i) There exists even $f \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *with* $\gamma_\omega f \in \mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *for* $\omega \neq 0$ *but* $f \notin \mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

(ii) For any $\mathbb{J}$, X, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *one has* $\mathcal{M}^k L^\infty \cap \mathcal{M}^k\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{M}^k L^\infty \cap \mathcal{M}^{k+1}\mathcal{E}_{ub}$.

Proposition 7.3. $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}_0$, $\mathcal{E}_n$, Av_0, Av_n *have* (Δ) *for arbitrary* $\mathbb{J}, X$.

Proof. Case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}_0$:

Given $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, with $\Delta_h\phi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all $h > 0$. For fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ define

$A^\varepsilon_m := \{f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X) : ||M_T f(t)|| \leq \varepsilon$, for all $t \in \mathbb{J}$, $T \geq m\}$, $E_\varepsilon := \cup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A^\varepsilon_m$.

One can apply Lemma 4.12 ($M_T\Delta_s\phi = \Delta_s(M_T\phi)$ is continuous in s), so $\phi - (M_h\phi)_v \in E_\varepsilon$ for $0 < h \leq \delta$. $\Delta_v\phi \in \mathcal{E}$ implies $\Delta_v\phi \in E_\varepsilon$ for all ε, then $M_h\Delta_v\phi \in E_\varepsilon$, yielding $\phi - M_h\phi \in E_{2\varepsilon}$ for all $0 < h \leq \delta$. With

$(\phi - M_{2^{n+1}h}\phi) = (\phi - M_{2^n h}\phi) - \frac{1}{2}M_{2^n h}\Delta_{2^n h}\phi$

and $\varepsilon_n > 0$ with $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \varepsilon_n < \varepsilon$

one gets analogously $\phi - M\phi \in E$ for all $h > 0$. Thus $\phi - M\phi \in \cap E \subset \mathcal{E}$

The proofs of the cases $\mathcal{E}_n$, Av_0, Av_n are similar, replacing the above A_m^ε respectively by

$\{f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X) : M_T(|f)|(t) \leq \varepsilon$, for all $t \in \mathbb{J}$, $T \geq m\}$,

$\{f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X) : ||M_T(f)(\alpha_0)|| \leq \varepsilon$, for $T \geq m\}$,

$\{f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X) : M_T(|f|)(\alpha_0) \leq \varepsilon$, for $T \geq m\}$. ¶

Proposition 7.4. *If U and V are additive groups, positive invariant, with* (Δ),$\subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, *with closed $\mathbb{J}$ and any X, if furthermore $U \cap V = \{0\}$, $V \subset C(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and to U exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $U \subset \mathcal{M}^{m_0} Av_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and finally, U, V are invariant if $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$, then $U + V$ satisfies also* (Δ).

Proof. With Proposition 4.18 we have to show that in $\Delta_h \Phi(t) = u(t,h) + v(t,h)$ the $v(\alpha, h)$ in $h \in (0, \infty)$ is h-measurable on $(0, \infty)$, Φ as there. Now, by Proposition 4.18 one has, also if $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$,

(7.3) $\Delta_h \phi(t) = v(t,h)$, $t \in \mathbb{J}$, $h \geq 0$ respectively $h \in \mathbb{R}$ if $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$,

with $\phi(t) := v(\alpha, t - \alpha)$, $t \in \mathbb{J}$ and $v(\cdot\,, h) \in V \subset C(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for $h \in \mathbb{R}^+$ respectively $\mathbb{R}$.

We now first assume $X = \mathbb{K}, = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. By Corollary 7.5 below, a refinement of a result of de Bruijn, there exists a $g \in C(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K})$ and an additive $H : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{K}$ such that

(7.4) $\phi = g + H$ on $\mathbb{J}$.

with $\Delta_h \Phi = u + v$ and (7.3) one gets, with $F := \Phi - g, \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K})$

(7.5) $\Delta_h F(t) = u(t,h) + H(h), \qquad t \in \mathbb{J}.$

Applying the means M_n, with respect to the variable t, to both sides of (7.5), one gets

$G_n(t,h) := M_n(\Delta_h F)(t) = M_n(u) + H(h)$, $h \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where $G_n \in C(\mathbb{J} \times \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{K})$.

Choosing $a \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^{m_0}$ and applying M_a to G_n, one gets

$M_a G_n(\cdot\,, h) = M_a M_n(u) + H(h) = M_n M_a u + H(h)$, $h \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Since by assumptions $M_a u \in Av_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $M_n M_a u(\alpha) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ by the definition of Av_0.

This gives $q_n(h) := M_a G_n(\cdot\,, h)(\alpha) \to H(h)$ for $h \in \mathbb{R}_+$ as $n \to \infty$. Since the G_n are (t,h)-continuous on $\mathbb{J} \times \mathbb{R}_+$, the same holds for $M_a G_n$, so the q_n are continuous on $\mathbb{R}_+$, with $q_n(h) \to H(h)$ pontwise on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

This implies the measurability of H on $\mathbb{R}_+$; the additivity of H on $\mathbb{R}$ gives the measurability of H on $\mathbb{R}$. $H(s + h) - H(s) = H(h)$ for $s, h \in \mathbb{R}$ and Lemma 4.19 imply $H \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$; integrating with respect to h over $[0, 1]$ gives the continuity of H on $\mathbb{R}$ (even H(t)=ct). (7.4) shows that ϕ is continuous on $\mathbb{J}$.

For general X, choose $y \in$ dual X^* and consider $\psi := y \circ \phi$ with ϕ of (7.3). One

$\Delta_h\psi \in C(\mathbb{J},\mathbb{K})$ for $h \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\psi = g+H$ by the above, $\Delta_h(y\circ\Phi - g) = y\circ u + H(h)$, with g, H depending on y. Since $u \in \mathcal{M}^{m_0}Av_0(\mathbb{J},X)$ implies $y\circ\Phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},\mathbb{K})$ $(y(M_h f) = M_h(y\circ f)$ for $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J},X)$ by Hille's theorem [75, p. 134, Corollary 2], by the above one gets the continuity of $\psi = y\circ\phi$ on $\mathbb{J}$.
y being arbitrary $\in X^*$, this means that ϕ is weakly continuous on $\mathbb{J}$; but then $\phi(\mathbb{J})$ is weakly separable and then (norm)-separable. Since ϕ is weakly measurably, so by Pettis' theorem ([75, p. 131] or [50, p. 158, Satz 5]) ϕ is (Bochner-Lebesgue) measurable on $\mathbb{J}$. (7.3) gives the desired measurability of $v(\alpha,\cdot)$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

To complete the proof of Proposition 7.4, we use the following result of de Bruijn [30, p. 197, Theorem 1.3]

Theorem. *If $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary interval, $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary function such that for each $h \in \mathbb{R}$ the difference*
$(\Delta_h f)\,|\,(I\cap(I-h))$ is continuous on $I\cap(I-h)$, then there exists g, H with

$$f = g + H \text{ on } I, \tag{7.6}$$

$g \in C(I,\mathbb{R})$ and $H : \mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ additive, i.e. $H(t+s) = H(t)+H(s)$ for all s, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

We need here the following reformulation, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

Corollary 7.5. *If $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary interval, $f : I \to \mathbb{K}$ is arbitrary such that for each $h > 0$ the difference $(\Delta_h f)\,|\,(I\cap(I-h))$ is continuous on $I\cap(I-h)$, then there exists g, H with $g \in C(I,\mathbb{K})$, and $H : \mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{K}$ additive with (7.6).*

For $I = [0,\infty)$ or $\mathbb{R}$ the assumptions mean: $\Delta_h f$ is continuous on $\mathbb{J}$ for all $h > 0$.

Proof. The extension of (7.6) to complex valued f, g, H is obvious. By assumptions $(\Delta_h f)$ is defined and continuous on $I\cap(I-h)$ if $h > 0$: The substitution $t = s-h$ gives $-(\Delta_{-h}f)(s)$ is defined and continuous on $I\cap(I-h)+h = (I+h)\cap I = I\cap(I-(-h))$, so $(\Delta_h f)$ is continuous on $I\cap(I-h)$ also for negative h as needed for (7.6). ¶

Remark 7.6. *Under additional assumptions extensions of Proposition 4.18 and Proposition 7.4 to open $\mathbb{J} = (\alpha,\infty)$ are possible: See Corollary 7.8 and Remark 7.12 below.*

Corollary 7.7. *Let U be an additive group, positive invariant, $\subset \mathcal{M}^{m_0}Av_0(\mathbb{J},X)$ with $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\mathbb{J}$ closed, and U invariant if $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$. Then $U + X$ has (Δ) if and only if U has (Δ).*

Proof. "if": One has $U\cap X = \{0\}$, since $a \in X$, $a \in U \subset \mathcal{M}^{m_0}Av_0(\mathbb{J},X)$ implies, for $h_0 \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^{m_0}$,
$a = M_{h_0}a \in Av_0(\mathbb{J},X)$, so $a = \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_\alpha^{\alpha+T} a\,dt = 0$, we can apply Proposition 7.4.
We omit the proof of "only if". ¶

Corollary 7.8. *$\mathcal{E}$ and $Av := Av_0 + X$ satisfy (Δ) for any $\mathbb{J}$, X, so also $\mathcal{E} \cap L^\infty$, $\mathcal{E} \cap C_b$, $\mathcal{E}_u := \mathcal{E} \cap C_u$. For $\mathcal{TE}$ and $\mathcal{TE}_0$ see Proposition 8.9.*

Proof. $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 + X$ and Corollary 7.7 give (Δ) for $\mathcal{E}$ for closed $\mathbb{J}$; similarly for Av.

If $\mathbb{J} = (\alpha, \infty)$, $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ with all $\Delta_s \phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $\psi := \phi - M_h \phi$ with fixed $h > 0$, $\mathbb{J}_1 := [\alpha + 1, \infty)$, then $\psi |\, \mathbb{J}_1 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}_1, X)$. Since $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset \mathcal{M}C_b(\mathbb{J}, X)$ by (7.2), Example 4.15 and Proposition 4.17 (a) yield $\psi \in \mathcal{M}C_b(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for any $\mathbb{J}$, so $M_1 \psi$ is bounded on $\mathbb{J}$. This and $\psi |\, \mathbb{J}_1 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}_1, X)$ give $\psi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$

(Δ) for Av and $\mathbb{J} = (\alpha, \infty)$ follows with Remark 7.9.

$\mathcal{E} \cap L^\infty$, $\mathcal{E} \cap C_b$, $\mathcal{E} \cap C_u$ satisfy (Δ) with Propositions 4.17, 4.9, Examples 4.15 and 4.7. ¶

Remark 7.9. *$Av(\mathbb{J}, X) = \{f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X) : \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_r^{r+T} f(t)\, dt$ exists $= a \in X$ for $r = \alpha_0\}$; if the limit exists for one $r \in \mathbb{J} \neq \mathbb{R}$, it exists for each $r \in \mathbb{J}$, and is independent of r; $m(f) := a$ extends the $m |\, \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$.*
Similarly for $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$, with $\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{r-T}^{r+T} f(t)\, dt$ exists for one r.

Other examples for Corollary 7.7 are :
$O(w) + X$ satisfies (Δ) with $O(w)$ of Proposition 4.10, if $w \in$ some $\mathcal{M}^{m_0} Av_n$, e.g $w(t) \to 0$ as $|t| \to \infty$, $t \in \mathbb{J}$.
$L^p + X$ satisfies (Δ) if $1 \le p \le \infty$: $p = \infty$ is Proposition 4.9 ; else $L^p \subset \mathcal{M}C_0$ for closed $\mathbb{J}$, and $C_0(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset Av_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$.
A simple application of Proposition 7.4 would be $AAP = C_0 + AP$, but this is covered already by Proposition 4.2 (i), for arbitrary $\mathbb{J}$.

The main applications of Proposition 7.4 are:

Example 7.10. *$PAP(\mathbb{J}, X)$ satisfies (Δ) for closed $\mathbb{J}$, any X.*

Proof. In Proposition 7.4 take $V = AP(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $U = C_b(\mathbb{J}, X) \cap Av_n(\mathbb{J}, X)$. Then V satisfies (Δ) by Examples 4.7 and U satisfies (Δ) by Proposition 4.17, Examples 4.15, Proposition 7.3.

Example 7.11. *Similarly $U + AP(\mathbb{J}, X)$ satisfies (Δ) if $U = Av_n$, $\mathcal{T}Av_0$, $Av_0 \cap C_b$, Av_0, C_0, EAP_0, $\mathcal{E}_{n,ub} := \{f \in \mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X) : |f| \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})\}$, $\mathcal{E}_n$, with $\mathbb{J}$ closed, any X.*

One has

$$\begin{aligned} &AAP = C_0 + AP \subset EAP_0 + AP = EAP \subset \mathcal{TE}_0 + AP \subset \mathcal{T}(Av_0) + AP, \\ &AAP = C_0 + AP \subset \mathcal{E}_{n,ub} + AP \subset \mathcal{E}_n \cap C_b + AP \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad \subset PAP \subset Av_n + AP \subset \mathcal{T}(Av_0) + AP. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 7.12. *Examples 7.10 and 7.11 hold also for $\mathbb{J} = (\alpha, \infty)$, any X.*

Proof. With $\mathbb{J}_r := [\alpha + r, \infty)$ one has $(\phi - M_h \phi) |\, \mathbb{J}_r = u_r + f_r$, $f_l = f |\, \mathbb{J}_l$ with unique for $r > 0$, then $u \in U$ with Remark 7.9, Proposition 4.17 and Examples 4.7, 4.15. ¶

Proposition 7.13. *If U and W are uniformly closed additive groups $\subset X^{\mathbb{J}}$ with $U \subset Av_n(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $W \subset r_+(\mathbb{J}, X) \cap C(\mathbb{J}, X)$, then $U + W$ is uniformly closed and the sum is direct.*

Here Av_n is defined in section 1, recurrent functions

$r_+(\mathbb{J}, X) := \{\phi \in X^{\mathbb{J}} : T_+(\phi, 1/n, n)$ relatively dense in $\mathbb{R}_+$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and

$T_+(\phi, \varepsilon, n) := \{\tau \geq 0 : ||\phi(t + \tau) - \phi(t)|| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $|t| \leq n,\ t \in \mathbb{J}\}$.

Proof. Follows immediately from the (any $\mathbb{J}$, X) Porada inequality:
If $u \in Av_n(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $w \in r_+(\mathbb{J}, X) \cap C(\mathbb{J}, X)$, then

(7.7) $\qquad ||w||_\infty \leq ||u + w||_\infty.$

(7.7) has been introduced by Porada [64, p. 247, (i)] for $U = EAP_0$ and $W = AP$, $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$, $X = \mathbb{C}$, then treated in [77, Lemma 1.3] for $U = PAP_0$, $W = AP$.
(7.7) follows in turn, for u, w as there, from

(7.8) $\qquad w(\mathbb{J}) \subset$ closure of $(u + w)(\mathbb{J})$ in X.

(7.8) has essentially been shown in [12?, Proposition 2.4, (2.2)], $w \in C_{ub}$ or $u \in C_b$ are not needed in the proof. ¶

Remark 7.14. *One can also show that $\mathcal{E} \cdot e^{it^2}$ and $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{E} \cdot e^{it^2})$ satisfy (Δ), see also Remark 8.10.*

§8 Fourier analysis

In this section X will be a complex Banach space, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ (if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, everything works with $\sin \omega t$, $\cos \omega t$ instead of $e^{i\omega t}$).

To get Fourier coefficients and a formal Fourier series for elements of a class $\mathcal{A}$, two properties are sufficient: $\mathcal{A}$ is closed with respect to multiplication by characters, and there is a (hopefully invariant and continuous) mean on $\mathcal{A}$:

Proposition 8.1. *(i) If $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ satisfies (Γ), so does $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$.*

(ii) If $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ (respectively $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{J}, X)$) is linear, positive-invariant, satisfies (Γ) and (Δ_1) (respectively (Δ'_1)), then $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$ (respectively $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A}$) satisfies (Γ), $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (i) If $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $\gamma_\omega(t) = e^{i\omega t}$, $\gamma_\omega T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $(\gamma_\omega T) * \varphi(x) = (\gamma_\omega T)(\check{\varphi}_{-x}) = T(\gamma_\omega \check{\varphi}_{-x}) = T(e^{i\omega x}\check{\psi}_{-x})$, where $\psi := \gamma_{-\omega}\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$, so $(\gamma_\omega T) * \varphi = \gamma_\omega \cdot (T * \psi), \in \mathcal{A}$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$.

(ii) follows by induction : With Proposition 5.1 (i) one has $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A} \subset U + U'_{Loc}$, $U := \mathcal{M}^{n-1}\mathcal{A}$; if $f = u + v'$ with $u \in U$, $v \in U \cap W^{1,1}_{loc}$, then $\gamma_\omega f = \gamma_\omega u - \gamma'_\omega v + (\gamma_\omega v)' \in U + U'_{Loc}$ if U satisfies (Γ), since also $\gamma_\omega v \in W^{1,1}_{loc}$. $W^{1,1}_{loc}$ and U satisfies (Δ) by Lemma (2.2). Similarly for $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A}$ ¶

Proposition 3.8 of [13] is the special case $n = 1$, $\mathcal{A} \subset C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Example 8.2. *$\mathcal{A} = AP$, S^pAP, W^pAP, B^pAP, AAP, UAA, LAP_{ub}, EAP_{rc}, EAP, $\mathcal{TE}_{ub}$ and $\mathcal{TE}_b$ all satisfy even $AP(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$.*

This is well known respectively follows from the definitions (also for EAP).

Lemma 8.3. *$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{TE}_{ub}} = \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{TE}} \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}_{ub}} = \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}} \subset \mathcal{D}'_{C_{ub}} = \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty} \subset \mathcal{S}' | \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ for $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$, any X.*

Proof. Propositions 2.9, 7.1 and Theorem 2.16. ¶

Proposition 8.4. *The ergodic mean $m : \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X) \to X$ can be extended uniquely to an $\tilde{m} : \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}, X) \to X$ which is linear and $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'$-continuous. This $\tilde{m}$ is translation-invariant and satisfies*

$$(8.1\ ) \qquad m(T * \varphi) = \tilde{m}(T) \cdot \int \varphi(x)\, dx, \qquad T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}, X),\ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}).$$

Furthermore $\widetilde{M_T}(S) \to \tilde{m}(S)$ as $T \to \infty$ in the $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'$-Topology $| \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$.

Proof (See Schwartz [71, p. 207]): The definition of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and m gives, with (1.11) and (2.3), for $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$,

$|M_T(f * \varphi) - m(f) \cdot \int \varphi(x)\, dx| \leq \varepsilon \cdot ||\varphi||_{L^1}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ for $T \geq T_\varepsilon$.

$T \to \infty$ yields then for $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$

$$(8.2\ ) \qquad \varphi * f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X), \qquad m(\varphi * f) = m(f) \cdot \int \varphi(x)\, dx.$$

The linear map $m : \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X) \to X$ is $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'$-continuous, i.e. if $(f_n) \subset \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $\int f_n(x) \cdot \varphi(x)\, dx \to 0$ uniformly in $\varphi \in U$ for each $U \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ which is bounded in $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}$, then $m(f_n) \to 0$:

For this, choose $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ with $\int \varphi(x)\, dx = 1$. Then $U = \{((s_T * \varphi)^{\vee})_{-t} : t \in \mathbb{R}, T > 0\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ is bounded in $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, since $||(((s_T * \varphi)^{\vee})_{-t})^{(j)}||_{L^1} = ||s_T * (\varphi)^{(j)}||_{L^1} \leq ||s_T||_{L^1} \cdot ||\varphi^{(j)}||_\infty = ||\varphi^{(j)}||_\infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $T > 0$. So

$M_T(f_n * \varphi)(t) = f_n * (s_T * \varphi)(t) = \int f_n(s)((s_T * \varphi)^{\vee})_{-t}(s)\, ds \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $T > 0$. $T \to \infty$ gives $m(f_n * \varphi) \to 0$, with (8.2) one gets $m(f_n) \to 0$.

With (8.2), Theorem 2.11 (e) can be applied to $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, so $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is sequentially $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'$-dense in $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$. The standard extension process gives a linear $\tilde{m} : \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}, X) \to X$.

For this $\tilde{m}$ one has (8.1): If $(g_n) \subset \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $g_n \to T$ in $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, as above $g_n * \varphi \to T * \varphi$ uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$, $T * \varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Thus $m(g_n * \varphi) \to m(T * \varphi)$. (8.2) for (g_n) gives (8.1).

This $\tilde{m}$ is $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'$-continuous in 0: If $T_n \to 0$ in $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'$, $T_n \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, then $T_n * \varphi \to 0$ uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$, so $m(T_n * \varphi) \to 0$; (8.1) gives $\tilde{m}(T_n) \to 0$ if $\int \varphi(x)\, dx \neq 0$.

$\tilde{m}$ is invariant since $m | \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is invariant by definition.

$\tilde{M}_T(S) \to \tilde{m}(S) =$ constant function follows using $g_n \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $g_n \to S$, since with U also

$\{M_{-T}\varphi : \varphi \in U, T \geq 1\}$ is $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}$-bounded.¶

Remark. *(8.1) holds also for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$ ($\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}} \subset (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'|\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ by Proposition 2.9).*

Corollary 8.5. *If $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\tilde{m}(T^{(n)}) = 0$.*

Proof. (8.1) for $T^{(n)}, \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ as T, with $T^{(n)} * \varphi = T * (\varphi^{(n)})$.¶

With the mean $\tilde{m}$ of Proposition 8.4 one can now define Fourier coefficients etc.:

Definition 8.6. *For $S \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{TE}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ we define ($\gamma_\omega(t) = e^{i\omega t}$)*

(8.3) $\quad c_\omega(S) := \tilde{m}(\gamma_{-\omega} S), \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}$ *(Fourier coefficients of S).*

(8.4) $\quad \sigma_B(S) := \{\omega \in \mathbb{R} : c_\omega(S) \neq 0\}$ *(Bohr-spectrum of S).*

and the formal Fourier series

$$\textstyle\sum_{\omega \in \sigma_B(S)} c_\omega(S)\, \gamma_\omega.$$

By Proposition 8.1, $\gamma_{-\omega} S \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, so everything is well defined.

For $f \in W^pAP(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, one gets the usual Fourier coefficients, series and spectrum, with $AP \subset S^pAP \subset W^pAP$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.
$\mathcal{TE}_0$ contains the $f \in \mathcal{TE}$ with Fourier series 0.

We say that $U \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{TE}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ has *countable spectra*, if for each $S \in U$ the Bohr spectrum $\sigma_B(S)$ is at most countable.

Proposition 8.7. *If $S \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{TE}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $S * \varphi \in \mathcal{TE}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $S^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{TE}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and*

(8.5) $\quad c_\omega(S * \varphi) = c_\omega(S) \cdot \hat{\varphi}(\omega), \qquad c_\omega(S^{(n)}) = (i\omega)^n c_\omega(S), \qquad \omega \in \mathbb{R}.$

Therefore $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ has countable spectra, if $\mathcal{A}$ has, $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{TE}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Proof. $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{TE}}(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X) = (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, X)|\, \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ by Lemma 8.3 and Proposition 2.9, so $(S * \varphi)(x) :=$ extension $\widetilde{S}(\check{\varphi}_{-x}) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Since $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ there are $(\varphi_m) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ with $\varphi_m \to \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ in $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$; by definition $S * \varphi_m \in \mathcal{TE}$. Continuity of $\widetilde{S}$ gives $S * \varphi_m \to S * \varphi$ uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$, so $S * \varphi \in \mathcal{TE}$ since $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is uniformly closed. This also gives (8.1) for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$, then (8.5) with $T = \gamma_{-\omega} S$, Proposition 8.1 and $\gamma_{-\omega} \cdot (S * \varphi) = (\gamma_{-\omega} \cdot S) * (\gamma_{-\omega}\varphi)$, $\gamma_{-\omega}\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$.
Since $\hat{\varphi}$ is entire if $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, (8.5) gives the countability of $\sigma_B(S)$ for $S \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$.¶

Examples 8.8. *All of the above can be applied to $\mathcal{A} = AP$, AAP, S^pAP, W^pAP, EAP_{rc}, EAP and the corresponding $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$, with $\mathcal{D}'_{AP} = \mathcal{D}'_{S^pAP} \subset \mathcal{D}'_{W^pAP} \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{TE}}$ by [(8.3)], since all these are $\subset \mathcal{TE}$ and have countable spectra. (See (1.2f), [69, Theorem 2.4] for EAP).*

Definition 8.6 is thus also meaningful for all mean classes $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}$ as in Examples 8.8, since $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{TE}$ implies $\mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{A} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{A} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{TE} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{TE}} = \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{TE}}$. The last equality follows from Propositions 2.4 (b) and 8.9; one even has (2.17), (2.19) for $\mathcal{TE}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, since $\mathcal{TE} * \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{TE}$ by (8.2) and $\gamma_\omega(f * \varphi) = (\gamma_\omega f) * \gamma_\omega\varphi$: Proposition 8.9 is needed for (2.17), (2.19) for $\mathcal{TE}$.

Proposition 8.9. *For any* $\mathbb{J}$, X, $\mathcal{TE}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *satisfies* $(L_{\mathcal{E}})$ *and* (Δ), $\mathcal{TE}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$ *satisfies* $(L_{\mathcal{E}_0})$ *and* (Δ).

Proof. $(L_{\mathcal{E}})$ (respectively $(L_{\mathcal{E}_0})$): Given $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ with $\Delta_h\phi \in \mathcal{TE}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ (respectively $\mathcal{TE}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$) for all $h > 0$, it follows $M_h\phi \in C_b(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all $h > 0$ by (7.2). Examples 4.15 and Proposition 8.1 (ii) for C_b give $M_h\gamma_\omega\phi \in C_b(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all γ_ω. This implies $(M_h(\gamma_\omega\phi))' \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for all γ_ω, and then $\Delta_h(\gamma_\omega\phi) \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$. The identity $(\gamma_\omega(h) - 1)\gamma_\omega\phi = (\gamma_\omega\phi)_h - (\gamma_\omega\phi) - \gamma_\omega(h)\gamma_\omega\Delta_h\phi$ gives $\gamma_\omega\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ (respectively $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$) for all $\omega \neq 0$. This and the assumption proves $(L_{\mathcal{E}})$ (respectively $(L_{\mathcal{E}_0})$).

(Δ): Given $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ with $\Delta_h\phi \in \mathcal{TE}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ (respectively $\mathcal{TE}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$) for all $h > 0$, $\phi - M_h\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ (respectively $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$) for all $h > 0$ since $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ (respectively $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$) satisfies (Δ) by Corollary 7.8 (respectively Proposition 7.3). The identity $(\phi - M_h\phi)_s - (\phi - M_h\phi) = \Delta_s\phi - \Delta_s(M_h\phi) = \Delta_s\phi - M_h(\Delta_s\phi)$ and $\mathcal{TE} \subset \mathcal{MTE}$ (respectively $\mathcal{TE}_0 \subset \mathcal{MTE}_0$) of (7.1) for $\mathcal{TE}$ (respectively $\mathcal{TE}_0$) show that $(\phi - M_h\phi)_s - (\phi - M_h\phi) \in \mathcal{TE}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ (respectively $\mathcal{TE}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$) for all $s > 0$. Therefore $(\phi - M_h\phi) \in \mathcal{TE}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ (respectively $\mathcal{TE}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$) by $(L_{\mathcal{E}})$ (respectively $(L_{\mathcal{E}_0})$). This proves (Δ) for $\mathcal{TE}$ (respectively $\mathcal{TE}_0$) . ¶

Remark 8.10. $\gamma_\omega\mathcal{E}$, $\gamma_\omega\mathcal{E}_0$, $\gamma_\omega Av$, $\gamma_\omega Av_0$ *all have* (Δ) *for all* $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since for example $\mathcal{TE} = \cap_{\omega\in\mathbb{R}}\gamma_\omega\,\mathcal{E}$, this generalizes Proposition 8.9.

Proposition 8.11. *For* $S \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{TE}}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ *(see Example 8.8) one has*

$$\sigma_B(S) \;\subset\; supp\;\; \widehat{S}.$$

Proof. $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{TE}}(\mathbb{R}, X) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ by Lemma 8.3, so $\widehat{S}$ is defined. If $\omega \notin \text{supp}\,\widehat{S}$, there is $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ with $\varphi(\omega) \neq 0$ and $\varphi \cdot \widehat{S} = 0$. To φ exists $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) \subset \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$ with $\varphi = \hat{\psi}$. Since $\widehat{S * \psi} = \hat{\psi} \cdot \widehat{S}$ also for $S \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ one gets $S * \psi = 0$. (8.5) gives $c_\omega(S) = 0$. ¶

For the $\mathcal{A}$ as in Examples 8.8, only in the case $\mathcal{A} = AP$ or $\mathcal{A} = S^pAP$ is S uniquely defined by its Fourier series: If $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{D}'_{AP}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $c_\omega(S_1) = c_\omega(S_2)$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, with $S = S_1 - S_2$ and Proposition 8.7 one gets $c_\omega(S * \varphi) = 0$ for all ω and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$; since $S * \varphi \in AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$, this implies $S * \varphi = 0$ by [2, p. 25, VI]. Since this holds for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, one gets $S_1 = S_2$.

This is nothing new however: By Theorem 6.1, $(a) \Leftrightarrow (h)$, every $S \in \mathcal{D}'_{AP}$ can convex topological complete vector space $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'$, so by the general Bochner-von Neumann theory [25], there exist even summation methods for the Fourier series of Φ_S, converging uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$ to Φ_S. Using the uniqueness of a linear, invariant, normalized and continuous (with respect to uniform convergence) map: $AP(\mathbb{R}, X) \to X$, one can show

$$(8.6) \qquad m_N(\Phi_S) = \tilde{m}(S), \ \text{(constant distribution)} \quad \text{for } S \in \mathcal{D}'_{AP}(\mathbb{R}, X).$$

where m_N denotes the Bochner-von Neumann mean [25, pp. 28-29] on $AP(\mathbb{R}, Y)$. With this one gets

$$c_\omega(\Phi_S) = m_N(\gamma_{-\omega}\Phi_S) = \gamma_\omega m_N(\Phi_{\gamma_{-\omega}S}) = \gamma_\omega c_\omega(S).$$

so if $\sum_\omega s_{n,\omega}\, c_\omega(\Phi_S)\, \gamma_\omega \to \Phi_S$ uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$ for some summation method $(s_{n,\omega})$ (see [13, Theorem 3.10]), $t = 0$ gives

$$(8.7) \qquad \textstyle\sum_\omega s_{n,\omega}\ c_\omega(S)\ \gamma_\omega \to S \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \text{ in } \ (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})', \quad S \in \mathcal{D}'_{AP}(\mathbb{R}, X),$$

where $(s_{n,\omega})$ depends only on $\sigma_B(S)$.
This holds especially for $f \in \mathcal{M}^n AP$ or $S \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n AP$, but here a stronger convergence holds with Corollary 5.2:
For $f \in \mathcal{M}^n AP(\mathbb{J}, X)$, any $\mathbb{J}$,

$$\textstyle\sum_\omega \ s_{n,\omega}\ c_\omega(f)\ \gamma_\omega \to f$$

in the locally convex topology defined on $\mathcal{M}^n L^\infty(\mathbb{J}, X)$ by the seminorms $||g||_h := ||M_{h_n} \cdots M_{h_1} g||_\infty$, $h = (h_1, ..., h_n)$, $h_j > 0$. Here also the ergodic mean on $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ can be extended uniquely and continuously to $\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}^n \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$. This generalizes theorem 3.10 of [13].

For $S \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n AP(\mathbb{J}, X)$ similar results hold, with $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{h_j}$ in the definition of $||g||_h$.

With Proposition 8.11, (8.7) and $\hat{\gamma}_\omega = 2\pi\delta_{-\omega}$ one gets

$$(8.8) \qquad \text{If } S \in \mathcal{D}'_{AP}(\mathbb{R}, X), \text{ then closure } \ \sigma_B(S) = \text{supp } \widehat{S}.$$

Furthermore with Theorem 2.10 one can show

$$(8.9) \qquad \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{D}'_{AP} \oplus \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}_0}$$

for $\mathcal{A} = AAP$, EAP_{rc} and EAP, where $AAP_0 = C_0$, $EAP_0 =$ nullfunctions in EAP (see [69,, $W_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ p. 424]), similarly for $(EAP_{rc})_0$. Therefore to $S \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$ there is exactly one $U \in \mathcal{D}'_{AP}$ with $c_\omega(S) = c_\omega(U)$, namely the U in $S = U + V$ of (8.9).
Let us finally remark that the ergodic mean m can also be extended to

$\cup_0^\infty \mathcal{M}^n \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for $\mathbb{J} \neq \mathbb{R}$,

§9 Applications to the study of asymptotic behavior of solutions of differential equations

In many cases the study of the asymptotic behavior of (a uniformly continuous) solution u of a differential equation or system, that is demonstrating that u belongs to some given class $\mathcal{A}$ of functions (for example asymptotic almost periodic ones), reduces to show the following

A. "Spectrum" $sp_{\mathcal{A}} u \subset M$, where M is the *spectrum* of the equation (see [38, Lemma 1], [65, p. 289], [10, Theorem 3.3], [13, Lemma 2.4, Theorem 2.5], [32, (3.2)]).

B. Special cases respectively analogues of the following Proposition 9.5 respectively Theorem 9.7 (see [38], [59, p. 92, Theorem 4, p. 94, Theorem 5], [9, p. 22, Theorem 4.2.6], [10, Theorem 2.6, p. 62], [67, Theorem 3.9], [5, Theorem 2.3], [6, Corollary 3.3], [13, Theorem 4.1], [32, Theorem 2.5]).

The notion of spectrum $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi$ needed here has been introduced in [9, p. 20] in the case $\mathcal{A} \subset C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, where $\mathbb{J} = [0,\infty)$ or $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$. For $\mathcal{A} = AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$, $\phi \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$, $sp_{\mathcal{A}}(\phi)$ was implicitly used by Loomis [60]. For spectrum of elements of L^1-Banach modules see [15]. For $\mathcal{A} = AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $\phi \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi$ is used in [59, p. 91 (set of non-almost periodicity)], also [72, Theorems 2.3, 3.2]. For the cases $\mathcal{A} = AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $AAP(\mathbb{R}^+, X)$, $EAP(\mathbb{R}^+, X)$ and $\phi \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi$ is also defined in [67].

In Proposition 9.3 below we compare $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi$ with the singular set $\sigma_+(\phi)$ in $i\,\mathbb{R}$ of the Laplace transform $\tilde{\phi}\,(\lambda) := \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t}\phi(t)\,dt$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+ := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0\}$, where $\sigma_+(\phi) := \{u \in \mathbb{R} : \tilde{\phi}$ is not analytically extendable to some ball $B_\delta(iu) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - iu| < \delta\}\}$ ($= Sp_{\mathbb{R}_+}\phi$ in [5, p. 293]). It is obvious that $\sigma_+(\phi) \subset \sigma(\phi)$ the singular set of the Fourier-Carleman transform of ϕ (see [65, (0.46), p. 19]).

For $\mathcal{A} = \{0\}$, $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi = \sigma(\phi) =$ Beurling spectrum of $\phi = \operatorname{supp}\,\hat{\phi}$ (see [55, p. 147, Definition 4.3, p. 171, Theorem], [9, p. 20], [65, p. 19, (0.46), p. 22, Proposition 0.5] and (9.4) below).

We need Spectrum $sp_{\mathcal{A}}$ also for distributions:

The assumptions on $\mathcal{A}$ are, with $\mathbb{J}$, X as in section 1 but always $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$:

(9.1) $\qquad \mathcal{A}$ linear, $\subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $\mathcal{A}$ uniformly closed, $\mathcal{A}$ C_{ub}-invariant.

Definition 9.1. *For $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, usually with (9.1), $V \subset L^1(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$ and $S \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ we define*

(9.2) $\qquad sp_{\mathcal{A},V} S := \{\omega \in \mathbb{R} : \varphi \in V, (S * \varphi)|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$ *implies* $\hat{\varphi}(\omega) = 0\}$,

provided the convolution $S * \varphi$ and $(S * \varphi)|\,\mathbb{J}$ are defined for all $\varphi \in V$.

If $S = \phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $V = L^1(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$, we write $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi$, the definition of [9]

The other cases needed here are $S \in (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $V = \mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$, and $S \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $V = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$; in both cases $S * \varphi$ is well defined and a C^∞-function and belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ respectively $\mathcal{D}_P(\mathbb{R}, X)$ ("P" means all derivatives have polynomial growth).
$sp_{\mathcal{A},V}$ is always closed (the complement is open), also $sp_{\mathcal{A}} \subset sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}} \subset sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{S}}$ if defined.

By (9.4), if $0 \in \mathcal{A}$, one has $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi = sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{S}}\phi \subset \sigma(\phi) = \text{supp } \hat{\phi}$ for $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

For any $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $S \in (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ one has with $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})' * \mathcal{D}_{L^1} \subset \mathcal{D}_{L^\infty}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi = sp_{\mathcal{A}_{ub}}\phi, \;\; sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}}S = sp_{\mathcal{A}_{ub},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}}S, \\ &\text{with } \mathcal{A}_{ub} = \mathcal{A} \cap C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X). \end{aligned} \tag{9.3}$$

Proposition 9.2. *For $\mathcal{A}$ with (9.1), $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $S \in (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, X)$, one has*

$$sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi = sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}}\phi = sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{S}}\phi, \qquad sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}}S = sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{S}}S. \tag{9.4}$$

Proof. With Wiener's local inversion theorem [18, p. 22, Proposition 1.1.5 (b)] to $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ with $\hat{f}(\omega) \neq 0$ there exist $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ with $\hat{f} \cdot \hat{g} = 1$ and $\hat{f} \cdot \hat{\psi} = 1$ on a neighborhood of ω, $\psi := g * \varphi \in L^1 * \mathcal{D}_{L^1} \subset \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$, then $\chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ with $f * \psi * \chi = \chi$, $\hat{\chi}(\omega) \neq 0$.

For the S-case one has to use $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})' * L^1 * \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$ is well defined and associative, we omit the details. ¶

Remark. *$sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi = sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}}\phi$ holds even for $\mathcal{A}$ when C_{ub}-invariant is replaced by positive-invariant.*

The following result is not used here and may be omitted. But it relates $sp_{C_0}\phi$ used in [9], [10], [13] with the set of singularities of Laplace transform $\sigma_+\phi$ of $\phi \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ used in [5]-[7], [17].

Proposition 9.3. *Let $\phi \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $\mathcal{A} = C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. If $sp_{C_0}\phi$ is countable, then $sp_{C_0}\phi \subset \sigma_+(\phi)$.*
The inclusion is in general strict: There is $\psi \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with $sp_{C_0}\psi = \emptyset$ but $\sigma_+\psi \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Case $\sigma_+(\phi) = \emptyset$. We show $sp_{C_0}\phi = \emptyset$: By Theorem 4.4 [3, p. 847] or [7, Theorem 4.4.1, p. 275], $P\phi|\,\mathbb{R}_+ \in X \oplus C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ giving $\phi|\,\mathbb{R}_+ \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$, by [9, Theorem 1.4.1]. By [9, Theorem 4.2.1], $sp_{C_0}\ \phi = \emptyset$.

Case $sp_{C_0}\ \phi = \{0\}$. We show $0 \in \sigma_+(\phi)$: Assuming $0 \notin \sigma_+(\phi)$, $P\phi|\,\mathbb{R}_+$ is bounded by a result of Ingham [16, Theorem 1.4] and [39, Theorem 20, p. 66] (see also [4, Remark 3.2, p. 419]. Hence $hM_h\phi = \Delta_h P\phi|\,\mathbb{R}_+ \in \mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ for all $h > 0$. Since $hM_h(\phi|\,\mathbb{R}_+) \to \phi|\,\mathbb{R}_+$ as $h \to 0$ and $\mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is closed, $\phi|\,\mathbb{R}_+ \in \mathcal{E}_{ub}(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$, By [9, Theorem 4.2.3], $\phi|\,\mathbb{R}_+ \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$. This contradicts the assumption and proves $0 \in \sigma_+(\phi)$.

Case 0 is an isolated point of $sp_{C_0}\phi$. We show $0 \in \sigma_+(\phi)$: The assumption implies $sp_{C_0}\phi * f = \{0\}$ for any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ with $\hat{f}(\omega) = 1$ in some neighborhood of 0 and supp $\hat{f} \cap sp_{C_0}\ \phi = \{0\}$. It follows $0 \in \sigma_+(\phi * f)$ by the above. Since $\sigma_+(\phi*f) \subset \sigma_+(\phi*f-\phi)\cup\sigma_+(\phi) \subset \sigma(\phi*f-\phi)\cup\sigma_+(\phi) \subset [\sigma(\phi)\cap \text{supp } (\hat{f}-1)]\cup\sigma_+(\phi)$ (see [65, p. 25, Proposition 06] and $0 \notin$ supp $(\hat{f} - 1)$, one gets $0 \in \sigma_+(\phi)$. Since This is true for any isolated point of $sp_{C_0}\ \phi$ and both $sp_{C_0}\ \phi$, $\sigma_+(\phi)$ are closed the inclusion follows.
The inclusion is strict: For $\psi(t) := \frac{sin(log(1+t))}{1+t}$, $t \geq 0$, $\psi(t) := 0$, $t \leq 0$, one has $sp_{C_0}\psi = \emptyset$ and its indefinite integral $P\psi$ is bounded. But by [68, Example 4.1] $P\psi|\,\mathbb{R}_+ \notin X \oplus C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$. Using Theorem 4.4 of [3], one can show $\sigma_+(\psi) \neq \emptyset$. ¶

Lemma 9.4. *If $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies (9.1), $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $\phi|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{MA}$, $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, then $(\phi * f)|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. Because of (9.1) and since step functions are dense in $L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$, it is enough to show $(\phi * \chi_I)|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$, I compact interval of $\mathbb{R}$; with C_{ub}- invariance one can assume $I = [-h, 0]$, $h > 0$. But then $\phi * \chi_I = h(\phi * s_h) = hM_h\phi$ on $\mathbb{J}$, $\in \mathcal{A}$. ¶

Proposition 9.5. *If $\mathcal{A}$ and U satisfy (9.1), $\mathcal{A}$ has (L_U), $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $\gamma_{\pm\omega}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ and $\gamma_{-\omega}\phi|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{M}U$ for all $\omega \in sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi$, then $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi$ is perfect (= closed without isolated points).*

Proof. If ω is an isolated point of $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi$, there exists $k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ with $\hat{k}(\omega) = 1$ and supp $\hat{k} \cap sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi = \{\omega\}$. With $\mathcal{A}_{ub} = \mathcal{A} \cap C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, one has $\mathcal{A}_{ub} \subset \mathcal{MA}_{ub}$ by Corollary 3.3, so Corollary 2.3(C) of [13] (valid also for open $\mathbb{J}$) gives $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi * k = sp_{\mathcal{A}_{ub}}\phi * k = \{\omega\}$. With $\gamma_{-\omega}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ one gets $sp_{\mathcal{A}_{ub}}\gamma_{-\omega}(\phi * k) \subset \{0\}$. Corollary 2.3 (B) of [13] (also for open $\mathbb{J}$) can be applied and gives $\Delta_h(\gamma_{-\omega}(\phi * k)|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$, for all $h > 0$, with (9.1) also if $\alpha > 0$ in $\mathbb{J}$. Now $\gamma_{-\omega}(\phi * k)|\,\mathbb{J} = (\gamma_{-\omega}\phi) * (\gamma_{-\omega}k)|\,\mathbb{J} \in U$ by Lemma 9.4. (L_U) gives $\gamma_{-\omega}(\phi * k)|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$. With $\gamma_\omega\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ one gets $\phi * k|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$. The definition of spectrum implies $\hat{k}(\lambda) = 0$, a contradiction. ¶

Remark. *$(L_{U_{ub}})$ for $\mathcal{A}$ is enough in Proposition 9.5.*

Lemma 9.6. *For any $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ with (9.1), if $S \in (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $h > 0$, then $\widetilde{M}_hS \in (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and*

$$sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}}S = \cup_{h>0}sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}}(\widetilde{M}_hS). \tag{9.5}$$

Proof. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$, $M_{-h}\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ for all $h > 0$. Since $\widetilde{M}_hS(\varphi) = S(M_{-h}\varphi)$ by (1.7), M_{-h} is continuous on $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ and $S \in (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, X)$, one gets $\widetilde{M}_hS \in (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, X)$. If $\omega \in sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}}\widetilde{M}_hS$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ and $S * \varphi|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$, then $(S*\varphi)*s_h|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$ if $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{MA}$. One can prove associativity and commutativity of the convolutions in $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, X) * L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) * \mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ (see (2.6) of [13]), with

$(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R},X) * L^1(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{K}) \subset (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R},X)$, so $(S * s_h) * \varphi|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $S * s_h = \widetilde{M}_h S$, one gets $\hat{\varphi}(\omega) = 0$. This gives $\omega \in sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}} S$. For general $\mathcal{A}$ one can use (9.3), since $\mathcal{A}_{ub} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}_{ub}$, by Corollary 3.3.
Since we do not need " $\subset$ " of (9.5) we omit the proof. ¶

Theorem 9.7. *Assume $\mathcal{A}$, U satisfy (9.1), (L_U) holds for $\mathcal{A}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^m L^\infty(\mathbb{R},X)$ with $sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}}\phi$ at most countable, $\phi|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{M}^m C_u(\mathbb{J},X)$ and $\gamma_{\pm\omega}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$, $\gamma_{-\omega}\phi|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{M}^{q(\omega)}U$ with some $q(\omega) \geq m$ for all $\omega \in sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}}\phi$, then $\phi|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{M}^m\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^m L^\infty(\mathbb{R},X)$ implies $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R},X)$, so all restrictions on $|\,\mathbb{J}$ are well defined. $\mathcal{M}^m L^\infty(\mathbb{R},X) \subset \mathcal{M}^{m+1} C_{ub}(\mathbb{R},X) \subset (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R},X)$ by Examples 4.7, (2.19) and Proposition 2.9, so $\phi \in (\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R},X)$, $\sigma := sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}}\phi$ is well defined.

$m = 0$: By the trivial $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi \subset sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}}\phi$ of (9.4) and proposition 9.5, $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi$ is perfect, since $\phi|\,\mathbb{J} \in C_u(\mathbb{J},X)$ gives $(\gamma_{-\omega}\phi)|\,\mathbb{J} \in U_{ub} \subset \mathcal{M}U_{ub}$ with Proposition 5.6 and Corollary 3.3. Then $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi$ countable implies $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi = \emptyset$ (see [1, p. 221, Satz 23]). Since $\phi|\,\mathbb{J} \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{J},X)$, $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\phi = sp_{\mathcal{A}_{ub}}\phi$, by (9.3). With $\mathcal{A}_{ub} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}_{ub}$ by Corollary 3.3. Corollary 2.3 (A) of [13] also for open $\mathbb{J}$ can be applied to ϕ, $\mathcal{A}_{ub}$, yielding $\phi|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$.

$m > 0$: For $h = (h_1, \cdots, h_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with $h_j > 0$ we consider $\psi := M_h\phi := M_{h_m} \cdots M_{h_1}\phi, \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R},X)$, with $\psi|\,\mathbb{J} \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{J},X)$. Assuming that $\gamma_{-\omega}\phi|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{M}^{q(\omega)}U$ implies $\gamma_{-\omega}\psi|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{M}U$, which we show below, one gets that $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\psi$ is perfect. Now $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\psi = sp_{\mathcal{A}} M_h\phi \subset sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}} M_h\phi$ by the trivial part of (9.4), Lemma 9.6 gives then inductively $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\psi \subset sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}}\phi$. So $sp_{\mathcal{A}}\psi$ is perfect and countable, thus is empty (see the case $m = 0$). With (9.3) one can apply Corollary 2.3 (A) of [13] also for open $\mathbb{J}$ to ψ and $\mathcal{A}_{ub} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}_{ub}$, obtaining $\psi|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $h > 0$ is arbitrary, $\phi|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{M}^m\mathcal{A}$ follows.

Now we show $\gamma_{-\omega}\psi|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{M}U$: Since $L^\infty(\mathbb{R},X)$ has (Δ) by Proposition 4.9, Proposition 8.1 gives

$F := M_k(\gamma_{-\omega}\phi) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R},X)$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k = (k_1, \cdots, k_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with $k_j > 0$, $M_k = M_{k_m} \cdots M_{k_1}$.
Similarly with Examples 4.7, $F|\,\mathbb{J} \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{J},X)$. For fixed $\omega \in sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}}\phi$, one has $M_r F|\,\mathbb{J} \in U$ for $0 < r \in \mathbb{R}^{q-m}$ or $F|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{M}^r U \cap C_{ub}(\mathbb{J},X) \subset U_{ub} := U \cap C_{ub}(\mathbb{J},X)$ by Proposition 5.6. Since U is C_{ub}-invariant, U_{ub} is C_{ub}-invariant. This and Lemma 9.4 for U_{ub}, $f = \gamma_{-\omega} \cdot s_{h_1}$ gives $\int_0^{h_1} \gamma_\omega(u_1)F(u_1 + \cdot)\,du_1|\,\mathbb{J} \in U_{ub}$; inductively we get

$$G(t) := \frac{1}{h_1 \cdots h_m} \int_0^{h_m} \cdots \int_0^{h_1} \gamma_\omega(u_1 + \cdots + u_m) F(u_1 + \cdots + u_m + t)\,du_1 \cdots du_m$$

satisfies $G|\,\mathbb{J} \in U_{ub}$. But Fubini's theorem gives $G = M_k(\gamma_{-\omega} M_h\phi)$, so $\gamma_{-\omega}\psi|\,\mathbb{J} = \gamma_{-\omega} M_h\phi|\,\mathbb{J} \in U_{ub} \subset \mathcal{M}U_{ub} \subset \mathcal{M}U$ as claimed. ¶

Corollary 9.8. *If $\mathcal{A}$, U satisfy (9.1), (L_U) holds for $\mathcal{A}$, $S \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}(\mathbb{R},X)$ with $sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}} S$ at most countable, $\gamma_{\pm\omega}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$, $(\gamma_{-\omega}S)|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{D}'_U$ for all $\omega \in sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}} S$, then $S|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{D}'$*

Here, for distributions $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and any $\mathbb{J} \subset \mathbb{R}$, $V \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$, $T|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{D}'_V$ means $(T*\varphi)|\,\mathbb{J} \in V$ for all test functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ in accordance with Definition 1.3.

Proof. Theorem 9.7, $m = 0$ can be applied to $\phi = S * \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^\infty} \subset C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, since $\gamma_{-\omega}(\phi * \varphi) = (\gamma_{-\omega}\phi) * (\gamma_{-\omega}\varphi)$ and $sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}} S * \varphi \subset sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}} S$ with Lemma 9.4 and associativity in $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'(\mathbb{R}, X) * L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) * \mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ (see Corollary 2.3 (c) of [13] for $S \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$). ¶

Remark 9.9. *In many cases $\mathcal{A}$, U satisfy (Γ) and (Δ) (see Section 4, 7), then $\gamma_{\pm\omega}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ holds and $(\gamma_{-\omega}\phi)|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{M}^{q(\omega)}U$ respectively $\in \mathcal{D}'_U$ can be replaced by $\phi|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{M}^q U$ for some $q \geq m$ respectively $\in \mathcal{D}'_U$ (Proposition 8.1).*

Remark 9.10. *The assumption $\phi|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{M}^m C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$ in Theorem 9.7 is essential, already for $m = q = 0$, $X = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$:*

There exists $\phi = f_0$ Stepanoff S^p-almost periodic function for $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and bounded so in $\mathcal{M}C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, but not uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{R}$. One has $sp_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D}_{L^1}} f_0 = \emptyset$ for $\mathcal{A} = AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ with Lemma 9.6 and $S^p AP \subset \mathcal{M}AP$, this $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies (L_U) for $U = C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ (even for $U = L^\infty$, by Proposition 1.7 and [13, p. 120]), so $\mathcal{A}$, U satisfy (9.1), also (Γ) and (Δ) by Examples 4.7, 4.15, but $f_0 \notin \mathcal{A} = AP$.

Special cases of $\mathcal{A}$ and U in Proposition 9.5, Theorem 9.7 and Corollary 9.8 where besides (9.1) also the main assumption (L_U) for $\mathcal{A}$ are fulfilled:

(I) $\mathcal{A} = AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $LAP_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $UAA(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $U = C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $c_0 \not\subset X$. For (L_U) see [13, p. 120, case (L.1)]. Here Remark 9.9 applies.

(II) $\mathcal{A}$ as in (I), $U = C_{uwrc}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, and now X arbitrary; again Remark 9.9 applies (for (L_U) see [13, p. 120, case (L.2)]).

(III) $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathbb{J}$, X arbitrary with (9.1), (Δ) for $\mathcal{A}$ and $\{\text{constants}\} \subset \mathcal{A}$, $U = \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$.
Here (L_U) holds practically by the Definition 1.4 of (Δ), only "$\mathcal{A}$ uniformly closed" of (9.1) is needed for $(L_\mathcal{E})$. By Proposition 4.2, (Δ) holds for linear $\mathcal{A} \subset C_{ub}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ if $\mathcal{A}$ is only uniformly closed, a generalization of Theorem 3.1.2 of [9], one gets generalizations of Theorems 4.2.5 and 4.2.6 there.

(IV) $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathbb{J}$, X arbitrary with only (9.1) and (Δ), $U = \mathcal{E}_0$ (Especially $\mathcal{A} = C_0$, $(EAP_{rc})_0$, $(EAP)_0$).
Here (L_U) follows again directly from (Δ).

(V) $\mathcal{A} = S^p AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $c_0 \not\subset X$, $U = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$ or even $\mathcal{M}^j L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$.
Here (L_U) can be derived from (L_{ub}) for $AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$, this will be treated somewhere

So for example Theorem 4.1 of [13] with all its subclasses is subsumed by the above.

One also gets:

Theorem 4.1 of [13] is also true for distribution solutions Ω, if there everywhere $\mathcal{M}$ is replaced by "$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$".

Similarly, this Theorem 4.1 remains true with $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R}$, $b_j \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\Omega_j \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty} \cap \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{TE}}$ in (i), $\Omega_j \in \mathcal{D}'_{C_{uwrc}}$ in (iii), yielding $\Omega_j \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{A}}$.

With the special case (IV) one gets directly the following analogue to the Hardy-Littlewood tauberian theorem [4, Theorem 2.7 pp. 415-416], [16, p. 23, Theorem 1.2].

Remark 9.11. *If $\mathcal{A} \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ satisfies (Δ) and is uniformly closed, then $\phi \in \mathcal{MA}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $P\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ implies $[P\phi - m(P\phi)] \in \mathcal{A}$. For $\mathcal{A} = C_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$ this gives $P\phi(t) \to m(P\phi)$ as $|t| \to \infty$.*

Proof. (Δ) implies $(L_{\mathcal{E}_0})$ for $\mathcal{A}$ and $[P\phi - m(P\phi)] \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$; Proposition 4.2 (i) gives (Δ) for C_0. ¶

§10. Differential-difference equations

For m, n, $q \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the following differential-difference system operator

(10.1) $\qquad Ly := y^{(m)} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=1}^{q} a_{j,k}\, y^{(j)}_{r_k};$

here the $a_{j,k}$ are $n \times n$ matrices $\in \mathbb{K}^{n\times n}$ with coefficients from $\mathbb{K}$, $= \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, $y = ({}_1y, \cdots, {}_n y)$ is a row vector, the r_k are reals with $r_1 < \cdots < r_q$ and 0 among them, $y_s(t) := y(t+s)$ where defined with ${}_jy : \mathbb{J} \to X$ with X a Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$, $\mathbb{J} = [\alpha, \infty)$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. With $r := r_1 \le 0$ we define

(10.2) $\qquad \mathbb{J}' := [\alpha + r, \infty).$

$y \in \mathcal{A}$ etc. means ${}_jy \in A$ for $1 \le j \le n$.

For $\mathbb{J}, X$ as above, $\mathcal{A} \subset X^{\mathbb{J}}$, real $r \le 0$, we say that $\mathcal{A}$ is *r'-invariant* if $f \in C^1(\mathbb{J}', X)$ with $f'|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f_r|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$ imply $f'_r|\,\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$, with $\mathbb{J}'$ of (10.2).

Theorem 10.1. *Assume L, r as above, $\mathcal{A}$ linear, positive invariant, r'-invariant, $\subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ with (Δ_1) and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{MA}$. If $y \in W^{1,m}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}', X)$, $y_r \in \mathcal{A}$ and $Ly \in \mathcal{MA}$, then $y^{(j)}_r \in \mathcal{A}$, $0 < j < m$, $y^{(m)}|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{MA}$.*

Proof. $y \in W^{1,m}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}', X)$ implies $y_{r_k}|\mathbb{J} \in W^{1,m}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ for $1 \le k \le q$, so $(Ly)|\mathbb{J}$ is well defined, $\subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

$m = 1$: $y_r \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ positive invariant give $y_{r_k}|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$, so $(Ly)|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{MA}$ implies $y'|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{MA}$.

$m \Rightarrow m+1$: One can write $Ly = (Qy)' + \sum_{k=1}^{q} a_{0,k} y_{r_k}$ with $Qu = u^{(m)} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=1}^{q} a_{j+1,k}\, u^{(j)}_{s_k}$; with $z := (Qy)|\mathbb{J}$ one gets $z \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ and $z' \in \mathcal{MA}$, so $\Delta_h z = \Delta_h(Qy|\mathbb{J}) = Q(\Delta_h y)|\mathbb{J}, \in \mathcal{A}$. $w := \Delta_h y \in W^{1,m+1}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}', X)$ satisfies $w_r = \Delta_h(y_r) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(Qw)|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}, \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$, by assumptions on $\mathcal{A}$. The induction hypothesis gives $w_r^{(j)} \in \mathcal{A}$ for $0 \le j < m$, thus $(\Delta_h(y_r))^{(j)}|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$; since $Qw \in \mathcal{A}$ by the above, also $(\Delta_h y)^{(m)}|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$. This implies $\Delta_h(y'|\mathbb{J}) \in \mathcal{A}$ for $h > 0$; (Δ_1) gives $(y' - M_1(y'))|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$; since $M_1(y') = \Delta_1 y$ and $y|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$, then $y_1|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$ (one $r_k = 0$) one gets $y'|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$. $y \in W^{1,m+1}_{loc}$ and $m \ge 1$ give $y \in C^1(\mathbb{J}', X)$. $y_r \in \mathcal{A}$ by assumption, so the r'-invariance of $\mathcal{A}$ gives $y_r'|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$.

With $u := y' \in W^{1,m}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}', X)$ one has therefore $u_r \in \mathcal{A}$ and $Qu = (Qy)' \in \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$. Again using the induction hypothesis, one gets $y_r^{(j+1)} = u_r^{(j)} \in \mathcal{A}$ for $0 \le j < m$, then $y^{(m+1)} \in \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ with (10.1). ¶

Corollary 10.2. *If in Theorem 10.1 the* $Ly \in \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ *is replaced by* $Ly \in \mathcal{A}$ *a.e, then* $y_r^{(j)} \in \mathcal{A}$ *for* $0 < j < m$ *and* $y^{(m)}|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$ *a.e.*

Here $f \in \mathcal{A}$ a.e. means there is $g \in \mathcal{A}$ with $f = g$ a.e. on $\mathbb{J}$.

Theorem 4.1 follows with $Ly = y^{(m)}$ ($r = 0$, the r'-invariance holds trivially).

The following shows that $Ly \in \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ is decisive in Theorem 10.1, $y_r \in \mathcal{A}$ can be relaxed considerably:

Corollary 10.3. *If* $\mathcal{A}$ *and* L *are as in Theorem 10.1,* $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $y \in W^{1,m}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}', X)$ *with only* $y_r \in \mathcal{M}^s\mathcal{A}$, $Ly \in \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ *, then* $y_r^{(j)} \in \mathcal{A}$ *for* $0 \le j < m$ *and* $y^{(m)}|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$.

Corollary 10.4. *If* $\mathcal{A}$ *and* L *are as in Theorem 10.1,* $k, p, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *with* $m \le p$, $y \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}', X)$, $y_r \in \mathcal{M}^s\mathcal{A}$, $Ly \in \mathcal{M}^k\mathcal{A}$, *then, with* $\omega(j) = \omega(j, k, m, s) := max \{0, j + \, min \, \{k - m, s\}\}$, $y_r^{(j)} \in \mathcal{M}^{\omega(j)}\mathcal{A}$ *for* $0 \le j < m$ *and* $y^{(j)}|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{M}^{\omega(j)}\mathcal{A}$ *for* $m \le j \le p$.

Proof. $s \Rightarrow s + 1$: For fixed $h > 0$, define $z = M_h y = (M_{h\,1}y, \cdots, M_{h\,n}y)$; then $z \in W^{1,m+1}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}', X) \subset C^m(\mathbb{J}', X)$, $z_r \in \mathcal{M}^s\mathcal{A}$ and $Lz = M_h Ly \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$. The induction hypothesis yields $z_r^{(j)} \in \mathcal{A}$ for $0 \le j < m$. If $m > 1$, then $z_r' \in \mathcal{A}$. If $m = 1$, then $z'|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$ with $Lz \in \mathcal{A}$; since $z \in C^1(\mathbb{J}', X)$ with $z_r \in \mathcal{A}$, the r'-invariance of $\mathcal{A}$ gives also $z_r' \in \mathcal{A}$. This means $\Delta_h(y_r) \in \mathcal{A}$ for $h > 0$; (Δ_1) for $\mathcal{A}$ gives $y_r - M_1(y_r) \in \mathcal{A}$; since $z_r \in \mathcal{A}$, $h = 1$ gives $M_1(y_r) = (M_1(y))_r \in \mathcal{A}$, so $y_r \in \mathcal{A}$. Theorem 10.1 gives then the conclusion of Corollary 10.3.

Corollary 10.4 follows inductively with Corollary 10.3 and Lemma 2.3, we leave the details to the reader. ¶

Examples 10.5. *All the* $\mathcal{A}$ *the following* $\mathcal{A}$ *are admissible in Theorem 10.1 and its Corollaries:* C_0, L^p_w *for* $1 \le p \le \infty$, *AP, AAP, UAA,* S^p*- AP, EAP, various PAP versions,* $\mathcal{TE}$, $\mathcal{E}$, C_{ub}, C_u, $O(w)$, *also all* λ*-classes [9, Definition 1.3.1], [13, p. 117]: Examples 3.4/5/6/13, 4.7/9/10, 7.3/8/10/11/12, 8.9.*

Example 10.6. *Without " positive-invariant" Theorem 10.1 becomes false already for* $Ly = y''$*:*

$\mathcal{A} = \{f \in C_{ub}([0,\infty),\mathbb{R}) : f(0) = 0\}$ *is a Banach space with the supremum norm which satisfies* (Δ) *by Proposition 4.2 (i). For the function* $y(t) = (\sin t)\cos\frac{t^2}{t^2+1}$ *one has* $y, y'' \in \mathcal{A}$ *but* $y' \notin \mathcal{A}$*.*

Another such example would be $\mathcal{A} = C_0 + X\,t$*.*

There are also such r'*-invariant* $\mathcal{A}$*.*

Example 10.7. *Theorem 10.1 becomes also false without* r'*-invariance of* $\mathcal{A}$*:* $Ly = y'' + y_{-1}$*,* $\mathbb{J} = [1,\infty)$*,* y' *piecewise linear with* $y'(0) = 1$*,* $y' \equiv 0$ *on* $[1/2,\infty)$*,* $\mathcal{A} = C^1(\mathbb{J},\mathbb{K})$ *or* $C_{ub}(\mathbb{J},\mathbb{K})$*.*

Remarks 10.8.

(a) $y_r^{(j)} \in \mathcal{A}$ *implies* $y_{r_k}^{(j)}|\mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$*, especially* $y^{(j)} \in \mathcal{A}$*, for* $1 \leq k \leq q$*,* $0 \leq j$*.*

(b) If all $r_k \geq 0$*, i.e. L is only advanced or even an ordinary differential operator, the* r'*-invariance holds trivially.*

(c) For linear $\mathcal{A}$ *" positive-invariant" and* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ *are coupled:*

(i) $\mathcal{A}$ *positive-invariant with* (Δ) *implies* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$*.*

(ii) If $\mathcal{A}$ *is uniformly closed* $\subset C_u$*, then* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ *and positive-invariant are equivalent.*

(d) $\mathcal{A}$ *linear, positive-invariant with* (Δ) *and* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ *does not imply* $\mathcal{A}$ r'*-invariant: Example 10.7.*

(e) If $\mathcal{A} \subset C(\mathbb{J}, X)$*, the a.e can be disregarded in the conclusion of Corollary 10.2, then* $y|\mathbb{J} \in C^m(\mathbb{J}, X)$*.*

(f) For $\mathcal{A} \subset L^p_w$ *see [51] and the references there, then also neutral perturbations can be treated with variable operator valued* $a_{j,k}$*.*

(g) In Theorem 10.1 and Corollary 10.3 the assumption $Ly \in \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ *cannot be weakened to* $Ly \in \mathcal{M}^{p(m)}\mathcal{A}$ *with* $p(m) > 1$*, not even for* $Ly = y^{(m)}$*,* $m = 2, 3, 4$*,* $\mathcal{A} = AP$*.*

(h) the case $\mathcal{A} = C_{ub}$ *is also treated in [12, Corollary 3.3a], [51], then variable* $a_{j,k} \in C_{ub}$ *are admissible (y bounded implies* $y \in \mathcal{M}C_{ub}$*, our Corollary 10.3 applies).*

(i) For λ*-classes* $\mathcal{A}$ *an Esclangon-Landau result for general neutral system has been obtained in [13, Corollary 2.7].*

(j) Theorem 10.1 and its corollaries hold also for $a_{j,k}$ *with components* $a : X \to X$ *bounded linear operators with* $a(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{A}$*.*

(k) The results of this section hold also for $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{J}'$*, with the same proofs.*

For $\mathcal{A}$ which do not satisfy (Δ) we have

Theorem 10.9. *Assume* L*,* r*,* $\mathbb{J}$*,* $\mathbb{J}'$*,* X *as before Theorem 10.1,* $\mathcal{A}$ *linear, positive*

$y \in W^{1,m}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}', X)$ with $y_r \in [\mathcal{M}^s \mathcal{A}] \cap \mathcal{M}^{s^*} C_u$ and $Ly \in \mathcal{M}C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$ imply $y_r^{(j)} \in \mathcal{A}$, $0 \le j < m$, $y^{(m)} | \mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. The class $C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 10.1 by Example 10.5, so Corollary 10.3 gives $y_r^{(j)} \in C_u$ for $0 \le j < m$. $y_r \in \mathcal{M}^s \mathcal{A}$ gives $y_r^{(j)} \in \mathcal{M}^{s+j} \mathcal{A}$ for $0 \le j \le m$ by Lemma 2.2, since all $\mathcal{M}^k \mathcal{A}$ are also linear positive-invariant. $(\mathcal{M}^{s+j} \mathcal{A}) \cap C_u \subset \mathcal{A}$ of Proposition 5.6 gives $y_r^{(j)}, y^{(m-1)} | \, \mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$ for $0 \le j < m$, Lemma 2.2 then $y^{(m)} | \, \mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$. ¶

Corollary 10.10. *If $\mathcal{A}$, L, s are as in Theorem 10.9 with $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}C_u(\mathbb{J}, X)$, then $y \in W^{1,m}_{loc}(\mathbb{J}', X)$ with $y_r \in \mathcal{M}^s \mathcal{A}$ and $Ly | \mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$ imply $y_r^{(j)} \in \mathcal{A}$ for $0 \le j < m$, $y^{(m)} | \mathbb{J} \in \mathcal{A}$.*

The above can be generalized as in Corollary 10.4.

Example 10.11. *$\mathcal{A} = AP \cdot e^{it^2}$ does not satisfy (Δ_1) by Example 4.21. This $\mathcal{A}$ is linear, positive-invariant and uniformly closed, $\subset C_b \subset \mathcal{M}C_{ub}$, Theorem 10.9 and Corollary 10.10 can still be applied.*

Similarly $\mathcal{A} = S^p AP$ is possible in Theorem 10.9 and Corollary 10.10 for $1 \le p < \infty$, since $\mathcal{M}C_{ub}$ is closed with respect to S^p-norm.

Also, all linear, positive-invariant, uniformly closed $\mathcal{A} \subset S^p_b$ with $1 < p \le \infty$ are admissible, since $S^p_b \subset \mathcal{M}C_{ub}$,

By Example 10.6 the "positive-invariant" in Theorem 10.9 and the following are essential.

§11 Open questions

1- What in §2 can be extended to the half line?

2- Does there exist $\mathcal{A}$ with (Δ_1), but without (Δ)?

3-Do there exist $\mathcal{A} \subset C_u$, with $\mathcal{A}$ positive-invariant uniformly closed $\subset \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$, but without (Δ)?

4- Do all finite-dimensional $\mathcal{A}$ satisfy (Δ)? (Yes for dimension $\mathcal{A} = 1$)

5- Does C_{wrc} have (Δ)? Similarly for (L^∞_{wrc}), L^∞_{rc} := the space of a.e. bounded measurable functions with (weakly) relatively compact range.

6- When does (Δ) for $\mathcal{A}$ imply (Δ) for $\gamma_\omega \mathcal{A}$, where $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$? (See Remark 8.10).

7- When does (Δ) for $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}$ imply (Δ) for $\mathcal{A}$? For example does $\mathcal{M}(AP \cdot e^{it^2})$ satisfy (Δ)?

8-Does there exist a general theorem, which subsumes (most of) the results of §4 with their ad hoc proofs?

9- Is Bohr-ap equivalent Bochner-ap, without von Neumann's countability axiom (A_0) (see Lemma 6.4 and Remark 6.3)?

10-What of Proposition 7.1 is true for

11- Do there exist U, V with (Δ) and $U \cap V = \{0\}$ but $U + V$ does not satisfy (Δ)?

12- When does (Δ) for $\mathcal{A}$ imply (Δ) for $X + \mathcal{A}$? (See Corollary 7.7).

13-Does $Var(\mathbb{J}, X)$ satisfy (Δ)?

14-For what $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is $\mathcal{MA}(\mathbb{R}, X) | \mathbb{J} = \mathcal{MA}(\mathbb{J}, X)$? (See Example 5.5).

15- Does there exist a simple proof for $AAP \cdot e^{it^2}$ has (Δ)? (See Remark 4.23).

16- Is there an example of an $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{MA}$ with $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}'_{Loc}$ strictly $\subset \mathcal{MA}$ (see Proposition 5.1)?

For any $\phi : \mathbb{J} \to X$, ϕ will be called *Maak ergodic* if to any positive ε there are $a \in X$, $n \in N$, $s_j \in [0, \infty)$ such that

$||(1/n) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi(s_j + t) - a|| < \varepsilon$ for all $t \in \mathbb{J}$;

the set of all these ϕ will be denoted by $\mathcal{E}M(\mathbb{J}, X)$ (see (see [61, p. 34, Mittelwertsatz])).

One can show $\mathcal{E}M(\mathbb{J}, X) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{J}, X)$.

17- Is the inclusion $\mathcal{E}M(\mathbb{J}, X) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{J}, X) \subset \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{J}, X) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ strict?

18- Does $\mathcal{E}M(\mathbb{J}, X) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{J}, X)$ satisfy (Δ)?

19- Is e^{it^2} Maak ergodic?

20-For what $\mathbb{J}$, X is $EAP(\mathbb{R}, X) | \mathbb{J} \subset EAP(\mathbb{J}, X)$ strict? (For $\mathbb{N}$ it is known that $EAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}) \,|\, \mathbb{N} \subset EAP(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R})$ is strict (see [20, p. 231, Example 5.1.15]).

21-For what $\mathbb{J}$, X is $EAP_0 \subset \mathcal{E}_n$ valid, or even $|f| \in EAP_0(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$ whenever $f \in EAP_0(\mathbb{J}, X)$ (true for $X = \mathbb{K}$, any $\mathbb{J}$ by [20, p. 157, Theorem 4.3.13])?

REFERENCES

1. P. S. Alexandroff, *Einführung in die Mengenlehre und die Theorie der reellen Funktionen, VEB Verlag der Wissenschaften, 1956.*
2. L. Amerio and G. Prouse, *Almost-Periodic Functions and Functional Equations, Van Nostrand, 1971.*
3. W. Arendt C. J. K. Batty, *Tauberian theorems and stability of one-parameter semigroups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 306 (1988), 837-852.*
4. W. Arendt and Jan Prüss, *Vector-valued tauberian theorems and asymptotic behavior of linear Voltera equations, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 23 (1992), 412-448.*
5. W. Arendt and C. J. K. Batty, *Asymptotically almost periodic solutions of inhomogeneous Cauchy Problems on the half-line, Bull. London Math. Soc. 31 (1999), 291-304.*
6. W. Arendt and C.J.K. Batty, *Slowly oscillating solutions of Cauchy problems with countable spectrum, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, 130A (2000), 471 - 484.*
7. W. Arendt, C.J.K. Batty, M. Hieber and F. Neubrander, *Vector-valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy problems, Monographs in Math., Vol. 96, Basel,Boston, Berlin: Birkhäuser, 2001.*
8. B. Basit, *Generalization of two theorems of M.I.Kadets concerning the indefinite integral of abstract almost periodic functions, Math. Notes 9 (1971), 181-186.*
9. B. Basit, *Some problems concerning different types of vector valued almost periodic functions, Dissertationes Math. 338 (1995), 26 pages.*
10. B. Basit, *Harmonic analysis and asymptotic behavior of solutions to the abstract Cauchy problem, Semigroup Forum 54 (1997), 58-74.*
11. B. Basit and H. Günzler, *Abstract functions with continuous differences and Namoika spaces,*

12. B. Basit and H. Günzler, *Generalized Esclangon-Landau Conditions for Differential-Difference equations, J. Math. Analysis and Appl. 221 (2) (1998), 585-624.*
13. B. Basit and H. Günzler, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions of systems of neutral and convolution equations, J. Differential Equations 149 (1998), 115-142.*
14. B. Basit and C. Zhang, *New almost periodic type functions and solutions of differential equations, Can.J.Math. 48 (1996), 1138-1153.*
15. A. G. Baskakov, *Spectral criteria for almost periodicity of functional equations, Math. Notes 24 (1978), 606-612.*
16. C. J. K. Batty, *Some tauberian theorems related to operator theory, Banach Center Publications, 30 (1994), 21-34.*
17. C. J. K. Batty J. van Neerven and F. Räbiger, *Tauberian theorems and stability of solutions of Cauchy Problems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350 (1998), 2087-2103.*
18. J. J. Benedetto, *Spectal Synthesis, B. G. Teubner Stuttgart, 1975.*
19. J. J. Benedetto, *Harmonic Analysis and Applications, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, 1997.*
20. J. F. Berglund, H. D. Junghann and P. Milnes, *Analysis on Semigroups, John Wiley, 1989.*
21. A. S. Besicovitch, *Almost Periodic Functions, Dover Publ., 1954.*
22. S. Bochner, *Properties of Fourier series of almost periodic functions, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 26 (1927), 433-452.*
23. S. Bochner, *Abstrakte fastperiodische Funktionen, Acta Mathematica 61 (1933), 149 - 184.*
24. S Bochner, *A new approach to almost periodicity, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc. U.S.A. 48 (1962), 2039-2043.*
25. S. Bochner and J. von Neumann, *Almost periodic functions on groups, II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 37 (1935), 21-50.*
26. P. Bohl, *Über eine Differentialgleichung der Störungstheorie, J. f. d. reine u. angew. Math. 131 (1906), 268-321.*
27. H. Bohr and E. Følner, *On some types of functional spaces, Acta. Math. 76 (1944), 31-155.*
28. H. Bohr, *Zur Theorie der fastperiodischen Funktionen, Acta Mathematica 45 (1925), 29-127.*
29. H. Bohr and O. Neugebauer, *Über lineare Differentialgleichungen mit konstanten Koeffizienten und fastperiodischer rechter Seite, Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Klasse (1926), 8-22.*
30. N. G. De Bruijn, *Functions whose differences belong to a given class, Nieuw. Archief voor Wiskunde (2) 23 (1951), 194-218.*
31. G. Caracosta and R. Doss, *Sur l'integrale d'une fonction presque périodique, Compte rendus Acad. de Sci. 246 (1958), 3207-3208.*
32. R. Chill and J. Prüss, *Asymptotic behavior of linear evolutionary integral equations, Ulmer Seminare über Funktionalanalysis und Differentialgleichungen, Heft 4 (1999),165-185.*
33. R. Cooke, *Almost periodic functions, Amer. Math. Monthly 88 (1981), 515-526.*
34. R. Delaubenfels and V. Q. Phóng, *Stability and almost periodicity of solutions of ill-posed abstract Cauchy problems, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 125 (1997), 235-241.*
35. J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, *Vector Measures, Math. Survey 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1977.*
36. J. Dixmier and P. Malliavin, *Factorizations de fonctions et de vecteurs indéfiniment différentiables, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 102(1978), 305-330.*
37. R. Doss, *On bounded functions with almost periodic differences, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12(1961), 488-489.*
38. R. Doss, *On the almost periodic solutions of a class of integro-differential-difference equations, Ann. Math. 81 (1965), 117-123.*
39. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part I and II, Interscience, New York, 1963.*
40. W. F. Eberlein, *Abstract ergodic theorems and weak almost periodic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (1949), 217-240.*
41. R. E. Edwards, *Functional Analysis, Theory and Applications, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1965.*
42. L. Ehrenpreis, *Solutions of some problems of division, IV, Amer. J. Math. 82 (1960), 522-588.*
43. M. Fréchet, *Les Functions asymptotiquement presque-périodique continues, C. R. F. Acad.*

44. I. M. Gel'fand, *Abstrakte Funktionen und lineare Operatoren, Matem. Sbornik 46 (1938), 233-285.*
45. S. Goldberg and P. Irwin, *Weakly almost periodic vector-valued functions, Dissertationes Math. 157 (1979), 42 pages.*
46. G. M. N'Guérékata, *Almost automorphic and almost periodic functions in abstract spaces, Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, 2001.*
47. H. Günzler, *Beschränktheitseigenschaften von Lösungen nichtlinearer Wellengleichungen, Math. Ann. 167 (1966), 75-104.*
48. H. Günzler, *Integration of almost periodic functions, Math. Zeitschr. 102 (1967), 253-287.*
49. H. Günzler, *Zur Regularität der Lösungen zweidimensionaler Wellengleichungen, Math. Ann. 169 (1967), 183-229.*
50. H. Günzler, *Integration, Bibliographisches Institut, Wissenschaftsverlag, 1985.*
51. H. Günzler, *Landau-type Inequalities and* L^p*-bounded Solutions of Neutral Delay-Systems, J. of Inequalities and Applications 4 (1999), 345-373.*
52. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Part I, Springer-Verlag, 1963.*
53. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional Analysis and Semigroups, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquim Publications, Providence, 1957.*
54. M. I. Kadets, *On the integration of almost periodic functions with values in Banach spaces, Functional Analysis Appl. 3 (1969), 228-230.*
55. S. Katznelson, *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis, J. Wiley, 1968.*
56. J. L. Kelley, I. Namioka and Co-Authors, *Linear Topological Spaces, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1963.*
57. G Köthe, *Topological vector spaces, Springer-Verlag, 1983.*
58. E. Landau, *Über einen Satz von Herrn Esclangon, Math. Ann. 102 (1930), 177-188.*
59. B. M. Levitan and V. V. Zhikov, *Almost Periodic Functions and Differential Equations, Cambridge University Press, 1982.*
60. L. M. Loomis, *The spectral characterization of a class of almost periodic functions, Annals of Math. 72 (1960), 362-368.*
61. W. Maak, *Fastperiodische Funktionen, Springer, 1967.*
62. P. Milnes, *On vector-valued weakly almost periodic functions, J. London Math. soc. (2) 22 (1980), 467-472.*
63. J. von Neumann, On complete topological spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 37 (1935), 1 - 20.
64. J. Porada, *A property of a decomposition of weakly almost periodic functions, Coll. Math. 34 (1975), 245-248.*
65. J. Prüss, *Evolutionary Integral Equations and Applications, Monographs in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 1993.*
66. L. A. Rubel, W. A. Squires and B. A. Taylor, *Irreducibility of certain entire functions with applications to harmonic analysis, Ann. Math.108 (1978), 553-567.*
67. W. M. Ruess and V. Q. Phóng, *Asymptotically almost periodic solutions of evolution equations in Banach spaces, J. Differential Equations 122 (1995), 282-301.*
68. W. M. Ruess and W. H. Summers, *Integration of asymptotically almost periodic functions and weak almost periodicity, Dissertationes Math. 279 (1989), 35 pages.*
69. W. M. Ruess and W. H. Summers, *Ergodic theorems for semigroups of operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1992), 423-432.*
70. L. Schwartz, *Distributions á valeures vectorielles, Annales de l'Institut Fourier 7 (1957), 1-139.*
71. L. Schwartz, *Théorie des Distributions, Hermann, Paris, 1966.*
72. O. J. Staffans, *On asymptotically almost periodic solutions of a convolution equation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 266 (1981), 603-616.*
73. W. Stepanoff, *Über einige Verallgemeinerungen der fast periodischen Funktionen, Math. Annalen 95 (1926), 473-498.*
74. J. F. Upton, *On the extension of inequalities of Kolmogoroff and others and some applications to almost periodic functions, Glasgow Math. J. 13 (1972), 1-16.*
75. K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis, Springer Verlag, 1976.*
76. S. Zaidman, *Almost-periodic functions in abstract spaces, Research Notes in Math. 126, Pitman Adv. Publishing Program, Boston 1985.*
77. C. Zhang, *Pseudo Almost Periodic Solutions of Some Differential Equations, J. Math. Anal-*

78. C. Zhang, *Integration of vector-valued pseudo-almost periodic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 121 (1994), 167-174.*

School of Math. Sci., P.O. Box No. 28M, Monash University, Vic. 3800.

E-mail "bbasit@vaxc.cc.monash.edu.au".

Math. Seminar der Univ. Kiel, Ludewig-Meyn-Str., 24098 Kiel, Deutschland.

E-mail "guenzler@math.uni-kiel.de".